



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County  
Board of Supervisors**



## Adobe Acrobat Reader

### Finding Words

You can use the Find command to find a complete word or part of a word in the current PDF document. Acrobat Reader looks for the word by reading every word on every page in the file, including text in form fields.

#### To find a word using the Find command:

1. Click the Find button (**Binoculars**), or choose Edit > Find.
2. Enter the text to find in the text box.
3. Select search options if necessary:  
Match Whole Word Only finds only occurrences of the complete word you enter in the box. For example, if you search for the word *stick*, the words *tick* and *sticky* will not be highlighted.

Match Case finds only words that contain exactly the same capitalization you enter in the box.

Find Backwards starts the search from the current page and goes backwards through the document.

4. Click Find. Acrobat Reader finds the next occurrence of the word.

#### To find the next occurrence of the word, Do one of the following:

Choose Edit > Find Again  
Reopen the find dialog box, and click Find Again.  
(The word must already be in the Find text box.)

### Copying and pasting text and graphics to another application

You can select text or a graphic in a PDF document, copy it to the Clipboard, and paste it into another application such as a word processor. You can also paste text into a PDF document note or into a bookmark. Once the selected text or graphic is on the Clipboard, you can switch to another application and paste it into another document.

**Note:** *If a font copied from a PDF document is not available on the system displaying the copied text, the font cannot be preserved. A default font is substituted.*



**To select and copy it to the clipboard:**

1. Select the text tool **T**, and do one of the following:  
To select a line of text, select the first letter of the sentence or phrase and drag to the last letter.

To select multiple columns of text (horizontally), hold down Ctrl+Alt (Windows) or Option (Mac OS) as you drag across the width of the document.

To select a column of text (vertically), Hold down Ctrl+Alt (Windows) or Option+Command (Mac OS) as you drag the length of the document.

To select all the text on the page, choose Edit > Select All. In single page mode, all the text on the current page is selected. In Continuous or Continuous – facing mode, most of the text in the document is selected. When you release the mouse button, the selected text is highlighted. To deselect the text and start over, click anywhere outside the selected text. The Select All command will not select all the text in the document. A workaround for this (Windows) is to use the Edit > Copy command. Choose Edit > Copy to copy the selected text to the clipboard.

2. To view the text, choose Window > Show Clipboard

In Windows 95, the Clipboard Viewer is not installed by default and you cannot use the Show Clipboard command until it is installed. To install the Clipboard Viewer, Choose Start > Settings > Control Panel > Add/Remove Programs, and then click the Windows Setup tab. Double-click Accessories, check Clipboard Viewer, and click OK.



The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1           [There is no reportable action as a result of the  
2           Board of Supervisors' closed session held today.]

3

4

5

6   **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY BOARD OF  
7   SUPERVISORS JULY 25TH, 2006 MEETING WILL BEGIN WITH A PRAYER  
8   BY DR. BILLY INGRAM OF THE MARANATHA COMMUNITY CHURCH IN THE  
9   SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT, THE SECOND DISTRICT OF LOS ANGELES AND  
10  RICARDO LIRA, WHO IS A MEMBER OF THE POST AMERICAN LEGION EL  
11  MONTE 251, WHO WILL LEAD US IN THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE. SO  
12  DR. BILLY INGRAM WILL LEAD US IN PRAYER.

13

14 **DR. BILLY INGRAM:** GOOD MORNING. DEAR GOD, OUR HEAVENLY FATHER,  
15 WE COME TO YOU TODAY AND WE THANK YOU. WE THANK YOU FOR YOUR  
16 WORD WHICH SAYS, IF MY PEOPLE WHO ARE CALLED BY MY NAME SHALL  
17 HUMBLE THEMSELVES AND PRAY AND SEEK MY FACE AND TURN FROM  
18 THEIR WICKED WAYS, THEN WILL I HEAR FROM HEAVEN AND WILL  
19 FORGIVE THEIR SIN, WILL HEAL THEIR LAND. LORD, WE ASK THAT YOU  
20 WOULD HEAL OUR LAND AND HEAL THIS COMMUNITY. WE PRAY SHALOO  
21 SHALOM YAROSHALIM, WE PRAY FOR THE PEACE OF JERUSALEM, WE PRAY  
22 FOR PEACE IN THE MIDDLE EAST. IN TIMES LIKE THESE, LORD, WE  
23 HAVE NOWHERE ELSE TO TURN BUT TO YOU AND I PRAY FOR THIS BOARD  
24 THAT YOU WOULD BLESS EACH ONE ON IT AND KEEP THEM, BE GRACIOUS  
25 TO THEM, CAUSE YOUR FACE TO SHINE UPON THEM, LIFT UP YOUR



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1   COUNTENANCE UNTO THEM AND GRANT THEM PEACE, PROTECTION AND  
2   YOUR POWER, GRANT THEM YOUR GUIDANCE AND I PRAY THAT THE BEST  
3   DAYS OF THEIR PAST WOULD BE THE WORST DAYS OF THEIR FUTURE. IN  
4   THE MIGHTY NAME OF OUR LORD, AMEN.

5

6   **RICARDO H. LIRA:** PLEASE PUT YOUR RIGHT HAND ON THE HEART AND  
7   FACE THE FLAG. [ PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE ]

8

9   **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** SUPERVISOR BURKE.

10

11   **SUP. BURKE:** YES. WE'RE VERY PLEASED TO HAVE DR. BILLY INGRAM,  
12   WHO HAS SERVED THE LOS ANGELES COMMUNITY FOR OVER 34 YEARS.  
13   HE'S FOUNDER OF MARANATHA OF COMMUNITY CHURCH WHERE HE HAS  
14   SERVED AS PASTOR FOR OVER 26 YEARS. HE IS ACTIVE IN THE  
15   COMMUNITY ON RADIO, IN THE SCHOOLS AND ON THE STREETS HELPING  
16   THE DOWNTRODDEN. CURRENTLY, DR. INGRAM IS UNDER CONTRACT,  
17   LENDING HIS VOICE TO A NOTEWORTHY PROJECT CALLED THE BIBLE  
18   EXPERIENCE WHICH FEATURES DENZEL WASHINGTON AND HIS WIFE,  
19   PAULETTA; BLAIR UNDERWOOD AND ANGELA BASSETT. THEY'RE AMONG  
20   MORE THAN 80 BLACK CELEBRITIES READING, SINGING AND COMPOSING  
21   MUSIC FOR A NEW 70 HOUR GENESIS THROUGH REVELATIONS DRAMATIC  
22   AUDIO PERFORMANCE OF THE SCRIPTURES. IN ADDITION, HE HAS JUST  
23   RELEASED AN INSPIRATIONAL BOOK AND C.D. ENTITLED "IN TIMES  
24   LIKE THESE." WE'RE VERY PLEASED TO HAVE YOU WITH US, DOCTOR. [  
25   APPLAUSE ]





The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1

2 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** RICARDO LIRA IS A MEMBER, AS I SAID,  
3 OF THE AMERICAN LEGION POST 261, IN EL MONTE. HE'S A RESIDENT  
4 OF AZUSA. HE'S MARRIED WITH THREE CHILDREN, SERVED IN THE  
5 UNITED STATES MARINE CORPS, SAW ACTION IN VIETNAM WHERE HE  
6 RECEIVED A COMBAT ACTION MEDAL, METAL OF GOOD CONDUCT, A  
7 VIETNAM CAMPAIGN MEDAL AND A VIETNAM SERVICE MEDAL AND THE  
8 NATIONAL DEFENSE MEDAL. SO, RICARDO, THANK YOU FOR COMING DOWN  
9 AND LEADING US IN THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE. [ APPLAUSE ]

10

11 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** GOOD MORNING, MR. MAYOR, MEMBERS OF THE  
12 BOARD. WE WILL BEGIN TODAY'S AGENDA ON PAGE 7. ON ITEMS 1  
13 THROUGH 11, WE WILL HOLD THESE ITEMS FOR THE PUBLIC HEARING,  
14 EXCEPT FOR ITEM NUMBER 3 AND, AS NOTED ON THE GREEN  
15 SUPPLEMENTAL AGENDA, THE DIRECTOR OF PARKS AND RECREATION  
16 REQUESTS THAT THE PROCEEDINGS BE TERMINATED AND THAT THE  
17 MATTER BE REFERRED BACK TO THE DEPARTMENT.

18

19 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** OKAY. MOTION BY BURKE TO REFER IT BACK  
20 TO THE DEPARTMENT, WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

21

22 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** ON PAGE 9, ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS, BOARD OF  
23 SUPERVISORS, ITEMS 12 THROUGH 26. AND, ON ITEM NUMBER 13,  
24 SUPERVISOR MOLINA VOTES "NO." THE REST ARE BEFORE YOU.

25



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1    **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** OKAY. MOTION BY KNABE, SECONDED WITH  
2    MOLINA VOTING "NO." SO ORDERED.

3

4    **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** ON PAGE 12, CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER,  
5    ITEMS 27 THROUGH 29. ON ITEM NUMBER 27, AS NOTED ON THE GREEN  
6    SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET, SUPERVISOR BURKE REQUESTS THAT THIS ITEM  
7    BE CONTINUED TWO WEEKS TO AUGUST 8TH, 2006.

8

9    **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** MOTION BY MOLINA. SECONDED. WITHOUT  
10    OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

11

12    **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** COMMISSION ON INSURANCE, ITEM 30. ON THIS  
13    ITEM, SUPERVISOR KNABE AND ANTONOVICH, SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH,  
14    VOTE "NO" ON AB 2.889 AND AB 2.991. SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH  
15    VOTES "NO" ON SENATE BILL 1534 AND SUPERVISOR KNABE AND  
16    SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH VOTE "NO" ON SENATE BILL 1622.

17

18    **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** MOTION BY YAROSLAVSKY, SECONDED BY  
19    BURKE, AS STATED BY THE EXECUTIVE OFFICE. WITHOUT OBJECTION,  
20    SO ORDERED.

21

22    **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** PUBLIC SOCIAL SERVICES, ITEMS 31 AND 32. ON  
23    ITEM 32, SUPERVISOR MOLINA REQUESTS THAT THIS ITEM BE HELD.

24



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1    **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** MOTION BY BURKE. SECONDED. WITHOUT  
2    OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

3

4    **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** ORDINANCE FOR INTRODUCTION, ITEM 33 AND, ON  
5    ITEM 33, I'LL READ THE SHORT TITLE IN FOR THE RECORD, AN  
6    ORDINANCE AMENDING TITLE 5, PERSONNEL AND TITLE 6, SALARIES OF  
7    THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY CODE RELATING TO FIRE DEPARTMENT  
8    EMPLOYEES.

9

10   **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** MOTION BY MOLINA. SECONDED. WITHOUT  
11   OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

12

13   **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** ORDINANCES FOR ADOPTION, ITEMS 34 AND 35.  
14   ON ITEM 34, SUPERVISOR MOLINA VOTES "NO."

15

16   **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** MOTION BY KNABE. SECONDED WITH MOLINA  
17   VOTING "NO" SO ORDERED. MISCELLANEOUS, ADDITIONS TO THE AGENDA  
18   REQUESTED BY BOARD MEMBERS AND THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE  
19   OFFICER, WHICH WERE POSTED MORE THAN 72 HOURS IN ADVANCE OF  
20   THE MEETING, AS INDICATED ON THE GREEN SUPPLEMENTAL AGENDA.  
21   36-A.

22

23   **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** MOTION BY YAROSLAVSKY. SECONDED.  
24   WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

25





**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1    **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** 36-B.

2

3    **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** MOTION BY BURKE. SECONDED. WITHOUT  
4    OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

5

6    **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** ON ITEMS CONTINUED FROM PREVIOUS MEETINGS  
7    FOR FURTHER DISCUSSION AND ACTION BY THE BOARD, AS NOTED ON  
8    THE GREEN SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET, ITEM A-3 FROM PAGE 22 OF THE  
9    JULY 18TH, 2006 AGENDA, HOLD THIS ITEM FOR A MEMBER OF THE  
10    PUBLIC. THAT COMPLETES THE READING OF THE AGENDA. BOARD OF  
11    SUPERVISORS' SPECIAL ITEMS BEGIN WITH SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT  
12    NO. 4.

13

14    **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** LET ME FIRST WELCOME OUR NEW CONSUL-  
15    GENERAL FROM THAILAND, THE HONORABLE JUKR BOON-LONG, WHO HAS  
16    NOW JOINED THE CONSULAR CORPS IN THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES. HE  
17    RECEIVED HIS BACHELOR OF ARTS DEGREE IN LAW FROM THAILAND AND  
18    A MASTER'S DEGREE IN INTERNATIONAL POLITICS AND ECONOMICS FROM  
19    THE UNIVERSITY OF DETROIT IN MICHIGAN. CONSUL-GENERAL JOINED  
20    THE MINISTRY OF FOREIGN AFFAIRS IN 1984 AS AN ATTACHE IN  
21    SOUTHEAST ASIA DIVISION, DEPARTMENT OF POLITICAL AFFAIRS. HE  
22    HAS SERVED IN THE ROYAL THAILAND'S EMBASSIES IN WASHINGTON,  
23    D.C., HANOI AND BERLIN. IN LOS ANGELES, HE IS HERE WITH HIS  
24    WIFE, CORMORANT, AND THEIR THREE CHILDREN. THEY ARE GOING TO  
25    BE WELCOMED TO OUR DIPLOMATIC CORPS. WE HAVE OVER 90 CONSUL



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1    GENERALS POSTED IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY, MAKING NEW YORK, HONG  
2    KONG AND LOS ANGELES COUNTY HAVING THE MOST FOREIGN  
3    GOVERNMENTS POSTED IN THEIR REGIONS. SO, CONSUL-GENERAL,  
4    WELCOME, WE LOOK FORWARD TO WORKING WITH YOU. [ APPLAUSE ]

5

6    **THE HONORABLE JUKR BOON-LONG:** THANK YOU VERY MUCH. THANK YOU  
7    FOR THE GREAT HONOR AND WARM WELCOME AND THE KIND WORDS.  
8    REALLY IS A GREAT HONOR TO BE HERE WITH THE DISTINGUISHED  
9    MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AND ALSO THE LADIES AND  
10   GENTLEMEN OUT THERE WHOM I BELIEVE IS A FRIEND OF THAILAND. I  
11   WAS TOLD THAT THE COUNTY, ESPECIALLY HER PEOPLE AND THE BOARD  
12   MEMBER-- MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HAVE BEEN SO KIND  
13   TO OUR THAI COMMUNITY HERE, TO THE WORK OF THIS OFFICE OF  
14   CONSULAR GENERAL AND WE'RE SO GRATEFUL FOR THIS. AND, ON OUR  
15   PART, I MYSELF AND MY STAFF AND MY OFFICE, IF WE CAN BE OF ANY  
16   HELP TO YOU, TO THE BOARD AND TO THE COUNTY, PLEASE DON'T  
17   HESITATE TO LET US KNOW. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. [ APPLAUSE ]

18

19   **SUP. KNABE:** YES, MR. MAYOR, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, I'D LIKE TO  
20   CALL FORWARD OUR LOS ANGELES COUNTY FIRE CHIEF, P. MICHAEL  
21   FREEMAN, AND FROM THE FIRE MUSEUM ASSOCIATION, CAPTAIN JOE  
22   HOYJACK, CAPTAIN PAUL SNYDER, CAPTAIN HOWARD SCHNEIDER, KEN  
23   JERRY, DAVE BOUCHER AND GILL GARCIA. WE'RE GOING TO MAKE SOME  
24   RECOGNITIONS TODAY TO THE FIRE MUSEUM ASSOCIATION. THE COUNTY  
25   OF LOS ANGELES FIRE MUSEUM ASSOCIATION IS COMPRISED OF OVER



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 SOME 2,500 MEMBERS WHOSE MISSION IS TO PROMOTE AND PRESERVE  
2 THE HISTORICAL FIRE EQUIPMENT AND ARTIFACTS IN KEEPING WITH  
3 THE FIRE SERVICE TRADITION FOR THE BENEFIT OF ASSOCIATION  
4 MEMBERS, THE FIRE DEPARTMENT AND FOR THE EDUCATION AND  
5 ENJOYMENT OF THE PUBLIC AT LARGE. THE MUSEUM ASSOCIATION HAS  
6 OVER 40 ANTIQUE FIRE ENGINES, DATING BACK TO THE 1860S, AS  
7 WELL AS MANY OTHER HISTORICAL ARTIFACTS. IN APRIL OF 2006, THE  
8 FIRE MUSEUM ASSOCIATION WAS INVITED TO PARTICIPATE IN 100TH  
9 ANNIVERSARY OF THE GREAT EARTHQUAKE AND FIRE IN SAN FRANCISCO  
10 IN 1906. THE FIRE MUSEUM PROUDLY REPRESENTED THE COUNTY OF LOS  
11 ANGELES BY PROVIDING THREE STEAM FIRE ENGINES, HORSE TEAMS AND  
12 A SUPPORT STAFF LED BY OUR LOS ANGELES COUNTY FIRE FIGHTERS.  
13 THE STEAM FIRE ENGINES WERE THE SAME TYPE OF FIRE ENGINE THAT  
14 ORIGINALLY RESPONDED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO FIRE IN 1906 AND  
15 ADDED TO THE HISTORICAL SIGNIFICANCE OF THE EVENT. SO WE WANT  
16 TO RECOGNIZE THAT BUT I WOULD JUST ADD, AND I'M GOING TO ASK  
17 HER TO COME UP HERE AND JOIN ME, IN FINDING OUT AND  
18 TRANSPERING EMAILS ABOUT THIS WHOLE PROCESS, IT CAME TO MY  
19 ATTENTION THAT MY FORMER PRESS DEPUTY, JOHN MICELLA, HIS  
20 GRANDFATHER OWNED THE PUMPING UNIT THAT WAS TAKEN TO SAN  
21 FRANCISCO AND SO GRANDPA EAGLE, WHO I HAD THE PLEASURE OF  
22 MEETING PRIOR TO HIS PASSING, AND THEN JOHN'S MOTHER'S HERE  
23 WITH HIM, BARBARA. DO YOU WANT TO COME UP AND WE'LL HAVE SOME  
24 PHOTOS, AS WELL, TOO, BUT TO THANK THE MICELLA FAMILY FOR THAT  
25 KIND DONATION AND ALSO MADE THE TRIP TO SAN FRANCISCO. SO,



The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1 CHIEF AND JOE AND EVERYONE, I'D LIKE TO PRESENT THIS  
2 PROCLAMATION IN RECOGNITION OF THE FIRE MUSEUM ASSOCIATION FOR  
3 YOUR PARTICIPATION IN THE 100TH ANNIVERSARY OF THE GREAT 1906  
4 EARTHQUAKE BUT ALSO TO THANK YOU FOR PRESERVING THE GREAT  
5 HISTORY OF PROBABLY ONE OF THE MOST PREMIER FIRE DEPARTMENTS  
6 IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA. [ APPLAUSE ]

7

8 **P. MICHAEL FREEMEN:** THANK YOU VERY MUCH. HONORABLE MAYOR,  
9 MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, OUR MUSEUM ASSOCIATION IS THE PRODUCT OF  
10 COUNTLESS HOURS OF VOLUNTEER TIME OF THESE MEN AND WOMEN AND  
11 MANY OTHERS WHO ARE NOT HERE WITH US THIS MORNING BUT THEY  
12 HAVE DONE A TREMENDOUS CREDIT TO THE HISTORY OF THE FIRE  
13 SERVICE IN CALIFORNIA BUT MOST ESPECIALLY THE HISTORY OF THE  
14 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES FIRE DEPARTMENT. THROUGH THEIR TIRELESS  
15 EFFORTS IN THE COLLECTION, PRESERVATION AND RENOVATION OF  
16 ANCIENT AND AGED FIRE EQUIPMENT, THEY HAVE GIVEN ALL OF US A  
17 VERY CLEAR PICTURE AND APPRECIATION OF THE PROUD HISTORY AND  
18 TRADITION OF THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES FIRE DEPARTMENT. IT WAS  
19 TRULY AN HONOR FOR OUR DEPARTMENT FOR THIS COUNTY OF LOS  
20 ANGELES AND CERTAINLY THE MUSEUM ASSOCIATION TO BE INVITED TO  
21 PARTICIPATE IN THE 100TH ANNIVERSARY OF THE GREAT SAN  
22 FRANCISCO EARTHQUAKE. SO WE JOIN WITH YOU AND WE APPRECIATE  
23 YOUR BOARD'S RECOGNITION OF THIS WONDERFUL ACCOMPLISHMENT AND  
24 THE HARD WORK OF OUR FIRE FIGHTERS MUSEUM ASSOCIATION. SO



The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1    THANK YOU VERY MUCH ON BEHALF OF THE ASSOCIATION AND THE  
2    ENTIRE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES. [ APPLAUSE ]

3

4    **SUP. KNABE:** CHIEF FREEMAN ASSURED ME HE WAS NOT ON THAT TRUCK  
5    IN 1906. [ LIGHT LAUGHTER ].

6

7    **SUP. KNABE:** NEXT, IT'S MY PLEASURE TO CALL UP MR. TOM MORGAN,  
8    WHO IS THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GOLF  
9    ASSOCIATION. THE SCGA IS ONE OF THE LARGEST REGIONAL AMATEUR  
10   GOLF ASSOCIATIONS IN THE COUNTRY, SERVING OVER 1,200 MEMBER  
11   CLUBS AND OVER 165,000 INDIVIDUAL MEMBERS. TOM HAS HAD OVER 40  
12   YEARS OF CONTINUOUS SERVICE TO THE SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA  
13   ATHLETIC POPULATION. BEFORE BECOMING EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE  
14   SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GOLF ASSOCIATION IN THE EARLY '90S, HE WAS  
15   THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER OF ATHLETICS FOR THE CIF, SOUTHERN  
16   SECTION AND THE ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE SCGA AND  
17   THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE CENTURY CLUB OF SAN DIEGO AND  
18   THE BUICK INVITATIONAL, CALIFORNIA, WHICH IS JUST A PGA GOLF  
19   TOURNAMENT, JUST TO NAME A FEW. SO, ON BEHALF OF MY COLLEAGUES  
20   AND THE CITIZENS OF THIS COUNTY, WE WANT TO SAY THANK YOU FOR  
21   FOUR PLUS DECADES OF SERVICE TO THE ATHLETIC AND GOLFING  
22   POPULATION OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA AND WISH TOM THE VERY, VERY  
23   BEST IN HIS RETIREMENT. HE'S HAD A VERY POSITIVE IMPACT, NOT  
24   ONLY ON ALL ATHLETICS BUT GOLF IN PARTICULAR, PARTICULARLY  
25   JUNIOR GOLF FOR KIDS. AND SO WE WANT TO SAY A HEARTFELT





The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1 THANKS, WISH HIM GOOD HEALTH AND A GREAT RETIREMENT. TOM? [  
2 APPLAUSE ]

3

4 **SUP. KNABE:** NEXT, I'D LIKE TO ASK DALE HARBOR DAY AND DEEDEE  
5 HICKS FROM THE VOLUNTEER CENTER SOUTH BAY LONG BEACH TO COME  
6 FORWARD. TODAY, WE'RE HONORING THE VOLUNTEER CENTER FOR THEIR  
7 EXTRAORDINARY SERVICE TO THE COMMUNITY. THIS IS JUST A  
8 MAGNIFICENT AWARD. RECENTLY, THE VOLUNTEER CENTER WAS NAMED  
9 THE TOP VOLUNTEER CENTER FOR 2006 AT THE ANNUAL POINTS OF  
10 LIGHT NATIONAL CONFERENCE IN SEATTLE. THE CENTER WAS CHOSEN  
11 FROM SOME 400 VOLUNTEER CENTERS THROUGHOUT THE UNITED STATES  
12 OF AMERICA. THE VOLUNTEER CENTER SUPPORTS AND OVERSEES  
13 ACTIVITIES FOR THE SOUTH BAY, HARBOR AND LONG BEACH  
14 COMMUNITIES, INCLUDING CREATING A NEW SUPPORT GROUP FOR THEIR  
15 ANNUAL SCHOOL BACKPACK DISTRIBUTION PROGRAM FOR THE FIRST  
16 GRADERS IN NEED, ORGANIZING A DRIVE TO COLLECT AND SHIP MORE  
17 THAN 7,000 POUNDS OF SUPPLIES IN NEW ORLEANS TO THOSE AFFECTED  
18 BY HURRICANE KATRINA AND IS SERVING MORE THAN \$1 MILLION OF  
19 IN-KIND DONATIONS TO CHILDREN THROUGHOUT THEIR SERVICE AREA,  
20 RECRUITING AND MATCHING VOLUNTEERS TO LOCAL SERVICE  
21 OPPORTUNITIES. SO, ON BEHALF OF MYSELF AND MY COLLEAGUES AND  
22 THE CITIZENS OF THIS COUNTY, AGAIN, WE'D LIKE TO PRESENT THIS  
23 SCROLL IN RECOGNITION OF SUCH A SIGNIFICANT AWARD AS THE  
24 NUMBER ONE VOLUNTEER CENTER IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
25 FOR 2006. CONGRATULATIONS. [ APPLAUSE ]



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **DEEDEE HICKS:** THANK YOU VERY MUCH. WE'RE REALLY EXCITED ABOUT  
3 THIS. THE VOLUNTEER CENTER SOUTH BAY HARBOR LONG BEACH, THE  
4 BOARD, THE VOLUNTEERS, THE STAFF, AND SUPPORTERS, THANK YOU  
5 FOR THIS RECOGNITION. THE VOLUNTEER CENTER HAS MANY DIFFERENT  
6 SOCIAL PROGRAMS BUT ONE OF OUR MAIN PURPOSES IS TO RECRUIT  
7 VOLUNTEERS FOR 600 NONPROFIT ORGANIZATIONS IN THE SOUTH BAY.  
8 NOW, WE NOT ONLY RECRUIT THE VOLUNTEERS, WE HAVE A GREAT  
9 TRAINING PROGRAM FOR OUR YOUTH AND WE ARE EVEN STARTING  
10 SERVICE LEARNING TO THE LITTLE FIRST GRADERS WHEN WE DELIVER  
11 BACKPACKS TO THEM. NOW, I COULD GO ON AND ON BUT I WILL SPARE  
12 YOU THAT, I WON'T DO IT BUT I WILL TELL YOU THAT WE DO HAVE  
13 SOMETHING A LITTLE UNIQUE. WE SAVED A HISTORICAL BUILDING IN  
14 TORRANCE AND IT WAS A MORTUARY BUILDING BUT IT HAS MADE A  
15 WONDERFUL PLACE FOR US TO HAVE OUR MAIN OFFICE AND WE'RE VERY  
16 PROUD OF HAVING DONE SOMETHING FOR THE ENVIRONMENT AS WELL.  
17 COME BY TO SEE US SOME TIME AT 1230 CRAVENS AVENUE IN  
18 TORRANCE. IT'S ONE OF OUR OFFICES AND WE ALSO HAVE FIVE  
19 SATELLITE OFFICES. THANK YOU, THANK YOU, THANK YOU, SUPERVISOR  
20 KNABE. [ APPLAUSE ]

21

22 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** AT THIS TIME, IT'S A SAD TIME BECAUSE  
23 WE WANT TO SAY GOOD-BYE TO A VERY GOOD FRIEND, A SUPERB  
24 ADMINISTRATOR, AN INDIVIDUAL COMPETENT LEADER THAT WAS A  
25 POSITIVE ROLE MODEL FOR THE ENTIRE COMMUNITY BUT, MORE



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1    IMPORTANTLY, FOR THE CHILDREN THAT HE WAS ABLE TO ASSIST TO  
2    FIND LOVING, PRODUCTIVE HOMES AND A FUTURE. DR. SANDERS, WHO  
3    HAS SERVED AS DIRECTOR OF THE DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND  
4    FAMILY SERVICES FOR THE PAST 3-1/2 YEARS, HAS PROVIDED A CLEAR  
5    FOCUS SUPPORTING INNOVATIONS TO INCREASE CHILD SAFETY AND  
6    PERMANENCY AND THE DEPARTMENT HAS EXPERIENCED SUCCESS, MANY,  
7    MANY SUCCESSES UNDER HIS LEADERSHIP. SINCE DR. SANDERS'  
8    ARRIVAL 3-1/2 YEARS AGO, THE COUNTY'S FOSTER CARE ROLES HAVE  
9    DECREASED BY 26%. 42% OF CHILDREN REMOVED FROM THEIR PARENTS  
10   ARE NOW REUNIFIED WITHIN A YEAR OF THEIR REMOVAL. ADOPTIONS  
11   HAVE BEEN FINALIZED ON NEARLY 6,000 CHILDREN OVER THE PAST  
12   THREE YEARS. THE LENGTH OF TIME CHILDREN SPEND IN FOSTER CARE  
13   HAS BEEN REDUCED BY 30%, ABOUT A YEAR AND A HALF. HE HAS  
14   DEMONSTRATED A SPIRIT OF COMMUNITY PARTNERSHIP BY EFFECTIVELY  
15   REACHING OUT TO STAKEHOLDERS AND FAMILIES IN OUR COUNTY TO  
16   HELP CHILDREN IN NEED. THIS PAST MARCH, OUR OFFICES  
17   COLLABORATED ON A FAITH-BASED OUTREACH BREAKFAST TO ENGAGE  
18   CHURCHES AND SYNAGOGUES ON BEHALF OF OUR FOSTER YOUTH. SO, DR.  
19   SANDERS, WE WANT TO THANK YOU FOR YOUR LEADERSHIP, YOUR  
20   VISION, THE ABILITY TO SHOW THAT PROPER MANAGEMENT CAN MAKE A  
21   DIFFERENCE IN A CHILD'S LIFE AND A COUNTY'S ABILITY TO PROVIDE  
22   THE SERVICES, THE QUALITY SERVICES THAT WE ARE ENTRUSTED TO  
23   PROVIDE. SO, DR. SANDERS, GOD BLESS YOU AND CONTINUED SUCCESS  
24   IN YOUR NEW POSITION WITH THE CASIE FOUNDATION, WHICH WILL BE



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1   HELPING FOSTER CHILDREN IN THE ENTIRE UNITED STATES. [

2   APPLAUSE ]

3

4   **DR. DAVID SANDERS:** I WANT TO THANK MAYOR ANTONOVICH AND THE  
5   BOARD. THE DEPARTMENT'S SUCCESS OVER THE LAST 3-1/2 YEARS HAS  
6   REALLY BEEN DIRECTLY ATTRIBUTABLE TO THE LEADERSHIP OF THE  
7   BOARD OF SUPERVISORS. THE MAYOR, THROUGH HIS LEADERSHIP ON THE  
8   PERMANENCY PARTNERSHIP INITIATIVE AND CONCURRENT PLANNING, HAS  
9   ASSURED THAT OLDER YOUTH WILL ACHIEVE LEGAL PERMANENCY FASTER  
10   THAN EVER BEFORE AND THAT THOSE YOUTH WILL NOT LANGUISH IN  
11   FOSTER CARE AND WILL HAVE A START TO A SUCCESSFUL LIFE.  
12   SUPERVISOR MOLINA, THROUGH THE CREATION OF THE OFFICE OF  
13   INDEPENDENT REVIEW AND THE FOCUS ON CHILDREN ON SKID ROW. THE  
14   OFFICE OF INDEPENDENT REVIEW HAS RESULTED IN INCREASED  
15   ACCOUNTABILITY AND TRANSPARENCY FOR THE DEPARTMENT AND BOTH  
16   INITIATIVES WILL RESULT IN IMPROVED SAFETY FOR CHILDREN.  
17   SUPERVISOR BURKE, THROUGH HER SUPPORT OF THE COMPTON PROJECT  
18   AND POINT OF ENGAGEMENT HAS ASSURED THAT THE DEPARTMENT NOW  
19   ENGAGES FAMILIES DIFFERENTLY THAN EVER BEFORE, RESULTING IN  
20   MORE CHILDREN BEING SERVED SAFELY AND THEIR FAMILIES.  
21   SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, THROUGH THE EDUCATION COORDINATING  
22   COUNCIL AND THE PREVENTION INITIATIVE, HAS DONE TWO CRITICAL  
23   THINGS: HAS ASSURED THAT THE DEPARTMENT AND THE COUNTY FOCUS  
24   ON PREVENTING ABUSE OR NEGLECT IN THE FIRST PLACE AND, WHEN  
25   CHILDREN ARE PLACED, ASSURE THAT THEY THRIVE THROUGH



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 EDUCATIONAL SUCCESS. AND FINALLY, SUPERVISOR KNABE, THROUGH  
2 THE PREVENTION INITIATIVE, THROUGH SAFELY SURRENDERED BABIES  
3 AND THROUGH CO-LOCATION WITH LAW ENFORCEMENT, HAS CHANGED OUR  
4 RELATIONSHIP WITH LAW ENFORCEMENT SO THAT WE'RE WORKING  
5 EFFECTIVELY IN INVESTIGATIONS AND THE SAFELY SURRENDERED BABY  
6 LAW HAS NOT ONLY SAVED THE LIVES OF OVER 40 NEWBORNS BUT EVERY  
7 SINGLE ONE OF THEM HAS EITHER BEEN ADOPTED OR IS WITH A FAMILY  
8 THAT HAS COMMITTED TO ADOPTING THEM. THANK YOU FOR YOUR  
9 LEADERSHIP AND FOR ASSURING IMPROVED OUTCOMES FOR CHILDREN IN  
10 LOS ANGELES COUNTY. THANKS ALSO TO DAVID JANSSEN FOR HIS  
11 VISION AND COMMITMENT AND THANKS TO OTHER DEPARTMENT DIRECTORS  
12 FOR THEIR SUPPORT. AND, FINALLY, I WANT TO THANK THE STAFF OF  
13 THE DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES. THE DEPARTMENT  
14 HAS BEEN GIVEN THE INCREDIBLE RESPONSIBILITY OF ASSURING THE  
15 SAFETY, STABILITY AND WELLBEING OF OVER 40,000 CHILDREN EVERY  
16 DAY IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY, 21,000 OF WHOM ARE IN FOSTER CARE.  
17 AND, THROUGH THEIR VERY HARD WORK, THEIR COMPETENT WORK,  
18 CHILDREN ARE SAFER AND MORE STABLE TODAY THAN EVER BEFORE AND  
19 I'M CONFIDENT THE IMPROVEMENT WILL CONTINUE, SO THANK YOU. [  
20 APPLAUSE ]

21  
22 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** MR. CHAIRMAN, BEFORE WE TAKE THE  
23 PHOTOGRAPHS, I WANT TO JUST SAY A WORD AND REALLY TO REITERATE  
24 YOUR COMMENTS EARLIER WHEN YOU PRESENTED THE PROCLAMATION,  
25 BECAUSE I DON'T-- I DON'T THINK THAT DR. SANDERS' WORK OUTSIDE





The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1 THE COUNTY FAMILY IS APPRECIATED NEARLY ENOUGH. CERTAINLY,  
2 BEFORE HE CAME, THIS WAS ONE OF THE MOST TROUBLED DEPARTMENTS,  
3 IF NOT THE MOST TROUBLED DEPARTMENT, IN THE COUNTY. THERE WERE  
4 STORIES ABOUT IT, GRUESOME STORIES ABOUT IT ALMOST EVERY WEEK  
5 IN MORE THAN ONE NEWSPAPER IN THIS CITY. IT WAS A TRANSPARENT  
6 EMBARRASSMENT TO COUNTY GOVERNMENT. WE FOUND THE RIGHT MAN AND  
7 BROUGHT HIM OUT OF THE COLD IN MINNESOTA AND, IN THREE YEARS,  
8 THAT'S ALL HE'S BEEN HERE, IS THREE YEARS, HE'S TURNED THAT  
9 DEPARTMENT AND POINTED IT IN THE RIGHT DIRECTION AND IT'S ON  
10 ITS WAY TO WHERE IT SHOULD BE. AND I JUST WANT TO SAY, AS ONE  
11 MEMBER OF THE BOARD, ALL OF US APPRECIATE YOUR KIND WORDS  
12 ABOUT THE BOARD BUT THE FACT IS, YOU'RE THE MAN WHO IS CHARGED  
13 WITH THE RESPONSIBILITY OF EXECUTING AND DOING THE JOB, AND WE  
14 GAVE YOU OUR BACKING BUT YOU DID THE WORK AND IT WAS YOUR  
15 BRILLIANCE, YOUR SKILL THAT HAS TAKEN ONE OF THE MOST TROUBLED  
16 DEPARTMENTS AND MADE IT, I THINK, ONE OF THE GREAT SUCCESS  
17 STORIES THAT I'VE EVER BEEN PRIVILEGED TO BE A PART OF AND TO  
18 WATCH HOW YOU OPERATE. IT'S A TERRIBLE THING YOU'RE LEAVING. I  
19 WILL NEVER FORGIVE YOU FOR IT. [ LAUGHTER ]

20

21 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** AND-- BUT MORE IMPORTANTLY, I'LL NEVER GIVE  
22 THE CASIE FAMILY FOUNDATION FOR STEALING YOU FROM US BUT WE  
23 UNDERSTAND WHAT AN OPPORTUNITY THIS IS FOR YOU. BUT, IN THREE  
24 SHORT YEARS, YOU HAVE LEFT AN INDELIBLE IMPRINT ON THE



The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1 CHILDREN OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. [

2 APPLAUSE ]

3

4 **SUP. BURKE:** MR. CHAIRMAN, I WOULD JUST LIKE TO ADD A FEW

5 THINGS. CERTAINLY DR. SANDERS HAS BROUGHT CREATIVITY TO OUR

6 DEPARTMENT. BUT WHAT HE HAS DONE IS THAT HE HAS ESTABLISHED

7 PROGRAMS THAT WILL HAVE A NATIONAL IMPACT AND WE HOPE THAT, AS

8 HE GOES TO THE CASIE FOUNDATION, THAT THEY WILL SEE FIT TO

9 GIVE HIM TIME TO COME BACK TO LOS ANGELES COUNTY AND TO

10 PROVIDE FOR US SOME RESOURCES WHERE WE CAN CONTINUE SOME OF

11 THE INITIATIVES THAT HE HAS AND SOME OF THOSE THAT WERE ON THE

12 DRAWING BOARD BECAUSE THERE IS A WIDER IMPACT THAT CAN BE

13 BENEFITED FROM OUR RELATIONSHIP WITH THE CASIE FOUNDATION AND

14 THEN ESTABLISHING THIS KIND OF RELATIONSHIP WHERE WE'LL BE

15 ABLE TO DEVELOP MORE AND MORE PROGRAMS OUT OF THIS UNIQUE

16 COMMUNITY THAT CAN AFFECT THE ENTIRE NATION. WE DON'T WANT TO

17 LOSE YOU BUT WE RECOGNIZE THERE IS A POSSIBILITY WE CAN

18 CONTINUE THIS RELATIONSHIP. THANK YOU. [ APPLAUSE ] [ APPLAUSE

19 CONTINUES ]

20

21 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** IN THE FIELD OF PUBLIC SAFETY, WE

22 RECOGNIZE ANOTHER GIANT TODAY, IN MORE WAYS THAN ONE.

23 LITERALLY. AND THAT'S OUR CHIEF STEVE SIMONIAN, WHO IS NOW

24 RETIRING FROM THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S

25 OFFICE AFTER 5-1/2 YEARS OF DEDICATED SERVICE. HE BEGAN HIS



The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1 CAREER AS A POLICE OFFICER IN THE CITY OF MONTEBELLO. HE MOVED  
2 UP THE RANKS TO BECOME CHIEF OF POLICE IN 1989. HE RETIRED  
3 FROM THE MONTEBELLO POLICE DEPARTMENT IN 1998 AS THE CHIEF OF  
4 POLICE, CONTINUED HIS OUTSTANDING SERVICE BY BEING A COUNCIL  
5 MEMBER OF THE CITY OF LA HABRA, WHERE HE CURRENTLY SERVES AS  
6 THE MAYOR FOR THE CITY OF LA HABRA. IN 2000, CHIEF SIMONIAN  
7 WAS SELECTED BY THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY TO SERVE AS THE CHIEF OF  
8 THE BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION FOR OUR COUNTY'S DISTRICT  
9 ATTORNEY'S OFFICE. IN 2006, HE RECEIVED THE LIFETIME  
10 ACHIEVEMENT AWARD FROM THE PEACE OFFICERS ASSOCIATION OF LOS  
11 ANGELES COUNTY, THE ASSOCIATION OF LOS ANGELES DEPUTY  
12 SHERIFFS' LIFETIME ACHIEVEMENT AWARD AND HONORARY MEMBERSHIP  
13 IN THE CHIMERA SOCIETY. SO, STEVE, WE THANK YOU FOR MANY, MANY  
14 DEDICATED YEARS OF SERVICE. I KNOW DISTRICT ATTORNEY STEVE  
15 COOLEY WILL MISS YOUR LEADERSHIP BUT WE KNOW DAN RAVETTI, THE  
16 NEW CHIEF WILL BE FOLLOWING IN YOUR FOOTSTEPS IN PROVIDING  
17 THAT INTEGRITY AND LEADERSHIP AND POSITIVE ROLE MODEL FOR OUR  
18 COMMUNITY, SO CONGRATULATIONS. [ APPLAUSE ]

19

20 **CHIEF STEVE SIMONIAN:** I'D LIKE TO THANK THE MAYOR FOR THIS  
21 BEAUTIFUL SCROLL. 40 YEARS OF LAW ENFORCEMENT'S A LONG, LONG  
22 TIME. BASICALLY, JUST RAN OUT OF GAS, AND, AT THE PRICE OF GAS  
23 TODAY, COULDN'T AFFORD THE FILL THE TANK BACK UP, SO I'M  
24 LEAVING. BUT I GET TO TELL YOU THAT I'VE MADE A LOT OF PUBLIC  
25 APPEARANCES BUT THIS ONE MADE ME EXTREMELY NERVOUS BECAUSE,



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 WHEN I GOT THE AGENDA AND I SAW THAT I FELL BETWEEN AN ANTIQUE  
2 MUSEUM AND A PET AUCTION, THE SLIGHTEST MISTAKE I COULD HAVE  
3 SPENT THE REST OF MY LIFE IN REAL TURMOIL, SO I APPRECIATE THE  
4 STAFF'S ABILITY. I WOULD LIKE TO THANK MR. DAVID JANSSEN, THE  
5 C.E.O., FOR ALWAYS TREATING OUR OFFICE WITH RESPECT AND  
6 FAIRNESS. IT'S BEEN A PLEASURE WORKING WITH YOU, DAVID, AND  
7 THE ENTIRE BOARD, I FELT, IT'S ALWAYS BEEN AN HONOR TO WORK  
8 FOR YOU AND WITH YOU ON BEHALF OF THE PEOPLE OF L.A. COUNTY. I  
9 WILL MISS YOU ALL DEEPLY. I'D LIKE TO THANK THE DISTRICT  
10 ATTORNEY FOR HONORING ME, FOR ALLOWING ME TO SERVE THE LAST 5-  
11 1/2 YEARS AND I'D LIKE TO INTRODUCE MY REPLACEMENT IN THE  
12 AUDIENCE TODAY IS DOMINIC REVETTI, THE NEW CHIEF OF THE BUREAU  
13 OF INVESTIGATIONS. DOM, IF YOU'D STAND UP. [ APPLAUSE ] DOM  
14 AND I GO BACK SOME 30 YEARS. HE'S A GREAT GUY AND WILL DO A  
15 GREAT JOB. THANK YOU ALL. I'LL MISS YOU ALL.

16

17 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** DISTRICT ATTORNEY STEVE COOLEY.

18

19 **STEVE COOLEY:** THE DA'S BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION PERFORMS A VERY  
20 SPECIAL TASK IN THE LAW ENFORCEMENT WORLD. IN THE DA'S OFFICE,  
21 THEY NOT ONLY HELP US PUT CASES TOGETHER BUT THEY HANDLE  
22 CASES, ORIGINAL JURISDICTION, INCLUDING PUBLIC INTEGRITY  
23 INVESTIGATIONS, INVESTIGATIONS OF OUR JUSTICE SYSTEM IN TERMS  
24 OF INTEGRITY ISSUES, MANY COMPLEX FRAUDS, A WIDE VARIETY OF  
25 THOSE. IT TAKES A SPECIAL PERSON TO BE A BUREAU INVESTIGATOR



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 AND IT TAKES A VERY SPECIAL PERSON TO LEAD THAT GREAT LAW  
2 ENFORCEMENT AGENCY. STEVE SIMONIAN WAS THE FIRST PERSON I  
3 APPOINTED AFTER I WAS ELECTED. IT WAS, I MUST SAY, A BRILLIANT  
4 APPOINTMENT. HE'S LED THE BUREAU IN SUCH A WAY THAT THEY ARE  
5 VERY WELL TRAINED, THEY HAVE A HIGH SENSE ESPRIT DE CORPS AND  
6 THEY'RE HIGHLY PROFESSIONAL. YOU CAN READ ABOUT THEIR EXPLOITS  
7 AND THEIR WORK EVERY DAY BUT IT TAKES GREAT LEADERS TO LEAD A  
8 GREAT LAW ENFORCEMENT ORGANIZATION IN THIS COUNTY AND THE  
9 D.A.'S OFFICE AND WE'RE BLESSED WITH THE SERVICES OF CHIEF  
10 STEVE SIMONIAN, WHO FINISHED HIS 40 YEARS IN LAW ENFORCEMENT,  
11 THE LAST 5-1/2 BEING THE CHIEF OF A VERY GREAT PROFESSIONAL  
12 LAW ENFORCEMENT ORGANIZATION, THE L.A. COUNTY D.A.'S OFFICE,  
13 BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION, SO THANK YOU, CHIEF, FOR A JOB WELL  
14 DONE. [ APPLAUSE ]

15

16 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** IN THE BACK, WE WOULD LIKE TO  
17 RECOGNIZE THE DALIAN LANGUAGE SCHOOL, THEY'RE HERE ON AN  
18 EXCHANGE PROGRAM FOR THE SUMMER, THESE STUDENTS. IF YOU'D  
19 PLEASE STAND UP FROM LIAU NING, CHINA, AND THE THE BEAUTIFUL  
20 CITY OF DALIAN AND THEY'RE STUDYING IN THE SAN GABRIEL VALLEY  
21 FOR THE SUMMER IN A STUDENT EXCHANGE PROGRAM, SO WELCOME TO  
22 LOS ANGELES COUNTY. [ APPLAUSE ]

23

24 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** NOW FOR THE COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF  
25 EDUCATION, WE'RE GOING TO RECOGNIZE DANIEL MILLER, WHO IS





**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 RETIRING AFTER 20 YEARS OF DEDICATED SERVICE. WITH US, DANIEL  
2 TODAY IS SOPHIA WAH, WHO IS L.A. COUNTY OFFICE EDUCATION BOARD  
3 PRESIDENT AND REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE FIFTH SUPERVISORIAL  
4 DISTRICT; ELIZABETH LIMB, WHO IS THE ASSISTANT SUPERINTENDENT;  
5 PHIL ANSHELD, WHO IS THE D.P.S.S. ASSISTANT DIRECTOR OF BUREAU  
6 PROGRAM POLICY; MARGARET QUINN, D.P.S.S. CHIEF, GAIN PROGRAM,  
7 AND HIS WIFE, FRANCES, AND A FRIEND, BILL. A RESIDENT OF LA  
8 CRESCENTA, DAN IS THE CURRENT DIVISION DIRECTOR OF L.A.  
9 COUNTY'S OFFICE OF EDUCATION'S GREATER AVENUES TO  
10 INDEPENDENCE. A KEY PARTNER WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC  
11 SOCIAL SERVICES GAIN AND GROW WELFARE-TO-WORK PROGRAMS. HE HAS  
12 COMMITTED HIMSELF TO COLLABORATING WITH THE D.P.S.S. AND  
13 COMMUNITY LEADERS IN BUILDING SELF-SUFFICIENCY THROUGH  
14 EMPLOYMENT AND TRANSFORMING THE PORTRAIT AND PACE OF WELFARE  
15 REFORM. HE'S RETIRING FROM A LONG AND ACCOMPLISHED CAREER IN  
16 PUBLIC TEACHING AND VOCATIONAL PROGRAMS ADMINISTRATION,  
17 INCLUDING WORK AS AN INDUSTRIAL ARTS INSTRUCTOR AND  
18 OUTSTANDING BOYS BASKETBALL COACH FOR LA CANADA HIGH SCHOOL.  
19 HE'S ALSO SERVED AS DISTRICT COORDINATOR FOR VOCATIONAL  
20 PROGRAMS AS LA CANADA'S REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE LOS ANGELES  
21 COUNTY'S REGIONAL OCCUPATION PROGRAM, OPERATED IN PARTNERSHIP  
22 BY THE OFFICE OF EDUCATION, WITH 22 LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICTS. HE  
23 JOINED L.A.C.O.E.'S R.O.P. AS COORDINATOR IN CHARGE FOR THE  
24 JOB PLACEMENT UNIT POSITION WHICH HAS DIRECTLY-- LED HIM  
25 DIRECTLY TO WORK IN THE GAIN WELFARE REFORM INITIATIVE. SO,



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 DAN, THANK YOU FOR YOUR MANY GOOD YEARS OF SERVICE AND  
2 PROVIDING EDUCATIONAL OPPORTUNITIES AND A FUTURE FOR THOSE  
3 INDIVIDUALS WHO HAVE GONE THROUGH YOUR PROGRAMS. [ APPLAUSE ]

4

5 **DANIEL MILLER:** MAYOR ANTONOVICH, SUPERVISORS MOLINA, BURKE,  
6 YAROSLAVSKY, AND KNABE. ON BEHALF OF THE L.A.C.O.E. GAIN AND  
7 GROW TEAM, I WOULD LIKE TO THANK YOU FOR ENTRUSTING US WITH  
8 THIS VITAL WORK. I'M HUMBLLED TO ACCEPT THIS ACKNOWLEDGEMENT,  
9 FULLY REALIZING IT'S DUE TO A GREAT STAFF AT THE BOARD OF  
10 EDUCATION, LED BY MY FRIEND AND ADVOCATE, SOPHIA WAH, DR.  
11 DARLENE ROBLES, A SUPERINTENDENT WITH PASSIONATE VISION,  
12 ASSISTANT SUPERINTENDENT, ELIZABETH LYNN, A KIND,  
13 COMPASSIONATE SUPERVISOR AND VERY COLLABORATIVE AND POSITIVE  
14 PARTNER IN D.P.S.S. THANK YOU, SUPERVISORS, FOR ENVISIONING  
15 AND DEMANDING A PROGRAM OF QUALITY FOR OUR COUNTY'S WELFARE  
16 PARTICIPANTS. ..(VOICE WAVERING)... THEY HAVE PROVEN THAT,  
17 WITH A HELPING HAND, THEY CAN RETURN TO THE PATH OF ACHIEVING  
18 THE AMERICAN DREAM. [ APPLAUSE ]

19

20 **SPEAKER:** AS YOU CAN SEE, DAN IS A VERY PASSIONATE PERSON AND  
21 HE'S LOVED EVERY MOMENT THAT HE HAS SERVED THIS COUNTY AND ITS  
22 CITIZENS. ON BEHALF OF OUR SUPERINTENDENT, DR. DARLENE ROBLES,  
23 WE'RE GOING TO MISS DAN BUT HE'S GOING TO BE WITH HIS FAMILY  
24 THAT HE LOVES SO DEARLY. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. [ APPLAUSE ]

25



The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1 **SPEAKER:** WE'VE PROVEN IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY THAT PARENTS AND  
2 SINGLE ADULTS RECEIVING WELFARE CASH ASSISTANCE HAVE THE  
3 ABILITY AND THE WILL TO MAKE THE TRANSITION FROM WELFARE TO  
4 WORK AND WE PROVED IT FIRST AHEAD OF ANY OTHER MAJOR URBAN  
5 AREA IN THIS COUNTRY. DAN MILLER, THROUGH HIS LEADERSHIP AT  
6 L.A.C.O.E., HAS BEEN A CORE PARTNER IN THAT EFFORT AND IT WAS  
7 DAN'S VISION AND PASSION AND BELIEF IN THE ABILITY OF PARENTS  
8 AND SINGLE ADULTS ON WELFARE THAT WE'RE AT THE HEART OF WHAT  
9 WE'VE BEEN ABLE TO ACCOMPLISH IN HELPING SO MANY WELFARE  
10 PARTICIPANTS IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY IMPROVE THEIR LIVES THROUGH  
11 EMPLOYMENT. WE'RE GOING TO MISS DAN BUT WE'RE GOING TO LOOK  
12 FORWARD TO WORKING WITH THE GREAT TEAM THAT HE HAS LED AND  
13 BUILT OVER THE YEARS IN CONTINUING TO HELP THOUSANDS OF  
14 WELFARE RECIPIENTS IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY MAKE THE SUCCESSFUL  
15 TRANSITION FROM WELFARE TO WORK. THANK YOU. [ APPLAUSE ]

16

17 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** NOW WE HAVE A LITTLE 20-WEEK-OLD  
18 TERRIER MIX, DOROTHY, WHO IS LOOKING FOR A HOME AND IT LOOKS  
19 LIKE A DISNEY MOVIE CRITTER. LITTLE DOROTHY FROM THE WIZARD OF  
20 OZ, ANYWAY, LOOKING FOR A HOME. ANYBODY'D LIKE TO ADOPT HER,  
21 YOU CAN CALL 562-728-4644 AND LITTLE DOROTHY WILL BE YOURS.  
22 SEE EVERYBODY? HMM? WE'RE GOING TO HAVE HER TALK TO SYLVESTER  
23 ON HOW TO BEHAVE ON THE CAMERA FROM LAST WEEK. OKAY.  
24 SUPERVISOR BURKE.

25



The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1    **SUP. BURKE:** WE'D LIKE TO CALL FORWARD JONATHAN STRICKLAND AND  
2    ROBIN PETGRAVE, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF TOMORROW'S AERONAUTICS  
3    MUSEUM. JONATHAN IS ONLY 14 YEARS OLD AND HAS BROKEN FOUR  
4    WORLD RECORDS IN HIS BRIEF BUT SUCCESSFUL AERONAUTICAL CAREER.  
5    HE IS THE YOUNGEST PERSON TO SOLO BOTH A HELICOPTER AND  
6    AIRPLANE ON THE SAME DAY, THE YOUNGEST AFRICAN-AMERICAN SOLO  
7    HELICOPTER, THE YOUNGEST AFRICAN-AMERICAN TO FLY A HELICOPTER  
8    INTERNATIONAL AND THE YOUNGEST AFRICAN-AMERICAN TO FLY A  
9    HELICOPTER ROUNDTrip INTERNATIONALLY. JONATHAN IS NO STRANGER  
10   TO CHALLENGES. THIS PAST JUNE, HE WENT TO CANADA TO QUALIFY  
11   FOR HIS PILOT'S LICENSE, WHERE THE QUALIFYING AGE IS 14,  
12   UNLIKE IN THE UNITED STATES OF 16. HE TOOK TWO P-STAR WRITTEN  
13   EXAMS, WHICH INCLUDED 50 QUESTIONS FOR THE AIRPLANE EXAM AND  
14   200 QUESTIONS FOR THE HELICOPTER EXAM. HE ACED BOTH OF THESE  
15   EXAMS WITH 90 AND 93% SCORES. WE MUST ACKNOWLEDGE WHO MADE  
16   THIS EXPERIENCE POSSIBLE, TOMORROW'S AERONAUTICAL MUSEUM,  
17   THROUGH THE LEADERSHIP OF SANDRA MANLEY OF NORTHROP GRUMMAN  
18   CORPORATION AND NORTHROP GRUMMAN PROVIDED THE FUNDING FOR THE  
19   PROGRAM, IN ADDITION TO THE MONEY FOR JONATHAN'S TRIP TO  
20   CANADA. TOMORROW'S AERONAUTICAL MUSEUM IS A NONPROFIT  
21   ORGANIZATION LOCATED IN COMPTON, DEDICATED TO TEACHING  
22   ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED MINORITY CHILDREN HOW TO FLY AND,  
23   OF COURSE, ROBIN PETGRAVE IS THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR AND CHIEF  
24   FLIGHT INSTRUCTOR. HIS VISION HAS RESULTED IN RECORDS IN  
25   FLYING BEING SET BY MINORITY BLACK AND LATINO CHILDREN TRAINED



The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1 TO FLY IN THE MUSEUM'S AVIATION EXPLORER PROGRAM. I'D ALSO  
2 LIKE TO ACKNOWLEDGE LEVI THORNHILL OF THE L.A. CHAPTER OF  
3 TUSKEGEE AIRMAN. HE'S PRESENT WITH US HERE TODAY. AND I KNOW  
4 IT MEANS A GREAT DEAL, I'M SURE, TO THE TUSKEGEE AIRMEN, WHO  
5 WERE THE ONES WHO LED THE WAY IN FLYING IN TERMS OF AFRICAN-  
6 AMERICANS, THAT THEY NOW SEE THIS WHOLE GENERATION OF YOUNG  
7 PEOPLE COMING UP AND ESTABLISHING ALL OF THESE RECORDS AND HE  
8 HAS PROVIDED INSPIRATION, MENTORING, LEADERSHIP AND GUIDANCE  
9 TO THE YOUTH INVOLVED IN THIS PROGRAM. I'M ALSO VERY PLEASED  
10 TO SAY TO JONATHAN STRICKLAND THAT HIS RECORD-SETTING  
11 ACCOMPLISHMENTS AND ROBIN PETGRAVE FOR YOUR VALUABLE SERVICE  
12 AND ALL OF THIS INSTRUCTION YOU PROVIDED IS REALLY-- YOU'RE  
13 PROVIDING ROLE MODELS FOR SO MANY YOUNG PEOPLE AND WE  
14 APPRECIATE SO MUCH WHAT YOU HAVE DONE AND WE ONLY HOPE THAT  
15 THERE ARE MORE YOUNG PEOPLE WHO COME ALONG AND HAVE A CHANCE  
16 TO PARTICIPATE IN THIS WAY. AND IT TAKES ALSO DEDICATED ADULTS  
17 WHO HAVE THE KNOWLEDGE AND THE WILLINGNESS TO SPEND THE TIME  
18 BUT IT ALSO TAKES SOME VERY TALENTED YOUNG PEOPLE LIKE  
19 JONATHAN. CONGRATULATIONS. [ APPLAUSE ]

20

21 **JONATHAN STRICKLAND:** THANK YOU. THANK YOU FOR INVITING ME TO  
22 THIS MEETING. MY NAME IS JONATHAN, YOU ALREADY KNOW. I FLEW TO  
23 CANADA A COUPLE OF WEEKS AGO AND THE TRIP WAS OVER 1,000 MILES  
24 ONE WAY. WHEN I GOT TO CANADA, I TOOK MY P-STAR FOR THE  
25 AIRPLANE, IT WAS 50 QUESTIONS, I GOT A 90 ON IT. FOR THE





**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1   HELICOPTERS, IT WAS 200 AND I GOT A 93 ON IT AND A DAY-- A  
2   COUPLE DAYS AFTER I TOOK THE TEST, I WENT TO MY HOTEL ROOM,  
3   GOT SOME SLEEP AND THE NEXT DAY I SOLOED IN A AIRPLANE AND IN  
4   A HELICOPTER IN THE SAME DAY. ANY QUESTIONS? [ LAUGHTER ] [  
5   APPLAUSE ]

6

7   **ROBIN PETGRAVE:** THANK YOU FOR THE STANDING OVATION. THAT WAS  
8   REALLY WONDERFUL. MY NAME IS ROBIN PETGRAVE AND I'M THE  
9   EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE TOMORROW'S AERONAUTICAL MUSEUM. WHAT  
10   YOU ARE SEEING IS AN EXAMPLE OF WHAT PEOPLE CAN DO IF THEY PUT  
11   THEIR MINDS TO IT. THE TUSKEGEE AIRMEN WHO WERE MY MENTORS,  
12   WHO WERE MY INSPIRATION, YOU KNOW, 60 YEARS AGO, THEY PROVED  
13   TO THE WORLD THAT IT DOESN'T MATTER WHAT YOU LOOK LIKE BUT IF  
14   YOU HAVE HEART AND ETHICS AND PERSEVERANCE, YOU WILL PERSEVERE  
15   AND THEY SET A RECORD THAT'S NEVER BEEN TOUCHED BY ANY IN  
16   HISTORY. THEY NEVER LOST A BOMBER TO ENEMY FIGHTERS AND LEVI  
17   THORNHILL, WHO RUNS THE CHILD'S PROGRAM FOR THE TUSKEGEE  
18   AIRMEN, AND OTIS, BIG O IS WHAT HE LIKES TO BE CALLED, HE SET  
19   UP THE MUSEUM. IT'S BEEN WONDERFUL TO HAVE THE SUPPORT OF  
20   COUNTY SUPERVISOR BURKE AND IT'S GREAT DOWN THERE IN COMPTON  
21   TO BE PART OF GOOD NEWS COMING OUT OF THAT CITY. ON OUR WAY TO  
22   CANADA, EVERYWHERE WE STOPPED, PEOPLE KNEW WHO WE WERE. IT WAS  
23   REALLY COOL. NORTHROP GRUMMAN HAS BEEN AN INTEGRAL PART OF  
24   HELPING US TO MAKE THIS WHOLE THING POSSIBLE BUT, AGAIN,  
25   THERE'S SO MUCH MORE WE COULD DO AND, WITH SOME ASSISTANCE,



The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1 YOU'LL SEE THIS PROGRAM OPEN UP IN CITIES AND COUNTIES ALL  
2 ACROSS THE COUNTRY BECAUSE ALL KIDS IN THIS COUNTRY HAVE THE  
3 SAME PROBLEM: AFTER SCHOOL LACK OF ACTIVITIES AND WE FEEL THAT  
4 WE COULD BE A POSITIVE PART OF THE SOLUTION OF THAT. SO THANK  
5 YOU VERY MUCH FOR HAVING US HERE AND THIS KID DID A PHENOMENAL  
6 JOB BUT IT'S ALL DUE TO THE PARENTS AND THE TUSKEGEE AIRMEN  
7 BACK HERE. THAT'S THE INSPIRATION. [ APPLAUSE ]

8

9 **SUP. BURKE:** WELL, WE HAVE TO ACKNOWLEDGE THE PARENTS, MR. AND  
10 MRS. STRICKLAND OVER HERE. [ APPLAUSE ]

11

12 **SUP. BURKE:** I'D LIKE TO CALL CALL FORWARD CHARLES TAYLOR. MR.  
13 TAYLOR STARTED WITH THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION 1983.  
14 THROUGH HIS C.D.C. CAREER, CHARLES HAS ACHIEVED NUMEROUS  
15 ACCOMPLISHMENTS THAT INCLUDE DRAFTING LEGISLATION FOR THE  
16 FIRST MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL HOUSING REHABILITATION LOAN BOND  
17 ISSUE; DEVELOPING THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY HOUSING DEVELOPMENT  
18 CORPORATION; AS A MANAGER OF HOUSING FINANCE, HE INITIATED THE  
19 COUNTY TAX EXEMPT MULTI-FAMILY BOND PROGRAM, IN ADDITION TO  
20 DEVELOPING AND MANAGING THE HOUSING MORTGAGE REVENUE BOND  
21 PROGRAM BY ENHANCING CREDIBILITY FOR C.D.C. WITH DEVELOPERS  
22 AND LENDERS. IN 1990, HE DEVELOPED THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY  
23 SMALL BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION, LATER CALLED THE  
24 BUSINESS FINANCE CENTER. BY 1995, IT WAS THE SEVENTH LARGEST  
25 SBA LOAN PRODUCER IN THE COUNTY. HIS WORK HAS DIRECTLY



The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1 RESULTED IN THE PROVISION OF UNPRECEDENTED AFFORDABLE HOUSING  
2 OPPORTUNITIES FOR RESIDENTS THROUGHOUT L.A. COUNTY. IT'S WITH  
3 PLEASURE THAT I PRESENT TO MR. TAYLOR, CHARLES TAYLOR,  
4 COMMENDING HIM FOR 23 YEARS OF SERVICE TO THE COUNTY OF LOS  
5 ANGELES. [ APPLAUSE ]

6

7 **CHARLES TAYLOR:** THIS IS A TREMENDOUS HONOR FOR ME TO HAVE  
8 SERVED THIS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AND, THROUGH THIS BOARD, TO  
9 SERVE THE PEOPLE OF THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES AND I COULDN'T  
10 HAVE DONE ANY OF THESE THINGS WITHOUT THE SUPPORT OF THE  
11 SPLENDID STAFF AND THERE ARE PEOPLE WHOSE FACES HERE HAVE  
12 WORKED WITH ME FOR YEARS AND I'VE NEVER FORGET THEM. AND THE  
13 HONOR TO ME OF WORKING WITH A TEAM, PUT TOGETHER BY CARLOS  
14 JACKSON, CORDEI QUREIA, WHO REALLY MADE IT POSSIBLE FOR ALL OF  
15 THESE THINGS TO HAPPEN. I STARTED IN THE SECOND DISTRICT, I  
16 GOT MARRIED IN THE SECOND DISTRICT, MY HEART IS THERE. THANK  
17 YOU, SUPERVISOR BURKE.

18

19 **SUP. BURKE:** WELL, I SEE THAT YOUR WIFE IS HERE AND HER FATHER.

20

21 **CHARLES TAYLOR:** AND HARRY MARLOW, HER FATHER.

22

23 **SUP. BURKE:** WHO IS A LONG-TIME COUNTY WORKER FOR THE SECOND  
24 DISTRICT. CONGRATULATIONS TO YOU. LET'S TAKE A PICTURE. [  
25 APPLAUSE ]



The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1

2 **SUP. BURKE:** IT'S ALWAYS NICE TO SEE HARRY MARLOW, WHO SPENT SO

3 MANY YEARS HERE IN THE SECOND DISTRICT OF THE COUNTY OF LOS

4 ANGELES. WE'D LIKE TO CALL FORWARD PHILIP BROWNING, DIRECTOR

5 OF CHILD SUPPORT SERVICES. WE HAVE ALL OUR CHILD SUPPORT

6 SERVICE PEOPLE HERE. THE MONTH OF AUGUST HAS BEEN DESIGNATED

7 AS CHILD SUPPORT AWARENESS MONTH IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

8 THE L.A. COUNTY CHILD SUPPORT SERVICES DEPARTMENT IS THE

9 LARGEST LOCALLY OPERATED CHILD SUPPORT SERVICE AGENCY IN THE

10 NATION, MANAGING ABOUT 500,000 CASES REPRESENTING 26% OF THE

11 STATE'S CHILD SUPPORT CASELOAD. IN 2005, CHILD SUPPORT

12 SERVICES COLLECTED OVER 505 MILLION IN SUPPORT FOR CHILDREN

13 AND FAMILIES OF L.A. COUNTY. THE DEPARTMENT EMPLOYS OVER 1,600

14 DEDICATED CHILD SUPPORT PROFESSIONALS AND HANDLES FOUR MILLION

15 CALLS ANNUALLY AND 10,000 WALK-IN VISITORS MONTHLY. CSS

16 RECEIVED, FROM THE NATIONAL CHILD SUPPORT ENFORCEMENT

17 ASSOCIATION, THE PROGRAM AWARENESS AWARD FOR ITS EMPLOYER

18 WORKSHOP, THE MANAGER OF THE YEAR AWARD FOR WEST COVINA

19 DIVISION CHIEF, CHARLES MANDEL, AND THE JUDICIAL OFFICER OF

20 THE YEAR AWARD FOR COMMISSIONER ROBERTO LEE, THE SUPERVISING

21 COMMISSIONER OF THE FOURTH D CHILD SUPPORT COURT. I'M PROUD TO

22 PRESENT PHILIP BROWNING, DIRECTOR OF CHILD SUPPORT SERVICES,

23 WITH A PROCLAMATION FOR THE MONTH OF AUGUST AND PROCLAIMING IT

24 AS CHILD SUPPORT AWARENESS MONTH THROUGHOUT THE COUNTY OF LOS

25 ANGELES. [ APPLAUSE ]



The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1  
2 **PHILIP L. BROWNING:** THANK YOU, SUPERVISOR BURKE AND ALL OF THE  
3 BOARD, MR. MAYOR. WE REALLY HAVE APPRECIATED YOUR SUPPORT OVER  
4 THE LAST FEW YEARS. IT HAS BEEN FANTASTIC. BEHIND ME, I HAVE  
5 SOME REPRESENTATIVES OF THE DEPARTMENT WHO ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR  
6 THE PROGRESS THAT WE'VE MADE OVER THE LAST FOUR OR FIVE YEARS.  
7 I HAVE SHERYL SPILLER, JULIE PACK, LISA GARRETT, LORRI CRUZ,  
8 GAIL JULIANO, AL REYES AND WE HAVE A MEMBER OF OUR ADVISORY  
9 BOARD, REGGIE BRASS HERE. WITHOUT ALL OF THESE INDIVIDUALS AND  
10 THE HUNDREDS OF STAFF WE HAVE WORKING TODAY TO ANSWER 15,000  
11 CALLS, WE REALLY COULD NOT PERFORM THE SERVICE THAT'S SO  
12 CRITICAL TO THE CITIZENS OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY. I REALLY DO  
13 THINK WE HAVE MADE AN AWFUL LOT OF PROGRESS IN THE LAST FEW  
14 YEARS BUT IT'S ONLY BECAUSE OF THE HARD WORK OF THIS BOARD.  
15 AND WE HAVE THIS MONTH A NUMBER OF ACTIVITIES THAT I HOPE SOME  
16 OF YOU WILL BE ABLE TO PARTICIPATE IN. ONE OF THE ONES THAT  
17 COMES TO MIND IS WITH K.M.E.X., THE SPANISH LANGUAGE  
18 TELEVISION SHOW. WE'LL HAVE ABOUT 40 OF OUR SPANISH SPEAKING  
19 STAFF OVER THERE AND, LAST YEAR, OVER 40,000 CALLS CAME IN  
20 DURING ABOUT A FOUR-HOUR PERIOD. JUST ABOUT CHILD SUPPORT. SO  
21 I THINK, WHEN YOU SEE THAT WE TOUCH THE LIVES OF ABOUT ONE OUT  
22 OF EVERY FIVE PEOPLE IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY, THAT GIVES YOU A  
23 LITTLE BIT OF THE MAGNITUDE OF OUR DEPARTMENT. WE SO  
24 APPRECIATE ALL OF YOUR HARD EFFORTS IN OUR SUPPORT. THANK YOU.  
25 [ APPLAUSE ]



The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1

2 **SPEAKER:** I WOULD JUST LIKE TO SAY, AS A-- APPOINTED AS A  
3 COMMISSIONER BY MIKE ANTONOVICH TO SIT ON THE BOARD WITH THE  
4 CHILD SUPPORT AND RUNNING AN ORGANIZATION CALLED MY CHILD SAYS  
5 DADDY, YOU WOULD THINK THAT WE, AS MEN, WOULD HAVE A LOT OF  
6 NEGATIVE THINGS TO SAY ABOUT THE CHILD SUPPORT BUT, WITH MR.  
7 BROWNING'S DIRECTION AND EDUCATION, IT IS SHOWING US HOW TO  
8 WORK WITHIN THE SYSTEM AND COMING OUT AND MEETING WITH A LOT  
9 OF MEN THAT WERE AND USED TO BE ANGRY AT ONE PARTICULAR TIME.  
10 HE HAS EDUCATED US ON HOW TO WORK WITHIN THE SYSTEM AND SHOWN  
11 US HOW WE CAN BE VERY-- BRINGING IN AND PAYING OUR CHILD  
12 SUPPORT THE WAY THAT WE SHOULD DO. ALSO, HIS DIRECTION AND  
13 GUIDANCE HAS OPENED UP SO MANY DOORS FOR A LOT OF US BECAUSE,  
14 YOU KNOW, WE'VE BEEN AROUND FOR 17 YEARS AND A LOT OF OUR  
15 MEMBERS CAN NOW SAY THAT I THOUGHT I WOULD NEVER, EVER BE ABLE  
16 TO SAY SOMETHING POSITIVE ABOUT THE CHILD SUPPORT SYSTEM. BUT,  
17 WHEN PHILIP BROWNING CAME ON, HE HAS CHANGED A LOT OF WAYS ON  
18 HOW TO COLLECT MONEY AND EDUCATING US AS MEN ON BEING  
19 RESPONSIBLE, AND I WANT TO SAY THANK YOU, MR. PHILIP BROWNING.  
20 [ APPLAUSE ]

21

22 **SUP. BURKE:** FINALLY, WE'D LIKE TO ASK JOYCE BROWN, PRINCIPAL  
23 OF DREW MIDDLE SCHOOL, TO COME FORWARD. MRS. BROWN HAS BEEN  
24 THE PRINCIPAL OF DREW MIDDLE SCHOOL FOR 25 YEARS, WITH A TOTAL  
25 OF 38 YEARS OF SERVICE IN THE L.A. UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT.





The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1 NOT ONLY IS SHE A LEADER IN HER CAPACITY AS PRINCIPAL OF DREW  
2 MIDDLE SCHOOL, SHE IS ALSO INVOLVED IN THE COMMUNITY AS A  
3 MEMBER OF THE FLORENCE-FIRESTONE COMMUNITY ENHANCEMENT TEAM.  
4 SHE HAS BROUGHT THE FLORENCE- FIRESTONE COMMUNITY TOGETHER BY  
5 HER EFFORTS OF OFFERING STUDENTS AND FAMILIES AN OPPORTUNITY  
6 TO ENVISION A BETTER WORLD WHERE THEY CAN PURSUE AND FULFILL  
7 THEIR DREAMS. EARLY IN HER CAREER, SHE HAD THE FORESIGHT TO  
8 CALL RIVAL GANGS TOGETHER IN THE SCHOOL LIBRARY TO COME TO A  
9 MUTUAL AGREEMENT DECLARING DREW MIDDLE SCHOOL AS NEUTRAL  
10 SPACE. FEARLESS AND STRONGLY COMMITTED TO HER STUDENTS, SHE  
11 FREQUENTLY LEADS THE CHARGE WITH TEACHERS, ADMINISTRATORS AND  
12 SCHOOL POLICE THROUGH SURROUNDING STREETS AND ALLEYS TO ENSURE  
13 STUDENT SAFETY TO AND FROM SCHOOL. STAFF BOASTS DREW MIDDLE  
14 SCHOOL IS KNOWN THROUGHOUT THE DISTRICT AS HAVING A SPECIAL  
15 SPIRIT OF WARMTH AND CARING THAT PENETRATES THE NEEDIEST HEART  
16 AND IT ONLY CAME ABOUT THROUGH THE GREAT PERSONAL SACRIFICE BY  
17 JOYCE BROWN. SHE'S WORKED TIRELESSLY FOR 25 YEARS AT DREW  
18 MIDDLE SCHOOL AND WE'RE VERY PLEASED TO TAKE THIS OPPORTUNITY  
19 TO RECOGNIZE HER BEFORE LOS ANGELES COUNTY FOR HER 25 YEARS OF  
20 DEDICATED SERVICE. [ APPLAUSE ]

21

22 **JOYCE BROWN:** I THANK YOU, SUPERVISOR BURKE. I WOULD LIKE TO  
23 HAVE THE PEOPLE FROM CHARLES DREW MIDDLE SCHOOL THAT ARE IN  
24 THE AUDIENCE, IF YOU WOULD PLEASE STAND. [ APPLAUSE ]

25



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1   **JOYCE BROWN:** I WOULD LIKE TO THANK YOU ON BEHALF OF ALL OF US,  
2   BECAUSE CERTAINLY I COULD NOT HAVE DONE THIS ALONE AND BEHIND  
3   ME ARE PEOPLE THAT HAVE BEEN WITH ME FOR THE ENTIRE TIME THAT  
4   I'VE BEEN AT DREW, INCLUDING PARENTS AND STUDENTS WHO LEFT,  
5   WENT TO COLLEGE, CAME BACK TO TEACH, INCLUDING ONE OF YOUR  
6   STAFF WHOSE DAUGHTER IS AT DREW CURRENTLY AND WE JUST HAD A  
7   GREAT FAITH AND A KNOWLEDGE THAT ALL PEOPLE ARE SPECIAL AND  
8   THAT ALL CHILDREN ARE EXCEPTIONALLY SPECIAL AND WE WORKED VERY  
9   HARD TO CREATE AN ENVIRONMENT WHERE THE STUDENTS WOULD FEEL  
10   SAFE AND LOVED AND WOULD DESIRE TO LEARN SO THAT THEY COULD  
11   MOVE FORTH AND MAKE THEIR SPECIAL PLACE ON EARTH, AS JONATHAN  
12   DID, AND TO SHOW THAT EACH PERSON HAS A UNIQUE TALENT AND  
13   GENIUS AND WE THINK THAT'S WHAT WE ALL SHOULD BE DOING AND  
14   THAT'S WHAT WE WORKED VERY HARD AT. AND I'M MOST GRATEFUL TO  
15   THE STAFF, AND STUDENTS, COMMUNITY, YOU AND SUPERVISOR MOLINA  
16   THAT HAVE SUPPORTED ME THROUGHOUT THESE YEARS. THANK YOU VERY  
17   MUCH AND KEEP UP THE SUPPORT AND PLEASE SUPPORT THE FLORENCE-  
18   FIRESTONE COMMUNITY ENHANCEMENT TEAM BECAUSE THEY'VE HELPED TO  
19   MAKE IT A SAFE AND WONDERFUL ENVIRONMENT. THANK YOU SO VERY  
20   MUCH. [ APPLAUSE ]

21

22   **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY.

23

24   **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** MR. CHAIRMAN, I HAVE ONE PRESENTATION THIS  
25   MORNING. I WOULD ASK AL PHILLIPS, GLORIA LINTERMANS AND DR.



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 BERLIN SALZMANN TO JOIN US. OKAY. THIS MORNING, I WANT TO  
2 HONOR THE H.O.P.E. UNIT FOUNDATION FOR BEREAVEMENT, LOSS AND  
3 TRANSITION, A FOUNDATION THAT WAS ESTABLISHED IN 1970. IT HAS  
4 BECOME THE OLDEST AND LARGEST BEREAVEMENT SUPPORT PROGRAM IN  
5 THE GREATER LOS ANGELES AREA, DRAWS ITS NAME FROM AN ACRONYM,  
6 REPRESENTING HOPE FOR THE INTENTION OF HEALING GRIEF WITH  
7 RESPECT FOR PEOPLE'S DIFFERENCES AND SPIRITUAL BELIEF,  
8 OPPORTUNITIES FOR PEOPLE TO GROW AND LEARN FROM EACH OTHER,  
9 PARTICIPATION IN THE HEALING GROUP ATMOSPHERE WHICH CREATES  
10 GREATER SELF-AWARENESS, AND EDUCATION TO INFORM PEOPLE ABOUT  
11 THEIR PSYCHOLOGICAL RESPONSE TO PROVIDE INFORMATION ABOUT THE  
12 FIVE STAGES OF LOSS. THE H.O.P.E. UNIT FOUNDATION HAS  
13 CONDUCTED ITS PROGRAM UNDER THE LEADERSHIP AND GUIDANCE OF A  
14 CAPABLE AND DEDICATED THERAPEUTIC STAFF OVERSEEN BY ITS  
15 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, MARILYN STALLSMAN, AND HER OUTSTANDING  
16 TEAM OF LICENSED THERAPISTS. IT'S BEEN AIMED IMMEASURABLY--  
17 AIDED IMMEASURABLY IN ITS EFFORTS BY THE SUPPORT OF SUCH  
18 INSTITUTIONS AS MOUNT SINAI MEMORIAL PARK, MORTUARY HILLSIDE  
19 PARK AND MORTUARY, THE JOSEPH DROWN FOUNDATION, SIDNEY STEM  
20 FOUNDATION, CITY GROUP, RALPH M. PARSONS FOUNDATION AND THE  
21 BROUGHTMAN FOUNDATION. THIS YEAR MARKS THE 35TH ANNIVERSARY OF  
22 SUCCESSFULLY CARRYING OUT ITS MISSION TO ASSIST PEOPLE WHOSE  
23 LIVES HAVE BEEN ALTERED BY THE LOSS OF A SPOUSE BY PROVIDING  
24 GROUP SUPPORT, EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS AND HELP TO ALLEVIATE THE  
25 BURDENS AND LONELINESS ASSOCIATED WITH PROFOUND BEREAVEMENT.



The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1 THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS WANTED TO RECOGNIZE THE H.O.P.E. UNIT  
2 FOUNDATION FOR BEREAVEMENT LOSS AND TRANSITION, IS HEREBY-- IT  
3 WANTS TO HEREBY COMMEND THEM FOR THEIR DEDICATED SERVICE AND  
4 OFFER SINCERE CONGRATULATIONS ON THE OCCASION OF THEIR 35TH  
5 ANNIVERSARY AND OFFERING OUR BEST WISHES FOR CONTINUED SUCCESS  
6 IN THIS VERY IMPORTANT ROLE YOU PLAY IN OUR SOCIETY IN THE  
7 FUTURE. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. [ APPLAUSE ]

8

9 **SPEAKER:** THANK YOU VERY MUCH, ZEV. WE'D LIKE TO THANK YOU FOR  
10 THE RECOGNITION THAT YOU'RE PROVIDING TO THE H.O.P.E. UNIT  
11 FOUNDATION AND I'D LIKE TO INTRODUCE MY CO-AUTHOR, GLORIA  
12 LINTEMAN. WE'VE DONE TWO BOOKS ABOUT OUR PROGRAM, THE HEALING  
13 POWER OF GRIEF AND THE HEALING POWER OF LOVE AND WE WELCOME  
14 COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION. OUR PROGRAMS EXIST IN WEST LOS  
15 ANGELES AND IN THE VALLEY FOR BEREAVED SPOUSES AND A FAMILY  
16 LOSS GROUP AND AGAIN WE THANK YOU FOR YOUR RECOGNITION AND WE  
17 WELCOME SERVING THE PUBLIC. THANK YOU SO MUCH. [ APPLAUSE ]

18

19 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** THAT'S ALL I HAVE.

20

21 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** I'VE BEEN ADVISED THAT, ON ITEM 13,  
22 THERE WERE A NUMBER OF PEOPLE WHO HAD SIGNED UP FOR IT AND  
23 THEY HAD GOT MIXED UP IN ANOTHER ITEM, SO WE'LL MOVE FOR  
24 RECONSIDERATION OF THAT ITEM 13 BY YAROSLAVSKY, SECONDED.  
25 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. OKAY. WE'LL PROCEED WITH THE--



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 DO YOU HAVE ANY SPECIALS OR ANY, SUPERVISOR MOLINA, ANY  
2 PROCLAMATIONS? WE'LL BEGIN PUBLIC HEARINGS.

3

4 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** OKAY. ON THE PUBLIC HEARINGS, ITEMS 1  
5 THROUGH 11, ALL THOSE WHO PLAN TO TESTIFY BEFORE THE BOARD  
6 PLEASE STAND AND RAISE YOUR RIGHT HAND TO BE SWORN IN. [  
7 ADMINISTERING OATH ]

8

9 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** ON ITEM 1-- OH, PLEASE BE SEATED. THANK  
10 YOU. ON ITEM 1, HEARING ON PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO THE COUNTY  
11 CODE, TITLE 12, ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, TO ESTABLISH A  
12 REGULATORY PROGRAM AND FEES FOR VARIOUS SERVICES UNDER THE  
13 COUNTY STORM WATER AND RUNOFF POLLUTION CONTROL PROGRAM. THERE  
14 WERE-- THERE WAS NO WRITTEN CORRESPONDENCE PRESENTED ON THIS  
15 MATTER.

16

17 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** OKAY. RICHARD ROBINSON.

18

19 **RICHARD ROBINSON:** HONORABLE MAYOR, MEMBERS, RICHARD ROBINSON.  
20 THE WORLD, INCLUDING HUMAN KIND, IS EVOLVING AS IT SHOULD.  
21 SIR, THE SANTA MONICA URBAN RUNOFF AND RECLAMATION FACILITY IS  
22 PROVING THAT WE CAN HAVE A POSITIVE EFFECT. PROTECTING MOTHER  
23 NATURE IS DOABLE. THE COUNTY STORM WATER AND POLLUTION CONTROL  
24 MEASURES AT CERTAIN INDUSTRIAL AND COMMERCIAL FACILITIES IN  
25 THE UNINCORPORATED AREAS IS PART OF THE SOLUTION TO THE



The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1 PROBLEM OF THE LACK OF SERVICES FOR POLLUTION CONTROL.  
2 ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION IS NOT ON YOUR CONSTITUENTS' TONGUES,  
3 THERE'S A TENDENCY TO IGNORE IT. FORMER SUPERVISOR EDELMAN  
4 INVITED ME TO HIS OFFICE. WE TALKED AWHILE ABOUT SEVERAL  
5 ISSUES. BECAUSE THE ISSUE OF THE ENVIRONMENT IS PRESENTLY  
6 BELOW THE RADAR, AS THE BUSH ADMINISTRATION FIGHTS THE WAR  
7 AGAINST TERRORISM, SOLVING THE GLOBAL WARMING PROBLEM IS  
8 DOABLE. THE MONEYS WILL BE AVAILABLE, THE ECONOMY, 5.-- PARDON  
9 ME. SOLVING THE GLOBAL WARMING PROBLEM IS DOABLE SIMPLY BY  
10 CONVERTING TO THE ELECTRIC AUTOMOBILE, ICE THE INTERNAL  
11 COMBUSTION ENGINE, THE CARBON DIOXIDE, CO-2 EMISSIONS, THE  
12 IMBALANCE CAUSED, THE DAMAGE DONE TO THE ATMOSPHERE CAN BE  
13 BROUGHT BACK INTO BALANCE SIMPLY BY FOLLOWING THE PROTOCOLS  
14 ESTABLISHED IN KYOTO IN 1997. IF YOU'VE NOT SEEN PARAMOUNT  
15 PICTURES AND MR.-- MIKE-- I DON'T DO THIS VERY OFTEN BUT, WHEN  
16 A GOOD MOTION PICTURE WINDS UP IN MOTION PICTURE THEATERS, I  
17 THINK IT DESERVES PUBLICITY. "AN INCONVENIENT TRUTH" IS ONE OF  
18 THOSE FILMS THAT'S-- IF YOU SEE AL GORE'S PERFORMANCE, YOU'LL  
19 KNOW WHAT I'M TALKING ABOUT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

20

21 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** MISS BURKE MOVES. SECONDED. WITHOUT  
22 OBJECTION SO...

23

24 **SUP. KNABE:** WELL, NO, I OBJECT. I HAVE...

25





**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1    **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY-- KNABE.

2

3    **SUP. KNABE:** MY CONCERN IS THE FACT THAT, ONCE AGAIN, UNTIL THE  
4    87 OTHER CITIES MAKES THIS A PART, THIS ONLY IMPACTS THE  
5    UNINCORPORATED AREAS. SO, ONCE AGAIN, WE'RE JUST SORT OF, YOU  
6    KNOW, SMACKING THE UNINCORPORATED AREAS HERE, SO I WILL CAST A  
7    "NO" VOTE.

8

9    **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** OKAY. MOTION BY BURKE, SECONDED BY  
10    YAROSLAVSKY. CALL THE ROLL.

11

12    **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** SUPERVISOR MOLINA?

13

14    **SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR:** (OFF-MIKE).

15

16    **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** SUPERVISOR BURKE?

17

18    **SUP. BURKE:** (OFF-MIKE).

19

20    **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY?

21

22    **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** (OFF-MIKE).

23

24    **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** SUPERVISOR KNABE?

25



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1     **SUP. KNABE:** NO.

2

3     **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH?

4

5     **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** NO. MOTION FAILS. ITEM NUMBER 2.

6

7     **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** ON ITEM NUMBER 2, THIS IS THE HEARING ON  
8     LEVYING AND COLLECTION OF ANNUAL ASSESSMENTS FOR COUNTY  
9     LANDSCAPING AND LIGHTING ACT DISTRICTS 1, 2, 4 AND ZONES  
10    THEREIN FOR FISCAL YEAR 2006/2007 FOR LANDSCAPE AND PARK  
11    MAINTENANCE PURPOSES WITH NO INCREASE IN RATES. THERE WAS NO  
12    WRITTEN CORRESPONDENCE PRESENTED ON THIS MATTER.

13

14    **KANDY HAYES:** GOOD MORNING. MY NAME IS KANDY HAYES AND I'M THE  
15    DIVISION CHIEF OF CONTRACTS AND SPECIAL DISTRICTS WITH THE  
16    DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION. I AM FAMILIAR WITH THESE  
17    PROCEEDINGS FOR THE LEVY OF ANNUAL ASSESSMENTS WITH  
18    LANDSCAPING L.L.A. DISTRICTS NUMBER 1, 2 AND 4 AND ALL ZONES  
19    WITHIN THEM. IN MY OPINION, ALL THE AREAS WITHIN L.L.A.S 1, 2  
20    AND 4 WILL BE BENEFITED BY THE SERVICES TO BE PERFORMED. IN MY  
21    OPINION, THE PROPOSED ASSESSMENTS CONTINUE TO BE SPREAD IN  
22    PROPORTION TO THE BENEFIT. THERE ARE NO PROPOSED ASSESSMENT  
23    INCREASES THIS YEAR BEYOND CONSUMER PRICE INDEX INCREASES IN  
24    SELECTED ZONES WHICH ARE AUTHORIZED IN THE VOTER APPROVED  
25    ASSESSMENT FORMULAS FOR THOSE ZONES. WE ARE RECOMMENDING THAT,



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 DUE TO A SURPLUS IN SOME OF THE IMPROVEMENT FUNDS, CREDITS BE  
2 CREDITED WITHIN CERTAIN ZONES. WE HAVE RECEIVED NO WRITTEN  
3 PROTESTS TO THE PROPOSED LEVY OF THE ANNUAL ASSESSMENTS FOR  
4 LLA-1, 2 AND 4.

5

6 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** ANYBODY IN THE AUDIENCE WHO WISHES TO  
7 SPEAK ON THIS ITEM? SUPERVISOR MOLINA WILL MOVE TO CLOSE THE  
8 HEARING, APPROVE THE ITEM, SECONDED. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO  
9 ORDERED.

10

11 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** ON ITEM NUMBER 4, THIS IS HEARING ON  
12 CONFIRMATION OF THE 2005/2006 WEED ABATEMENT CHARGES IN TOTAL  
13 AMOUNT OF \$3,202,061.44 TO BE ASSESSED TO OWNERS OF UNIMPROVED  
14 PARCELS WHICH WERE DECLARED TO BE OVERGROWN WITH HAZARDOUS  
15 WEEDS AND BRUSH AND REQUIRED ABATEMENT BY THE AGRICULTURAL  
16 COMMISSION/DIRECTOR OF WEIGHTS AND MEASURES. THERE WAS NO  
17 WRITTEN CORRESPONDENCE PRESENTED ON THIS ITEM.

18

19 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** ANYBODY IN THE AUDIENCE WHO WISHES TO  
20 SPEAK ON THIS ITEM? SUPERVISOR KNABE WILL MOVE TO CLOSE THE  
21 HEARING AND APPROVE THE ITEM. SECONDED. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO  
22 ORDERED.

23

24 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** ON ITEM NUMBER 5, THIS IS HEARING ON  
25 CONFIRMATION OF THE 2005/2006 HAZARDOUS VEGETATION ABATEMENT



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 COSTS IN TOTAL AMOUNT OF \$13,629 TO BE ASSESSED TO OWNERS OF  
2 IMPROVED PARCELS WHICH WERE DECLARED A NUISANCE DUE TO  
3 EXCESSIVE HAZARDOUS BRUSH, DRY GRASS, WEEDS, COMBUSTIBLE  
4 GROWTH OR FLAMMABLE VEGETATION INCLUDING NATIVE AND ORNAMENTAL  
5 VEGETATION AND REQUIRED ABATEMENT BY THE FIRE DEPARTMENT.  
6 THERE WAS NO WRITTEN CORRESPONDENCE PRESENTED ON THIS.

7

8 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** ANYBODY IN THE AUDIENCE WHO WISHES TO  
9 SPEAK ON THIS ITEM? IF NOT, SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY WILL MOVE  
10 TO CLOSE THE HEARING AND APPROVE THE ITEM. SECONDED. WITHOUT  
11 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

12

13 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** ON ITEM NUMBER 6, THIS IS A HEARING TO  
14 GRANT A PROPRIETARY PETROLEUM PIPELINE FRANCHISE TO PARAMOUNT  
15 PETROLEUM CORPORATION, TO REFLECT A TRANSFER OF PETROLEUM  
16 PIPELINES TO PARAMOUNT FROM HUNTINGTON PIPELINE AND TERMINAL  
17 COMPANY AND TO REFLECT A TRANSFER OF PETROLEUM PIPELINES TO  
18 PARAMOUNT FROM KINDER MORGAN LIQUIDS TERMINALS LLC,  
19 UNINCORPORATED AREAS OF EAST CARSON, RANCHO DOMINGUEZ, EAST  
20 COMPTON AND SOUTH WHITTIER, EAST LA MIRADA. THERE WAS NO  
21 WRITTEN CORRESPONDENCE ON THIS MATTER AND SUPERVISOR MOLINA,  
22 FOR THE RECORD, VOTES "NO."

23

24 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** MR. ARNOLD SACHS.

25



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1   **ARNOLD SACHS:** GOOD MORNING, SUPERVISORS. MY NAME IS ARNOLD  
2   SACHS AND I OBJECT JUST BECAUSE OF THE WAY...

3

4   **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** MOVE THE MICROPHONE UP A LITTLE BIT.

5

6   **ARNOLD SACHS:** JUST THE WAY THE ORDINANCE WAS READ, THE  
7   LANGUAGE IS VERY UNCLEAR. YOU'RE NOT SURE IF IT'S THE  
8   PIPELINES AT HUNTINGTON ACQUIRED FROM BOTH POWER LINE AND  
9   GOLDEN WEST OR THE TRANSFER OF PETROLEUM PIPELINES TO  
10   PARAMOUNT FROM HUNTINGTON AND PIPELINES FROM GOLDEN WEST AND  
11   EVEN THE WAY THE ORDINANCE WAS READ, THERE WAS A LOT OF  
12   LANGUAGE THAT WAS LEFT OUT AND SO I OBJECT BASED ON THAT  
13   LANGUAGE. AND, IF YOU DON'T MIND, I'M OBJECTING ON NUMBER 7  
14   FOR THE SAME REASON AND I'M ALSO-- THE LANGUAGE OF THAT  
15   ORDINANCE READS WHERE YOU'RE HEARING IS TO GRANT A 15-YEAR  
16   PROPRIETARY PETROLEUM PIPELINE FRANCHISE TO KINDER MORGAN OR  
17   YOU'RE ALSO HEARING TO RENEW A PIPELINE FRANCHISE TO THE OTHER  
18   COMPANY.

19

20   **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** DO YOU WANT TO SEE 7 AND 8 AS WELL?

21

22   **ARNOLD SACHS:** WELL, 8, YEAH, IF YOU DON'T MIND, THAT'S TOTALLY  
23   UP TO YOU, BECAUSE 8 IS HEARING ON PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO THE  
24   COUNTY CODE TITLE CONSUMER PROTECTION AND BUSINESS REGULATIONS  
25   AND I'M JUST-- I KNOW THAT THIS DOESN'T REFER TO THAT BUT,



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 SINCE YOU HAVE COUNTY CONSUMER PROTECTION AGENCIES, THE  
2 LANGUAGE IN BOTH OF THESE HEARING MATTERS NEEDS TO BE MORE SO  
3 THAT THE GENERAL PUBLIC CAN UNDERSTAND THEM. YOU'RE NOT SURE  
4 WHO IS GETTING WHAT IN EITHER ONE OF THESE MATTERS THAT ARE  
5 BEFORE YOU AND TO READ THEM THE WAY THEY ARE JUST DOESN'T  
6 REALLY MAKE ANY SENSE. YOU'RE NOT SURE WHICH COMPANY IS  
7 GETTING WHAT. THAT'S ALL I HAVE TO SAY.

8

9 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** MR. REESE, CAN YOU ELABORATE OR-- FROM  
10 THE DEPARTMENT?

11

12 **RICHARD WEISS:** MR. MAYOR, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, I CAN TRY. THE  
13 ITEM 67 ARE THE AWARD OF PETROLEUM FRANCHISES. THEY DO REFLECT  
14 BUSINESS REVISIONS BY VARIOUS COMPANIES, AND THESE ORDINANCES  
15 ARE BEING PROPOSED TO YOUR BOARD TO REFLECT THE NEW OWNERSHIP  
16 AND THE NEW COMPANIES THAT ARE SEEKING THE FRANCHISE RIGHTS  
17 FOR THOSE PARTICULAR PORTIONS OF THE PIPELINE.

18

19 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** SO IT'S CHANGE OF OWNERSHIP WE'RE  
20 TALKING ABOUT?

21

22 **RICHARD WEISS:** WELL, THERE'S A CHANGE OF OWNERSHIP AND  
23 THEREFORE NEW FRANCHISES TO REFLECT BASICALLY WHO OWNS WHAT.  
24 THE BOARD LETTER PROVIDE THAT INFORMATION IN SOME DETAIL, SO  
25 READING JUST THE AGENDA ENTRY MAY NOT BE A COMPLETE





**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 EXPLANATION BUT THE ORDINANCES ARE OTHERWISE STANDARD. THEY  
2 HAVE ALL THE REQUIRED COUNTY PROVISIONS AND ARE CONSISTENT  
3 WITH THE MASTER PIPELINE ORDINANCE.

4

5 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THANK YOU, SIR.

6

7 **ARNOLD SACHS:** THANK YOU.

8

9 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** MS. BURKE WILL MOVE, SECONDED, WITH  
10 MOLINA VOTING "NO." SO ORDERED ON 6.

11

12 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** ON ITEM NUMBER 7, THIS IS THE HEARING TO  
13 GRANT A 15-YEAR PROPRIETARY PETROLEUM PIPELINE FRANCHISE TO  
14 KINDER MORGAN LIQUIDS TERMINALS LLC, A DELAWARE LIMITED  
15 LIABILITY COMPANY, FORMERLY GATX TERMINALS CORPORATION, TO  
16 RENEW EXISTING FRANCHISE RIGHTS GRANTED TO CONOCO, INC. AND  
17 TRANSFERRED TO GATX IN THE UNINCORPORATED AREA OF WEST  
18 CARSON/HARBOR CORRIDOR. THERE WAS NO WRITTEN CORRESPONDENCE  
19 PRESENTED ON THIS.

20

21 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** OKAY. ANYBODY IN THE AUDIENCE WHO  
22 WISHES TO SPEAK ON ITEM NUMBER 7? OKAY. MR. KNABE WILL MOVE,  
23 I'LL SECOND. WITH MOLINA VOTING "NO," SO ORDERED.

24



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** ON ITEM NUMBER 8, THIS IS THE HEARING ON  
2 PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO THE COUNTY CODE TITLE 8, CONSUMER  
3 PROTECTION AND BUSINESS REGULATIONS, AND TITLE 20, UTILITIES  
4 TO INCREASE PUBLIC HEALTH LICENSE, PERMIT AND SERVICE FEES BY  
5 APPROXIMATELY 3%, EFFECTIVE FISCAL YEAR 2006/2007. THERE WAS  
6 NO WRITTEN CORRESPONDENCE PRESENTED ON THIS.

7

8 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** OKAY. RONE ELLIS ON NUMBER 8. THAT'S  
9 YOUR TESTIMONY. THANK YOU. ON ITEM NUMBER 8, MR. YAROSLAVSKY  
10 WILL MOVE. SECONDED...

11

12 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** YEAH, MR. CHAIRMAN, COULD I ASK INDULGENCE  
13 ON ITEM NUMBER 1, I KNOW IT WAS DEFEATED...

14

15 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** LET ME JUST DO-- SO ORDERED AND THEN  
16 MR. YAROSLAVSKY?

17

18 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** FINE. ON ITEM NUMBER 1, COULD WE-- I DIDN'T  
19 REALIZE IT WAS GOING TO BE CONTROVERSIAL. I HAVE SOME  
20 QUESTIONS OF THE COUNTY COUNSEL ON THIS. IT WON'T PASS ANYWAY  
21 BUT CAN WE RECONSIDER IT SO I CAN JUST HAVE-- YOU WERE ON THE  
22 PREVAILING SIDE.

23

24 **SUP. MOLINA:** AND I WAS GOING TO ASK FOR RECONSIDERATION  
25 ANYWAY.



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** ALL RIGHT. SO DON IS RULING TO DO THAT.

3

4 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** SO A MOTION TO MOVE FOR  
5 RECONSIDERATION.

6

7 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** AND THEN WE'LL JUST HOLD IT UNTIL...

8

9 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. THAT  
10 WILL BE ON THE TABLE FOR THE END OF THE MEETING.

11

12 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** THANK YOU.

13

14 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** OKAY. ITEM NUMBER 9.

15

16 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** ON ITEM NUMBER 9, THIS IS THE HEARING ON  
17 PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO THE COUNTY CODE TITLE 21, SUBDIVISIONS,  
18 AND TITLE 22, PLANNING AND ZONING, TO ESTABLISH AND AMEND  
19 REGULATIONS AND POLICIES, DELETE OBSOLETE PROVISIONS AND  
20 ESTABLISH FEES RELATING TO DENSITY BONUSES AND INCENTIVES FOR  
21 AFFORDABLE HOUSING AND SENIOR CITIZEN HOUSING WITHIN THE  
22 UNINCORPORATED AREA OF THE COUNTY. THERE WAS WRITTEN  
23 CORRESPONDENCE PRESENTED ON THIS MATTER.

24



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1   **JULIE MOORE:** GOOD MORNING, SUPERVISORS. MY NAME IS JULIE MOORE  
2   WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF REGIONAL PLANNING, HOUSING COMMISSION.  
3   A KEY PROBLEM FACING THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA AND LOS ANGELES  
4   COUNTY IS THE NEED FOR AFFORDABLE HOUSING. A SECTION 659.1.5  
5   OF THE CALIFORNIA GOVERNMENT CODE, ALSO KNOW AS THE STATE  
6   DENSITY BONUS LAW, REQUIRES THAT EVERY CITY AND COUNTY PROVIDE  
7   DENSITY BONUSES BY RIGHT (OFF-MIKE) THAT SET ASIDE A  
8   QUALIFYING PERCENTAGE OF UNITS FOR EITHER AFFORDABLE HOUSING  
9   OR SENIOR CITIZEN HOUSING. THE MANDATED DENSITY BONUSES VARY,  
10   DEPENDING ON THE NUMBER OF INCOME RESTRICTED OR SENIOR AGE  
11   RESTRICTED UNITS THAT ARE SET ASIDE. FOR EXAMPLE, A MARKET  
12   RATE HOUSING DEVELOPMENT THAT RESERVES 10% OF THE PRE-BONUS  
13   AMOUNT OF UNITS FOR LOW INCOME HOUSING QUALIFIES FOR A MINIMUM  
14   OF 20% DENSITY BONUS AND THE BONUS INCREASES ON A SLIDING  
15   SCALE UP TO 35% OF ADDITIONAL AFFORDABLE UNITS AS PROVIDED.  
16   QUALIFIED AFFORDABLE HOUSING DEVELOPMENTS ARE ALSO ENTITLED TO  
17   (OFF-MIKE) INCENTIVES. THESE INCENTIVES ARE NECESSARY TO  
18   UTILIZE THE DENSITY BONUS OR TO MAKE AFFORDABLE HOUSING  
19   ECONOMICALLY FEASIBLE ON A SITE. THE LAW REQUIRES THAT THE  
20   COUNTY ADOPT AN ORDINANCE WHICH, AT A MINIMUM, IMPLEMENTS THE  
21   BASIC PROVISIONS OF THE STATUTE. IN MARCH 2006, THE REGIONAL  
22   PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDED APPROVAL OF THE PROPOSED  
23   ORDINANCE AND INSTRUCTED THE PLANNING DEPARTMENT TO SUBMIT THE  
24   ORDINANCE TO THE BOARD FOR YOUR CONSIDERATION. TODAY, YOU ARE  
25   CONSIDERING APPROVAL OF A DRAFT ORDINANCE THAT IMPLEMENTS THE



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 BASIC STATE MANDATED PROGRAM FOR THE INCREASED PRODUCTION OF  
2 AFFORDABLE AND SENIOR CITIZEN HOUSING, WITH SPECIAL  
3 ENHANCEMENTS AIMED AT MEETING THE SPECIFIC NEEDS OF THE  
4 COUNTY. HERE'S A QUICK OVERVIEW OF THE KEY REASONS TO SUPPORT  
5 APPROVAL FOR THE DRAFT ORDINANCE. THE COUNTY'S HOUSING CRISIS  
6 HAS DEEPENED AND CREATIVE AND SUBSTANTIVE APPROACHES ARE  
7 REQUIRED TO ADDRESS THE LACK OF AFFORDABLE HOUSING. IN 2001,  
8 YOUR BOARD ADOPTED AN IMPORTANT MANDATED REVISION TO THE  
9 HOUSING ELEMENT OF THE GENERAL PLAN. THE PLANNING DEPARTMENT  
10 HAS ALSO IDENTIFIED THE STATE MANDATED DENSITY BONUS PROGRAM  
11 AS THE PRINCIPAL REGULATORY PROGRAM FOR PROMOTING AFFORDABLE  
12 HOUSING OF THE UNINCORPORATED AREA. THE COUNTY'S DENSITY BONUS  
13 PROVISIONS HAVE NOT BEEN UPDATED SINCE 1993 AND THEREFORE  
14 AMENDMENTS TO THE COUNTY CODE ARE NECESSARY TO REFLECT  
15 NUMEROUS REVISIONS TO THE LAW. THE MOST RECENT CHANGES BECAME  
16 EFFECTIVE IN 2005, 2006, WITH THE PASSAGE OF TWO SENATE BILLS,  
17 SB-1818 AND SB-435. I'D LIKE TO POINT OUT THAT THE PROPOSED  
18 ORDINANCE PROVIDES SOME IMPORTANT ENHANCEMENTS, WHICH ARE  
19 INTENDED TO ENCOURAGE MUCH NEEDED HOUSING IN A MANNER IS  
20 APPROPRIATE TO LOCAL CONDITIONS. THESE SPECIAL PROVISIONS  
21 INCLUDE A MENU OF MEANINGFUL INCENTIVES, PROVISIONS TO  
22 FACILITATE THE COUNTY'S INFILL SITES UTILIZATION PROGRAM,  
23 WHICH IS ADMINISTERED BY THE COUNTY C.D.C., AND THE ADDITIONAL  
24 OPTIONS FOR SENIOR HOUSING AND AFFORDABLE HOUSING AND THESE  
25 ARE DONE THROUGH A DISCRETIONARY REVIEW PROCESS. IT SHOULD



The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1 ALSO BE NOTED THAT THE PROPOSED ORDINANCE TRACKS THE STATUTORY  
2 LANGUAGE REQUIRING THAT INCENTIVES FOR AFFORDABLE HOUSING NOT  
3 BE APPROVED EITHER ON MENU OR OFF MENU BASED ON SUBSTANTIAL  
4 EVIDENCE THAT THE FOLLOWING IS DETERMINED. FIRST, THAT THE  
5 INCENTIVE IS NOT REQUIRED IN ORDER TO PROVIDE FOR AFFORDABLE  
6 HOUSING COSTS FOR RENTS FOR THE TARGETED AFFORDABLE UNITS; OR,  
7 THE SECOND ITEM, THAT THE INCENTIVE WOULD HAVE A SPECIFIC  
8 ADVERSE IMPACT ON PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY OR THE PHYSICAL  
9 ENVIRONMENT OR IN ANY REAL PROPERTY THAT IS LISTED IN A  
10 CALIFORNIA REGISTER OF HISTORICAL RESOURCES. SINCE 1998, FEW  
11 AFFORDABLE HOUSING HAS BEEN CONSTRUCTED IN THE UNINCORPORATED  
12 AREA THROUGH THE CURRENT DENSITY BONUS PROGRAM. THAT POINTS TO  
13 THE NEED TO HAVE ENHANCED PROVISIONS IN THE REVISED-- IN THE  
14 REVISED ORDINANCE. AND FINALLY THE COUNTY RELIES ON PRIVATE  
15 SECTOR NONPROFIT MARKET RATES-- AND MARKET RATE HOUSING  
16 DEVELOPERS TO CONSTRUCT NEW AFFORDABLE HOUSING. AND SO IT'S  
17 IMPORTANT TO SUPPORT THEIR EFFORTS BY PROVIDING A RANGE OF  
18 OPTIONS TO SELECT FROM AND A CLEARER REGULATORY PATH AND MORE  
19 PROCESS CERTAINTY. THE COUNTY SUPPORTS THE DEVELOPMENT OF  
20 AFFORDABLE HOUSING PRIMARILY THROUGH REGULATORY ASSISTANCE AND  
21 GOVERNMENT FUNDING. THE GOVERNMENT FUNDS THAT WE ADMINISTER  
22 ARE THROUGH THE COUNTY'S COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION.  
23 THAT CONCLUDES STAFF'S PRESENTATION. WE'RE AVAILABLE FOR  
24 QUESTIONS.

25





**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1   **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** OKAY. WE HAVE A NUMBER OF PEOPLE WHO  
2   HAVE SIGNED UP ON THAT. TIM O'CONNELL, JAY ROSS, TERRA DONLON  
3   AND ANDREA OSGOOD. YOU EACH HAVE TWO MINUTES. JUST GIVE YOUR  
4   NAME FOR THE RECORD WHEN YOU SPEAK AND THEN, AFTER YOU SPEAK,  
5   RETURN TO YOUR SEAT AND WE'LL CALL UP THE OTHER PEOPLE WHO  
6   HAVE SIGNED UP ON THIS ITEM.

7

8   **TIM O'CONNELL:** THANK YOU VERY MUCH. CHAIRMAN, MY NAME IS TIM  
9   O'CONNELL. I'M WITH CENTURY HOUSING CORPORATION, A NONPROFIT  
10   ORGANIZATION. WE HAVE HAD THE PLEASURE OF WORKING WITH SEVERAL  
11   OF YOUR OFFICES, BOTH IN THE PRODUCTION AND FINANCE OF  
12   AFFORDABLE HOUSING AND ALSO CHILDCARE, AFTER SCHOOL TUTORING  
13   PROGRAMS AND HOMELESS PROGRAMS PRIMARILY FOR VETERANS. I ALSO  
14   HAVE THE HONOR OF SITTING ON A HOUSING ADVISORY COMMITTEE  
15   FORMED BY YOUR REGIONAL PLANNING DEPARTMENT AND HAD THE  
16   OPPORTUNITY TO PROVIDE INPUT ON THE PROPOSAL BEFORE YOU TODAY.  
17   IN ANOTHER LIFE, I ALSO SIT AS THE PRESIDENT OF AN  
18   ORGANIZATION CALLED THE CALIFORNIA ASSOCIATION OF LOCAL  
19   HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY AND ON THE BOARD OF HOUSING CALIFORNIA,  
20   A STATEWIDE AFFORDABLE HOUSING ADVOCACY GROUP. AS A RESULT,  
21   I'VE HAD THE OPPORTUNITY TO SEE AND IMPLEMENTING REGULATIONS  
22   BY CITIES AND COUNTIES THROUGHOUT THE STATE THAT WERE PUT  
23   TOGETHER IMPLEMENTED S.B. 1818 AND SUBSEQUENT LEGISLATION. I  
24   ALSO ON A PERSONAL NOTE 26 YEARS AGO GOT TO WRITE FOR THE CITY  
25   OF SAN DIEGO AS A PLANNER SITTING WHERE JULIE SITS TODAY. THE



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 FIRST REGULATIONS ON THE FIRST DENSITY BONUS STATUTE THE STATE  
2 PASSED WHEN I WAS WORKING FOR THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO. YOU HAVE  
3 BEFORE YOU WHAT I THINK IS AN EXCELLENT PROPOSAL. IT NOT ONLY  
4 PROVIDES A RANGE OF INCENTIVES THAT DEVELOPERS CAN ACTUALLY  
5 USE THAT WILL MAKE HOUSING FEASIBLE AT AN AFFORDABLE PRICE  
6 POINT FOR YOUR CONSTITUENTS. IT DOES NOT, AS MANY ORDINANCES  
7 HAVE DONE IN OTHER AREAS, ADD UNNECESSARY BURDENS TO THE  
8 DEVELOPMENT PROCESS. THAT'S THE MAIN THING I WANT TO  
9 EMPHASIZE. DEVELOPERS NEED CERTAINTY, THEY NEED FLEXIBILITY  
10 AND THEY NEED THE INCENTIVES. WITH THESE TOOLS, AS YOU HAVE  
11 BEFORE YOU TODAY, I THINK WE CAN GET MORE AFFORDABLE HOUSING  
12 IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY AND I HOPE THAT YOUR PROPOSAL WILL BE  
13 EMULATED BY THE OTHER CITIES OF THE REGION. THANK YOU VERY  
14 MUCH FOR YOUR TIME. TAKE CARE.

15

16 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THANK YOU. BETH STECKLER. YES.

17

18 **JAY ROSS:** HELLO. MY NAME IS JAY ROSS, I WORK FOR AMCAL  
19 HOUSING. WE'RE A PRIVATELY HELD COMPANY THAT DOES BUILD  
20 AFFORDABLE HOUSING. WE RECENTLY HAD APPROVED BY THE REGIONAL  
21 PLANNING COMMISSION, AN 85 UNIT, 100% AFFORDABLE HOUSING  
22 DEVELOPMENT IN EASTERN LOS ANGELES AND THE C.D.C. IS A  
23 FINANCIAL PARTNER WITH US FOR SEVERAL MILLION DOLLARS. THIS  
24 PROJECT WOULD NOT HAVE BEEN FINANCIALLY FEASIBLE AND IT WOULD  
25 NOT HAVE BEEN BUILT WITHOUT PLANNING STAFF'S HELP IN GIVING US



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 SOME CONCESSIONS FOR EXTRA HEIGHT, EXTRA DENSITY AND A PARKING  
2 REDUCTION. NOW THE COUNTY HAS DEFICIT FOR AFFORDABLE HOUSING  
3 AND IF YOU APPROVE AMENDMENTS LIKE THIS TO HELP BUILD MORE  
4 AFFORDABLE HOUSING, YOU CAN HELP CURE THAT PROBLEM. AS A  
5 DEVELOPER, THE CERTAINTY IS THE MOST IMPORTANT THING AND THIS  
6 DOES IT IN TWO WAYS: FIRST, BY REDUCING THE TIME FOR APPROVALS  
7 AND ELIMINATING THE LONG PROCESS IT TAKES FOR ZONE CHANGES,  
8 WHICH IS USUALLY WHAT IS REQUIRED TO GET THE DENSITY YOU NEED  
9 TO MAKE THESE PROJECTS FINANCIALLY FEASIBLE. OTHERWISE, YOU  
10 HAVE TO END UP CARRYING THE LAND FOR 12 AND 14 MONTHS, WHICH  
11 IS VERY EXPENSIVE. SO WHEN WE GET SEVERAL MILLION DOLLARS FROM  
12 THE C.D.C., WE'D RATHER SPEND IT BUILDING MORE AFFORDABLE  
13 UNITS OF HOUSING WITH A PRETTIER DESIGN AND MORE AMENITIES FOR  
14 RESIDENTS RATHER THAN PAYING INTEREST COSTS FOR A 12 OR 14-  
15 MONTH ENTITLEMENT PROCESS. SECONDLY, CERTAINTIES HELP BY  
16 HAVING THIS DETAILED MENU OF CONCESSIONS. THE PLANNING STAFF  
17 HAS DONE A GOOD JOB OF PICKING SPECIFIC INCENTIVES THAT  
18 DIRECTLY HELP BUILD THESE PROJECTS. I'LL NOTE THAT ONE THAT IS  
19 ESPECIALLY IMPORTANT, ASIDE FROM THE HEIGHT AND THE DENSITY  
20 INCREASES, IS THE PARKING REDUCTION. WHEN YOU BUILD AN  
21 UNDERGROUND PARKING SPACE THAT COSTS \$25,000 EACH AND, AGAIN,  
22 WE PREFER THAT, IF C.D.C. IS GOING TO INVEST SEVERAL MILLION  
23 DOLLARS IN OUR PROJECTS, THAT THAT MONEY BE MADE FOR MORE  
24 UNITS IN GENERAL, FOR PRETTIER ARCHITECTURE AND MORE AMENITIES  
25 FOR THE RESIDENTS WHO WILL LIVE THERE. THANK YOU.



The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1

2 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THANK YOU. MICHAEL ALBERTEZ.

3

4 **TERRA DONLON:** GOOD MORNING. MY NAME IS TERRA DONLON. I'M THE  
5 DIRECTOR OF GOVERNMENT AFFAIRS FOR THE BUILDING INDUSTRY  
6 ASSOCIATION. THE B.I.A. WOULD LIKE TO COMMEND REGIONAL  
7 PLANNING AS WELL AS THE BOARD ON THE DENSITY BONUS ORDINANCE  
8 BEFORE YOU. IT HAS TRULY BEEN VETTED THROUGH A PUBLIC PROCESS  
9 AND ADEQUATELY REPRESENTS THE INPUT FROM THE DEVELOPMENT  
10 COMMUNITY THAT IT'S TRYING TO SERVE. WHILE LOCAL  
11 MUNICIPALITIES ARE REQUIRED TO AMEND THEIR DEVELOPMENT CODES  
12 TO SPECIFY HOW COMPLIANCE WITH STATE DENSITY BONUS LAW WILL BE  
13 IMPLEMENTED, WE HAVE FOUND THAT MANY JURISDICTIONS ARE  
14 DRAGGING THEIR FEET TO AMEND THEIR CODES, SOME FEARFUL THAT  
15 OTHER CHANGES WILL COME DOWN FROM THE STATE LAW AND THEY WILL  
16 HAVE TO AMEND THEM YET AGAIN. WHILE THAT MAY BE THE CASE, WE  
17 COMMEND THE COUNTY FOR TAKING THE INITIATIVE TO REFLECT THESE  
18 SIGNIFICANT CHANGES IN THE DENSITY BONUS LAW AT THIS TIME.  
19 MORE IMPORTANTLY, FOR RECOGNIZING THE NEED TO GO BEYOND THE  
20 BASIC MINIMUM REQUIRED BY THE STATE AND TO STREAMLINE THE  
21 ENTITLEMENTS PROCESS FOR AFFORDABLE AND SENIOR HOUSING BY  
22 REDUCING UNNECESSARY REGULATORY BARRIERS AND PROVIDING A  
23 MEANINGFUL LIST OF INCENTIVES. IT'S IMPERATIVE THAT THIS MENU  
24 OF INCENTIVES BE PRESENTED IN CLEAR AND CERTAIN TERMS AND MOST  
25 IMPORTANTLY DEEMED BY RIGHT AND WE FEEL THAT THE MENU BEFORE



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 YOU TODAY DOES SO. WE COMMEND THE COUNTY FOR ADDRESSING OUR  
2 AFFORDABLE HOUSING ISSUES WITH AN INCENTIVE-BASED APPROACH  
3 WHICH, IF ADMINISTERED ACCORDING TO THE PROPOSED ORDINANCE,  
4 WILL STREAMLINE THE DEVELOPMENT PROCESS. I STAND BEFORE YOU  
5 TODAY REPRESENTING MORE THAN 500 MEMBER COMPANIES AND MYSELF  
6 AND THOSE COMPANIES SUPPORT YOUR EFFORTS AND ENCOURAGE YOU TO  
7 ADOPT THE ORDINANCE BEFORE YOU TODAY AND I ALSO HAVE A LETTER  
8 FOR THE RECORD. THANK YOU.

9

10 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THANK YOU. JIM BONAR. YES.

11

12 **ANDREA OSGOOD:** GOOD MORNING. MY NAME IS ANDREAS OSGOOD AND I  
13 AM HERE FROM THE LOS ANGELES COMMUNITY DESIGN CENTER. THE  
14 DESIGN CENTER IS A NONPROFIT ORGANIZATION WHICH DEVELOPS AND  
15 MANAGES AFFORDABLE HOUSING FOR LOW INCOME AND SPECIAL NEEDS  
16 PEOPLE IN THE LOS ANGELES AREA. SINCE 1984, THE DESIGN CENTER  
17 HAS PARTICIPATED IN THE COMPLETION OF 50 AFFORDABLE HOUSING  
18 DEVELOPMENTS, TOTALING OVER 2,500 UNITS THROUGHOUT LOS ANGELES  
19 COUNTY, INCLUDING BOTH INCORPORATED AND UNINCORPORATED AREAS.  
20 IN FACT, WE ARE CURRENTLY IN THE PREDEVELOPMENT STAGES OF A  
21 MULTI-FAMILY PROJECT IN EAST RANCHO DOMINGUEZ. THIS PROJECT  
22 WILL PROVIDE 69 AFFORDABLE UNITS FOR AREA FAMILIES THAT MAKE  
23 LESS THAN 50% OF THE AREA MEDIAN INCOME. AS WELL, THE  
24 DEVELOPMENT INCLUDES A CHILD CARE CENTER AND MEDICAL CLINIC  
25 FOR BOTH RESIDENTS AND THE COMMUNITY AT LARGE. THE DESIGN



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 CENTER STRONGLY SUPPORTS THE PROPOSED ORDINANCE BECAUSE IT  
2 DIRECTLY IMPACTS THE FEASIBILITY OF OUR PROJECTS, SUCH AS THIS  
3 ONE IN EAST RANCHO DOMINGUEZ IN SEVERAL KEY WAYS. FIRST,  
4 PARKING. DENSITY IS NOT THE MAIN DRIVER OF FEASIBILITY FOR US.  
5 PARKING IS. AND THE PROPOSED INCENTIVES MAKE THIS PROJECT  
6 FEASIBLE AND WILL ALLOW US TO FOCUS OUR RESOURCES FOR  
7 PROVIDING MORE OF THE COUNTY'S AFFORDABLE UNITS. THE PROPOSED  
8 PARKING INCENTIVES NOT ONLY MAKE THESE PROJECTS FEASIBLE BUT  
9 THEY ALSO MAKE SENSE. FOR EXAMPLE, OUR RESEARCH INDICATES THAT  
10 70% OF THE LOW INCOME RENTERS NEAR THE PROJECT IN EAST RANCHO  
11 DOMINGUEZ OWN ONE CAR OR LESS AND THE PROPOSED PARKING  
12 INCENTIVES ARE IN LINE WITH THIS DATA AND WILL ENSURE THAT  
13 AFFORDABLE HOUSING DEVELOPMENTS ARE ADEQUATELY PARKED BUT NOT  
14 OVERPARKED. IN ADDITION TO THE FINANCIAL FEASIBILITY, THE  
15 PROPOSED INCENTIVES FOR BUILDING HEIGHT AND LOT SETBACKS WILL  
16 ALLOW US TO DESIGN AND BUILD BETTER BUILDINGS. THIS TYPE OF  
17 FLEXIBILITY GIVES OUR ARCHITECTS THE ABILITY TO BETTER UTILIZE  
18 THE SPACE AND CREATE VISUAL INTERESTS AND VARY THE MASSING OF  
19 BUILDINGS WITHOUT SACRIFICING THE NUMBER OF UNITS PROVIDED.  
20 THANK YOU.

21

22 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THANK YOU. LISA PAYNE. THANK YOU.

23

24 **BETH STECKLER:** BETH STECKLER, POLICY DIRECTOR AT LIVABLE  
25 PLACES. WE'RE A NONPROFIT HOUSING DEVELOPER AND WE BUILD ENTRY





**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 LEVEL HOME OWNERSHIP MIXED INCOME DEVELOPMENTS. WE HAVE TWO,  
2 ONE IN LONG BEACH AND ONE IN LINCOLN HEIGHTS AREA OF LOS  
3 ANGELES, AND WE'RE STRONG SUPPORTERS OF THE STATE DENSITY  
4 BONUS AND WE HAVE BEEN INVOLVED IN THIS PROCESS AND COMMENTING  
5 ON VARIOUS DRAFTS AND WE FIND THE COUNTY'S DRAFTS TO BE A VERY  
6 THOUGHTFUL APPROACH AND ONE THAT WE THINK IS WORKABLE. THE  
7 STATE DENSITY BONUS LAW IS, AS YOU KNOW, NOT A SIMPLE LAW, HAS  
8 A LOT OF COMPLEXITIES TO IT AND WE BELIEVE THAT THE PROPOSAL  
9 THAT'S BEFORE YOU TODAY IS REALLY A WORKABLE PROPOSAL AND A  
10 VALUABLE ONE FOR CREATING MIXED INCOME COMMUNITIES. IT'S  
11 VALUABLE FOR DEVELOPERS WHO BUILD A HUNDRED PERCENT AFFORDABLE  
12 DEVELOPMENTS LIKE THE TAX CREDIT PROJECTS BUT IT'S ALSO  
13 VALUABLE FOR DEVELOPERS WHO ARE BUILDING MARKET RATE  
14 DEVELOPMENTS AND WOULD LIKE TO INCLUDE SOME AFFORDABLE UNITS.  
15 SO WE URGE YOU TO PASS THIS TODAY. THANK YOU.

16

17 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THANK YOU. KELLY ROSE.

18

19 **MIKE ALVIDREZ:** GOOD MORNING. MY NAME IS MIKE ALVIDREZ, I'M THE  
20 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF SKID ROW HOUSING TRUST. WE'RE A  
21 NONPROFIT ORGANIZATION THAT DEVELOPS AFFORDABLE HOUSING FOR  
22 PEOPLE WHO ARE HOMELESS AND DISABLED. I THINK THE ITEM BEFORE  
23 YOU TODAY IS VERY IMPORTANT. AS I THINK WE ALL KNOW, WE HAVE  
24 AN AFFORDABLE HOUSING CRISIS THAT IS ON A REGIONAL BASIS. I  
25 THINK THE COUNTY, ACTING THROUGH THE GOOD WORK OF THE REGIONAL



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 PLANNING STAFF, HAS TAKEN A NUMBER OF STEPS THAT WILL MAKE THE  
2 COUNTY'S PROPOSAL A MODEL FOR A NUMBER OF OTHER CITIES IN THE  
3 REGION. WE HAVE TO ATTACK THIS PROBLEM ON A REGIONAL BASIS AND  
4 I THINK THE COUNTY CAN TAKE THE LEAD ON THIS ISSUE. JUST  
5 BRIEFLY, I WANT TO SAY THAT I THINK WHAT WE NEED FOR SMART  
6 GROWTH IS SMART PLANNING AND SMART ZONING AND I THINK THE  
7 NUMBER OF ITEMS THAT ARE LISTED IN THE PROPOSED ORDINANCE WILL  
8 DO JUST THAT, IT WILL MAKE IT POSSIBLE FOR AFFORDABLE HOUSING  
9 DEVELOPERS TO HAVE SOME CERTAINTY ABOUT WHAT THE GUIDELINES  
10 ARE AS WE PROCEED TO TRY AND PRODUCE MORE AFFORDABLE HOUSING  
11 IN THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES AS WELL AS THE ENTIRE COUNTY OF  
12 L.A. THANK YOU.

13

14 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THANK YOU. ALEJANDRO MARTINEZ. YES.

15

16 **JIM BONAR:** I'M NEXT. I'M-- GOOD MORNING. I'M JIM BONAR,  
17 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF CLIFFORD BEERS HOUSING. WE ARE AN  
18 AFFILIATE OF THE MENTAL HEALTH ASSOCIATION OF GREATER LOS  
19 ANGELES AND OUR JOB IS TO PRODUCE HOUSING FOR PEOPLE WITH  
20 MENTAL-- LIVING WITH MENTAL ILLNESS. ADDITIONALLY, I'M AN  
21 ARCHITECT AND, OVER THE LAST 35 YEARS, I'VE PLANNED AND  
22 DEVELOPED NUMEROUS HOUSING PROJECTS. OFTEN, WE HAVE SOUGHT  
23 VARIANCES, SUCCESSFULLY SOUGHT VARIANCES AND GONE THROUGH  
24 PROCESSES OF PUBLIC HEARINGS AND SO FORTH TO PRODUCE THIS  
25 HOUSING. THE ORDINANCE BEFORE YOU IS GOING TO EXPEDITE AND



The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1 BRING MUCH MORE CERTAINTY TO THAT PROCESS. IT'S WELL THOUGHT  
2 OUT AND WILL HELP PRODUCE LOW INCOME HOUSING. I URGE YOU TO  
3 SUPPORT IT. THANK YOU.

4

5 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** DORA LEONE GALLO.

6

7 **LISA PAYNE:** MY NAME IS LISA PAYNE, I'M THE POLICY DIRECTOR AT  
8 THE SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA ASSOCIATION OF NONPROFIT HOUSING.  
9 WE'RE A MEMBERSHIP ORGANIZATION. OUR CORE MEMBERSHIP ARE  
10 NONPROFIT AFFORDABLE HOUSING DEVELOPERS WHO DO A HUNDRED  
11 PERCENT AFFORDABLE HOUSING AND, AS YOU ALL KNOW, AFFORDABLE  
12 HOUSING REALLY IS THE BEST HOUSING ON THE BLOCK. IT'S  
13 BEAUTIFUL, IT'S DESIGNED BY FIRST RATE ARCHITECTS. MOST OF  
14 OUR-- MOST OF THE BUILDINGS ARE PROFESSIONALLY MANAGED AND  
15 THEY PROVIDE SERVICES SUCH AS AFTER-SCHOOL PROGRAMS, TUTORING  
16 AND JOB TRAINING PROGRAMS THAT ENRICH RESIDENTS' LIVES, ALONG  
17 WITH THE PROVISION OF SAFETY AND AFFORDABLE HOMES. AS YOU ALL  
18 KNOW, OBVIOUSLY, THERE'S A HUGE HOUSING CRISIS IN THE COUNTY  
19 THAT YOU ALL HAVE TAKEN A BIG STEP IN ADDRESSING THROUGH YOUR  
20 HOMELESS INITIATIVE BUT, BESIDES THE EXTRA FUNDS, TO GET THESE  
21 HOMES BUILT, WE NEED TO BE ABLE TO BUILD ENOUGH UNITS ON A  
22 SITE TO MAKE A DEVELOPMENT FINANCIALLY FEASIBLE WHICH, OF  
23 COURSE, IS WHY THE STATE PASSED SB-1818 IN 2004, AND WE THINK  
24 THE COUNTY'S PROPOSED ORDINANCE IS A VERY THOUGHTFUL  
25 IMPLEMENTATION OF SB-1818. FIRST, AS OTHERS HAVE SAID, IT



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 PROVIDES A MEANINGFUL MENU OF INCENTIVES THAT PERMIT  
2 DEVELOPERS TO TAKE THE DENSITY BONUS REQUIRED BY THE STATE LAW  
3 BUT IT IS ALSO REASONABLE AND LIMITED. IT PUTS THE COMMUNITY  
4 AND THE DEVELOPERS ON NOTICE ABOUT THE TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT  
5 THAT WILL BE HAPPENING IN THE AREA. SECOND, IT PROVIDES AN  
6 EXPEDITED PROCESS FOR PROVING THESE INCENTIVES AND THIS IS  
7 IMPORTANT BECAUSE HOLDING COSTS OF LAND, AS A DEVELOPMENT GOES  
8 THROUGH MULTIPLE HEARINGS CAN, AT BEST, INCREASE THE COST FOR  
9 THE COUNTY. AS WORST, IT MAY FORCE DEVELOPERS TO ABANDON  
10 PROJECTS AND THAT HAS HAPPENED. SO, IN SHORT, WE URGE YOU TO  
11 SUPPORT THE COUNTY'S PROPOSED ORDINANCE BECAUSE IT WILL PERMIT  
12 THE DEVELOPMENT OF MUCH NEEDED AFFORDABLE HOMES WHILE AT THE  
13 SAME TIME PROTECTING SINGLE FAMILY NEIGHBORHOODS AND THE  
14 CHARACTER OF THE NEIGHBORHOODS THAT ARE THERE. THANK YOU VERY  
15 MUCH.

16

17 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THANK YOU.

18

19 **KELLY ROSE:** MY NAME IS KELLY ROSE, I'M HERE REPRESENTING 1010  
20 DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION. 1010 IS A FAITH-BASED NONPROFIT  
21 DEVELOPER OF AFFORDABLE HOUSING IN LOS ANGELES. 1010 SUPPORTS  
22 THE PROPOSED DENSITY BONUS ORDINANCE AND ENCOURAGES YOU TO  
23 PASS IT BECAUSE IT WILL BE A GREAT BOOST TO OUR ABILITY TO  
24 PROVIDE QUALITY HOUSING TO LOS ANGELES COUNTY'S LOW INCOME  
25 RESIDENTS. 1010'S HOUSING IS DESIGNED TO BE AFFORDABLE FOR



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 THOSE EARNING 60% OR LESS OF THE AREA MEDIAN INCOME WHICH, AS  
2 YOU KNOW, IS SOMEWHERE AROUND 45,000 FOR A FAMILY OF FOUR. THE  
3 PROPOSED ORDINANCE WILL INCREASE THE NUMBER OF UNITS WE CAN  
4 BUILD FOR THESE FAMILIES AND INDIVIDUALS BY PROVIDING A  
5 VARIETY OF INCENTIVES THAT WILL ALLOW US TO BUILD ENOUGH UNITS  
6 ON A PROPERTY TO MAKE IT FINANCIALLY FEASIBLE AND WILL  
7 EXPEDITE THE PROCESS SO THAT WE DO NOT MISS ANY FUNDING  
8 OPPORTUNITIES OR INCUR ADDED COSTS. MORE UNITS BUILT MEANS  
9 MORE PEOPLE LIVING IN SAFE, STABLE, QUALITY HOUSING, WHICH IS  
10 SOMETHING THAT ALL RESIDENTS OF L.A. COUNTY SHOULD HAVE. THANK  
11 YOU.

12

13 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THANK YOU. YES.

14

15 **ALEJANDRO MARTINEZ:** GOOD MORNING. MY NAME IS ALEJANDRO  
16 MARTINEZ, I WORK WITH EAST L.A. COMMUNITY CORPORATION. I'M THE  
17 DIRECTOR OF REAL ESTATE DEVELOPMENT THERE. OUR ORGANIZATION  
18 SUPPORTS THE PROPOSAL ORDINANCE AS IT MAKES EXISTING POLICY  
19 COMPLAINT WITH SB-1818 AND SB-435. IN ADDITION, THE PROPOSED  
20 ORDINANCE GIVES MEANINGFUL INCENTIVES AND IMPROVES LAND  
21 DEVELOPMENT CERTAINTY. SPECIFICALLY, THE PROPOSED ORDINANCE  
22 WILL FACILITATE THE DEVELOPMENT OF FOR SALE MARKET RATE AND  
23 AFFORDABLE SINGLE-FAMILY, MULTI-FAMILY AND MARKET RATE AND  
24 MIXED USE DEVELOPMENTS. FOR EXAMPLE, IN UNINCORPORATED EAST  
25 LOS ANGELES OFF OF WHITTIER BOULEVARD, THERE ARE A COUPLE OF



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 UNDERUTILIZED PROPERTIES, AND OUR ORGANIZATION HAS MADE OFFERS  
2 AND WOULD LOVE TO REDEVELOP THOSE SITES AS INCOME MIXED USE  
3 DEVELOPMENTS BUT, AT THIS POINT IN TIME, IT IS EXTREMELY  
4 DIFFICULT BECAUSE OF THIS UNCERTAINTY AND THE PROPOSED  
5 ORDINANCE WILL HELP FACILITATE THAT DEVELOPMENT AND  
6 REDEVELOPMENT OF THESE PROPERTIES. AGAIN, WE STRONGLY SUPPORT  
7 THIS ORDINANCE AND WOULD LIKE TO THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR  
8 TIME.

9

10 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THANK YOU. YES, MA'AM.

11

12 **DORA LEONG GALLO:** GOOD MORNING. MY NAME IS DORA LEONG GALLO  
13 AND I'M THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF A COMMUNITY OF FRIENDS.  
14 WE'RE A NONPROFIT DEVELOPER OF AFFORDABLE HOUSING FOR PEOPLE  
15 WITH SPECIAL NEEDS IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY. I PREVIOUSLY  
16 SUBMITTED A LETTER FOR THE RECORD SPEAKING IN SUPPORT OF THIS  
17 PARTICULAR PROPOSED ORDINANCE AND MANY SPEAKERS TODAY HAVE  
18 TALKED ABOUT THE STRENGTH OF THIS ORDINANCE AND I WANT TO  
19 SPEAK ABOUT THE PROCESS. THE PLANNING COMMISSION REALLY TOOK  
20 THE TIME TO LISTEN TO ALL THE ENTITIES THAT SPOKE BEFORE THEM  
21 AT THE VARIOUS COMMISSION MEETINGS. THE PLANNING STAFF  
22 LISTENED AND THE COUNTY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION STAFF  
23 LISTENED. WE DIDN'T ALWAYS AGREE BUT WE KEPT FOCUSED ON THE  
24 GOAL, WHICH IS THE ENCOURAGEMENT AND INCENTIVES TO CREATE MORE  
25 AFFORDABLE HOUSING IN THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES. IT'S TAKEN US





**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 A LONG TIME TO GET HERE TODAY BUT WHAT YOU HAVE BEFORE YOU IS  
2 A BALANCED AND FAIR PROPOSAL, SO WE URGE YOUR SUPPORT. THANK  
3 YOU VERY MUCH.

4

5 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THANK YOU. SUPERVISOR KNABE HAD SOME  
6 QUESTIONS. I HAVE SOME AS WELL. YEAH.

7

8 **SUP. KNABE:** MINE REALLY ARE IN REGARDS TO THE YARD SETBACK,  
9 THE SETBACK REQUIREMENTS. WHEN WE SPEAK OF THE 20%  
10 MODIFICATION, YOU KNOW, I THINK THE COMMISSION DID A VERY GOOD  
11 JOB IN ANALYZING THE PROCESS BUT WILL THIS ALLOW THE BUILDING  
12 NOW UP TO PROPERTY LINE, STREET LINES, PROPERTY LINES OR WILL  
13 THERE STILL BE REQUIRED SETBACKS?

14

15 **JULIE MOORE:** ARE YOU REFERRING TO ON THE MENU OR...

16

17 **SUP. KNABE:** ON THE MENU.

18

19 **JULIE MOORE:** OKAY. ON THE MENU, THE SUGGESTED MODIFICATION  
20 WOULD BE FOR THE SIDE YARD, IT WOULD BE 20% REDUCTION OF--  
21 FIVE FEET IS WHAT WE REQUIRE RIGHT NOW IN RESIDENTIAL ZONES,  
22 THE MODIFICATION OF 20% WOULD BRING IT DOWN TO FOUR FEET,  
23 WHICH IS A DIFFERENCE OF ONE FOOT. ON THE FRONT AND REAR  
24 PROPERTY LINES, THE MODIFICATION THAT COULD BE REQUESTED WOULD  
25 BE 35% AND...



The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1

2 **SUP. KNABE:** OF WHAT?

3

4 **JULIE MOORE:** IT DEPENDS ON WHAT YOUR ZONING IS. FOR EXAMPLE,  
5 IF YOU ARE IN THE R-1, YOU WOULD HAVE A 20-FOOT FRONT YARD  
6 SETBACK REQUIRED. IF YOU MODIFIED THAT BY 35%, IT'D REDUCE IT  
7 BY FOUR FEET, SO IT WOULD BRING IT DOWN TO-- NO, I'M SORRY, IT  
8 WOULD REDUCE IT DOWN SEVEN FEET, SO BRING IT DOWN TO 13 FEET  
9 IN THE FRONT. FOR THE REAR, YOU'D HAVE A 15-FOOT SETBACK  
10 REQUIREMENT, A 35% MODIFICATION WOULD REDUCE IT BY 5-1/4, SO  
11 THAT WOULD BRING IT DOWN TO 14.75 FEET.

12

13 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THE QUESTION I HAVE, THIS IS A MANDATE  
14 THAT'S COMING FROM THE STATE, SO IT'S NOT ONE THAT'S BEING  
15 DISCUSSED AND IMPLEMENTED LOCALLY WHERE YOU HAVE DECISIONS  
16 FROM THE COMMUNITY BEING INVOLVED IN THE DECISION. SO WHAT YOU  
17 HAVE IS AN ABILITY, IF THIS WOULD PASS, OF HAVING THE STATE  
18 ENHANCE DENSITY IN AREAS WHERE TRAFFIC AND OTHER  
19 CONSIDERATIONS WOULD PRECLUDE DENSITY FROM BEING GRANTED  
20 RELATIVE STREET PARKING, ROAD CONGESTION AND IMPACT ON  
21 INFRASTRUCTURE. AND WHILE YOU NEED ADDITIONAL HOUSING, YOU  
22 ALSO HAVE TO BE-- TAKE INTO CONSIDERATION THE SURROUNDING  
23 AREAS' ABILITY TO HANDLE THAT ADDITIONAL INFLUX, WHICH IS AN  
24 ARBITRARY NUMBER BEING SET BY A GOVERNMENT THAT'S AWAY FROM  
25 THE RESIDENCE THAT WILL HAVE TO SUFFER THE IMPACT OF THAT



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 DENSITY. AND, AGAIN, IT'S-- ONCE-- IT'S REMOVING LOCAL  
2 CONTROL, LOCAL DECISION MAKING FROM A VERY IMPORTANT ISSUE.  
3 MANY CITIES IN THIS COUNTY, MANY COUNTIES IN OUR-- COMMUNITIES  
4 IN OUR COUNTY HAVE STANDARD, SPECIAL STANDARDS DISTRICT AND TO  
5 ARBITRARILY SAY NOW YOU HAVE TO PUT IN ADDITIONAL HOUSING  
6 VIOLATES THEIR GENERAL PLAN AND THEIR COMMUNITY STANDARD  
7 DISTRICTS, SO IT'S NOT WORKABLE. IT LOOKS GOOD ON PAPER BUT,  
8 WHEN YOU IMPLEMENT IT, IT'S DENYING COMMUNITIES THE RIGHT TO  
9 HAVE A DETERMINATION AS TO HOW THEIR COMMUNITY WILL BE.

10

11 **SUP. KNABE:** DOES THIS OVERRIDE COMMUNITY STANDARDS DISTRICTS?

12

13 **JULIE MOORE:** YES, IT DOES, IT HAS A COUNTYWIDE APPLICATION,  
14 INCLUDING IN THE COMMUNITY STANDARDS DISTRICT AREAS.

15

16 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THIS COULD OVERRIDE THE GENERAL PLAN?

17

18 **JULIE MOORE:** THAT'S CORRECT.

19

20 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THAT'S WHY, PHILOSOPHICALLY, I'D BE  
21 OPPOSED TO IT. ANY OTHER QUESTIONS? SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY?

22

23 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** I HAVE A COUPLE OF ISSUES THAT I WANTED TO  
24 RAISE AND A POSSIBLE AMENDMENT HERE. ON THE SENIOR CITIZEN  
25 HOUSING, WHAT IS THE LIMIT, THE NUMBER OF YEARS THAT A



The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1 HOUSING-- SENIOR CITIZEN HOUSING PROJECT HAS TO REMAIN A  
2 SENIOR CITIZEN HOUSING PROJECT UNDER THE ORDINANCE?

3

4 **JULIE MOORE:** UNDER THE ORDINANCE, IT'S NOT SPECIFIED. WHEN YOU  
5 LOOK AT THE REFERENCES TO THE CIVIL CODE, WHICH APPEAR IN  
6 DENSITY BONUS LAW, YOU COULD INTERPRET THE REQUIREMENTS TO BE  
7 FOR THE LIFE OF THAT DEVELOPMENT THAT'S RESTRICTED TO SENIOR  
8 CITIZENS. BASED ON FAIR HOUSING LAWS, YOU'RE NOT ALLOWED TO  
9 RESERVE UNITS FOR SENIORS-- YOU KNOW, AGE RESTRICT THE UNITS  
10 AS OPPOSED TO OPENING IT UP TO SOMEBODY OF A DIFFERENT AGE  
11 GROUP OTHER THAN SENIORS. HOWEVER, BY BUILDING A SENIOR  
12 CITIZEN HOUSING DEVELOPMENT THAT MEETS THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE  
13 CIVIL CODE, YOU ARE ABLE TO AGE RESTRICT. AND SO, FOR AS LONG  
14 AS THE DEVELOPMENT IS AGE RESTRICTED AND THERE'S NO TIME  
15 SPECIFIED BUT IT WOULD BE PROBABLY FOR THE LIFE OF THAT  
16 DEVELOPMENT...

17

18 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** WELL, WHY WAS I UNDER THE IMPRESSION THAT  
19 THERE WAS A 20-YEAR MINIMUM? DOES THAT RING A BELL TO YOU?

20

21 **JULIE MOORE:** 20 YEARS DOES NOT. TYPICALLY, WHAT WE SEE IN  
22 VARIOUS LAWS ARE 30 YEARS. 30 YEARS IS TYPICAL BECAUSE  
23 CONVENTIONAL FINANCING IS FOR USUALLY 30 YEARS.

24

25 **SUP. KNABE:** CAN I ASK COUNTY COUNSEL A QUESTION?



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** I'M NOT DONE HERE BUT GO AHEAD.

3

4 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** SUPERVISOR KNABE.

5

6 **SUP. KNABE:** WITHOUT-- DO WE HAVE TO ADOPT THIS BY STATE LAW?

7

8 **RICHARD WEISS:** YES. WELL, THERE ARE PORTIONS OF THE PROPOSED  
9 ORDINANCE THAT GO BEYOND THE MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS OF STATE LAW  
10 THAT WERE RECOMMENDED BY THE COMMISSION TO FURTHER PROMOTE AND  
11 INCENTIVIZE AFFORDABLE HOUSING. HOWEVER, YES, YOUR BOARD IS  
12 REQUIRED TO ADOPT AN ORDINANCE THAT IMPLEMENTS THE MINIMUM  
13 REQUIREMENTS IN THE GOVERNMENT CODE.

14

15 **SUP. KNABE:** AND WHAT'S THE TIME LINE ON THAT OR DO YOU WAIT  
16 UNTIL A LAWSUIT, I ASSUME?

17

18 **RICHARD WEISS:** THERE IS NO SPECIFIED TIME LIMIT IN THE  
19 GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION. THIS LAW HAS BEEN SOMEWHAT IN EFFECT  
20 SINCE THE BEGINNING OF 2005 AND WE WOULDN'T ENCOURAGE YOUR  
21 BOARD TO DELAY.

22

23 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** COULD YOU GIVE US A FACT SHEET AS TO  
24 WHAT THE STATE LAW IS AND WHAT'S BEING ENHANCED IN THIS  
25 PROPOSAL?



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **RICHARD WEISS:** I CANNOT GIVE YOU...

3

4 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** NO, I DON'T MEAN THIS INSTANT BUT  
5 COULD YOU PROVIDE THAT INFORMATION?

6

7 **RICHARD WEISS:** WE COULD AND I THINK JULIE COULD DESCRIBE FOR  
8 YOUR BOARD, IN SOMEWHAT SIMPLE TERMS, THOSE PORTIONS OF THE  
9 ORDINANCE WHICH ARE FURTHER INCENTIVES BEYOND THE STATE  
10 REQUIREMENTS.

11

12 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** SUPERVISOR BURKE.

13

14 **SUP. BURKE:** MY UNDERSTANDING WAS THAT SOME OF THESE CHANGES IN  
15 OUR PROPOSED ORDINANCE IS TO GIVE SOME LEVEL OF CERTAINTY TO  
16 THOSE PEOPLE WHO ARE LOOKING AT IN-FILL DEVELOPMENTS AND I  
17 WOULD LIKE TO GET SOME ASSURANCE THAT THAT IS WHAT REALLY  
18 HAPPENS AS A RESULTS OF BEING VERY-- A LITTLE BIT MORE  
19 SPECIFIC AS IT RELATES TO DISCRETIONARY DETERMINATIONS AND  
20 WHAT CAN BE CONSIDERED AS DISCRETIONARY.

21

22 **JULIE MOORE:** AGAIN, JULIE MOORE, FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF  
23 REGIONAL PLANNING. THE PROCESS THAT'S IDENTIFIED IN STATE LAW  
24 IS NOT A DISCRETIONARY PROCESS. THE LAW REQUIRES A  
25 NONDISCRETIONARY APPROVAL PROCESS FOR THE DENSITY BONUS AND





**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 FOR THE INCENTIVES AND THEREFORE THE PROPOSED ORDINANCE  
2 CONTAINS AN ADMINISTRATIVE HOUSING PERMIT, WHICH IS THE  
3 IMPLEMENTING VEHICLE FOR THE DENSITY BONUS AND INCENTIVES. THE  
4 THING THAT GOES BEYOND THE MANDATED PROVISIONS WOULD BE THE  
5 MENU THAT'S PROPOSED. THE PURPOSE OF THE MENU IS TO FOCUS  
6 DEVELOPERS ON INCENTIVES THAT THE COUNTY FEELS MOST  
7 COMFORTABLE WITH. OTHERWISE, THEY COULD PRETTY MUCH ASK FOR  
8 ANYTHING. THE ANYTHING COULD BE SOMETHING VERY IMPACTFUL AND  
9 SO THE COUNTY DOES HAVE THE ABILITY, UNDER STATE LAW AND THE  
10 PROPOSED ORDINANCE, TO DENY THE PROJECT IF THE PROJECT, THE  
11 INCENTIVES OF THE PROJECT WOULD HAVE A ADVERSE EFFECT ON THE  
12 HEALTH, SAFETY, THE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT OR HISTORIC RESOURCES  
13 OR IF THE INCENTIVE DID NOT CONTRIBUTE TO THE AFFORDABILITY OF  
14 THE PROJECT, YOU KNOW, KEEPING THE RENTS OR HOUSING COSTS  
15 AFFORDABLE. THAT SAID, YOU HAVE CONTROL OVER WHAT APPEARS ON  
16 THE MENU. THE MENU IS A GOOD IDEA BECAUSE THE MENU FOCUSES  
17 DEVELOPERS ON SELECTING INCENTIVES THAT YOU FEEL ARE  
18 APPROPRIATE. WE'VE WORK WITH THIS MENU IN DEVELOPING IT SINCE  
19 THE PLANNING COMMISSION HEARING WHERE WE HAD THE AFFORDABLE  
20 HOUSING DEVELOPERS COME AND SAY "WE NEED CERTAINTY." MOST OF  
21 WHAT THEY DEVELOPED IS INFILL-- INFILL DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS.  
22 SO WE BASICALLY USED AN IDEA THAT THE CITY OF L.A. HAD  
23 DEVELOPED AND CUSTOMIZED IT FOR WHAT WE FELT THE ISSUES WERE  
24 IN THE COUNTY. SO THE ITEMS THAT YOU SEE ON THE MENU ARE  
25 THINGS THAT THE PLANNING COMMISSION FELT COMFORTABLE WITH. AND



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 THERE'S ALSO PREREQUISITES TO USING THE MENU. THE EMPHASIS IS  
2 TO PROMOTE INFILL DEVELOPMENT IN URBAN AREAS AND SO THERE ARE  
3 CERTAIN THINGS THAT APPEAR ON-- THE PREREQUISITES THAT YOU  
4 WOULD HAVE TO MEET TO BE ABLE TO USE THE MENU IS THAT THE  
5 PROJECT MUST BE A QUALIFIED AFFORDABLE HOUSING DEVELOPMENT  
6 UNDER THE ORDINANCE. AND, TO BE ELIGIBLE FOR THE MENU  
7 INCENTIVES, YOU WOULD NEED TO BE OUTSIDE OF AREA HIGH FIRE  
8 HAZARD SEVERITY ZONE; YOU WOULD HAVE TO BE WITHIN AN AREA THAT  
9 IS SERVED BY A PUBLIC SEWER SYSTEM; WITHIN AN AREA THAT IS  
10 SERVED BY A PUBLIC WATER SYSTEM; NOT WITHIN A SIGNIFICANT  
11 ECOLOGICAL AREA OR S.E.A.; AND ALSO NOT WITHIN AN  
12 ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE HABITAT AREA, ESHA. THAT'S WOULD BE  
13 UNDER THE MALIBU LAND USE PLAN. ON LAND NOT HAVING A NATURAL  
14 SLOPE OF OVER 25% OR MORE AND MANY, MANY PROJECTS IN THE  
15 COUNTY THAT COME THROUGH ALSO REQUIRE OTHER DISCRETIONARY  
16 ENTITLEMENTS. THAT INCLUDES IF YOU'RE DOING A LAND DIVISION  
17 FOR OWNERSHIP HOUSING OR IF THE USE ITSELF, THE RESIDENTIAL  
18 USE REQUIRES A CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT. SO IF YOU'RE IN A  
19 COMMERCIAL ZONE, YOU REQUIRE A CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT FOR  
20 RESIDENCES. SO THERE ARE CHECKS AND BALANCES THERE.

21

22 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY.

23

24 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** OKAY. I WAS MISTAKEN ON THE 20 YEAR. THERE  
25 IS NO-- AS YOU INDICATED, THERE IS NO LIMIT. WHAT I WANT TO DO



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 IS ENSURE THAT THERE'S A MINIMUM OF 30 YEARS ON THE SENIOR  
2 CITIZEN ELEMENT THAT, IF SOMEBODY'S GOING TO USE THAT TO  
3 FULFILL THEIR-- OR EXERCISE THEIR RIGHTS UNDER THIS ORDINANCE  
4 AND SAY THEY'RE DOING IT FOR-- USE IT AS A HOUSING PROJECT,  
5 THAT THEY DO IT FOR AT LEAST 30 YEARS WHICH, AS YOU SAY, IS  
6 CONSISTENT WITH THE FINANCING AND ALL SO-- AND THE SECOND  
7 THING IS ON THE OFF-MENU-- BY THE WAY, LET ME JUST SAY ON THE  
8 WHOLE, I THINK EVERYBODY'S DONE AN OUTSTANDING JOB ON THIS.  
9 THIS COULD BE A VERY MESSY SITUATION FOR ANY LOCAL GOVERNMENT,  
10 THE WAY THIS BILL WAS WRITTEN, AND I THINK THERE ARE A LOT OF  
11 THINGS ABOUT THIS BILL ORIGINALLY THAT I WOULD HAVE DONE  
12 DIFFERENTLY. WE WERE ASLEEP AT THE SWITCH. I MEAN, I THINK  
13 MOST OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT IN THIS STATE WAS ASLEEP AT THE  
14 SWITCH ON THIS WHEN THAT WAS GOING THROUGH. BUT, NEVERTHELESS,  
15 I THINK YOU'VE DONE A GREAT JOB GIVEN THE LEGISLATION THAT'S  
16 PASSED FASHIONING AN ORDINANCE AND I'M VERY SUPPORTIVE OF IT.  
17 THE ONE THING I WANT TO ADD IN HERE-- THE TWO THINGS, ONE I'VE  
18 JUST DISCUSSED, THE 30 YEARS ON SENIORS. THE SECOND IS ON THE  
19 OFF MENU INCENTIVES. THERE OUGHT TO BE AN APPEAL AVAILABLE NOT  
20 JUST TO THE DEVELOPER BUT TO ANY INTERESTED PARTY, JUST ON THE  
21 OFF MENU BECAUSE THEY CAN BE, JUST LIKE THE DEVELOPER WANTS  
22 CERTAINTY, EVERYBODY ELSE WOULD LIKE A LITTLE BIT OF CERTAINTY  
23 AND STABILITY, TOO, AND WHEN SOMETHING IS OFF THE CHARTS THAT  
24 IS AT VARIANCE WITH CERTAINTY, THEN BOTH THE DEVELOPER, EITHER  
25 THE DEVELOPER OR THE NEIGHBOR OR REGISTERED PARTY AND



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 ASSOCIATION IN THE AREA OUGHT TO HAVE A RIGHT TO APPEAL IT AND  
2 SO I WANT TO MAKE THE FOLLOWING MOTION. I WON'T READ THE WHOLE  
3 PREAMBLE, I'VE JUST GIVEN YOU THE PREAMBLE, ONE, THAT SENIOR  
4 CITIZEN HOUSING DEVELOPMENTS SHOULD BE RESERVED FOR SENIOR  
5 CITIZENS FOR AT LEAST 30 YEARS FROM THE ISSUANCE DATE OF THE  
6 CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY. THE ORDINANCE WOULD BE AMENDED  
7 ACCORDINGLY. AND, TWO, THAT THE ORDINANCE BE AMENDED THAT,  
8 WHEN AN OFF MENU INCENTIVE IS REQUESTED, AN APPEAL TO THE  
9 REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION MAY BE MADE BY THE APPLICANT OR  
10 ANY OTHER INTERESTED PARTY. ALTERNATIVELY, THE DECISION MAY BE  
11 CALLED UP FOR REVIEW BY THE PLANNING COMMISSION WITHIN 21 DAYS  
12 OF RECEIPT OF NOTIFICATION. AN APPEAL UNDER THESE  
13 CIRCUMSTANCES MAY BE ONLY DEEMED VALID BY THE PLANNING  
14 DIRECTOR IF THAT APPEAL IS BASED ON FACTS THAT THE PLANNING  
15 COMMISSION CAN LEGALLY CONSIDER FOR DENIAL OF A PROJECT UNDER  
16 STATE LAW. THEY CAN'T HAVE ANY FRIVOLOUS APPEALS AND THAT SORT  
17 OF THING, AND THAT WOULD BE AT THE DISCRETION OF THE PLANNING  
18 DIRECTOR. FURTHER, ALL NOTICES OF DECISION MUST CONTAIN A  
19 STATEMENT INFORMING RECIPIENTS OF THE NOTICE. (A) THAT THE  
20 PROJECT IS SUBJECT TO AN ADMINISTRATIVE PERMIT AND IS NOT  
21 SUBJECT TO DISCRETIONARY REVIEW AND (B) THEY BE INFORMED OF  
22 THE LIMITED GROUNDS ON WHICH AN APPEAL MAY BE FILED AND (C)  
23 THEY BE INFORMED OF THE LIMITED GROUNDS ON WHICH THE PLANNING  
24 COMMISSION MAY LEGALLY DENY A PERMIT UNDER STATE LAW AND THAT  
25 THE DECISION OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION SHALL BE FINAL ON



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 THAT. SO THERE'S A VERY NARROW RANGE OF DISCRETION, THERE'S NO  
2 DISCRETION, THERE'S A VERY NARROW RANGE OF WHAT THE PLANNING  
3 COMMISSION CAN DO ON APPEAL. I WANT EVERYBODY TO BE NOTIFIED  
4 OF THAT BUT THEY OUGHT TO HAVE A RIGHT TO APPEAL ON AN OFF  
5 MENU ITEM. THAT'S-- SO I MAKE THAT MOTION, MR. CHAIRMAN, AS AN  
6 AMENDMENT. I THINK IT'S KEEPING WITHIN THE SPIRIT OF WHAT...

7

8 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** SUPERVISOR MOLINA.

9

10 **SUP. MOLINA:** I'LL SECOND THAT AMENDMENT AND LET ME JOIN IN  
11 CONGRATULATING AND THANKING JULIE AND MISS CHUNG FOR THEIR  
12 WORK ON THIS. I THINK IT'S TREMENDOUS LEADERSHIP. THE PART  
13 THAT I'M HAVING-- THAT I'M DISAPPOINTED IN IS THE FACT THAT  
14 IT'S ONLY THE UNINCORPORATED AREAS. I THINK THAT THIS COULD BE  
15 A WIN/WIN FOR SO MANY OF THE CITIES. YOU KNOW, AN AFFORDABLE  
16 HOUSING COMPONENT IS ALL OF OUR RESPONSIBILITY IN LOCAL  
17 GOVERNMENT, IT ISN'T JUST CERTAIN COMMUNITIES. YESTERDAY, I  
18 WAS SERVING ON-- WHEN I WAS SERVING ON THE ALAMEDA CORRIDOR  
19 EAST COMMITTEE, THEY HAD A HUGE LARGE PARCEL OF EXCESS LAND  
20 THAT THEY HAD BOUGHT IN WEST COVINA AND OUR RESPONSIBILITY,  
21 UNDER STATE LAW, IS TO MAKE IT AVAILABLE FOR AFFORDABLE  
22 HOUSING BUT THE CITY OF WEST COVINA WOULD NOT CHANGE THEIR  
23 ZONING ON IT AND THEY WANTED IT EXCLUSIVELY TO BE COMMERCIAL,  
24 NOT EVEN JOINT USE, AND IT WAS VERY, VERY DISAPPOINTING THAT  
25 WE HAD TO APPROVE SOMETHING WHICH WOULD HAVE BEEN A GREAT



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 SENIOR CITIZEN COMPLEX, AFFORDABLE HOUSING. I THINK THAT MANY  
2 OF THESE COMMUNITIES AREN'T RECOGNIZING AND REALIZING THAT  
3 EVERYONE NEEDS AFFORDABLE HOUSING THROUGHOUT OUR COMMUNITIES.  
4 MOST OF OUR CHILDREN CAN'T AFFORD THE RENTS NOWADAYS, EVEN  
5 THOUGH THEY'RE 20 AND ABOVE. THERE'S A LOT OF SENIOR CITIZENS,  
6 I CAN'T TELL YOU THE NUMBER OF VISITS I GET FROM SENIOR  
7 CITIZENS WHERE THE RENTS ARE GOING UP SO HIGH, THEY JUST DON'T  
8 HAVE ENOUGH MONEY TO COVER THEM. THIS IS A RESPONSIBILITY AND  
9 I'M WONDERING IF THERE'S ANYTHING THAT THE DEPARTMENT COULD DO  
10 TO OFFER THE SAME KIND OF A MODEL TO MANY OF OUR CITIES. I  
11 THINK IT'S WELL DONE, I THINK IT'S THE KIND OF THING THAT  
12 MIGHT BE HELPFUL TO THEM, MAYBE SOME OF THE CITIES JUST DON'T  
13 KNOW HOW TO UTILIZE IT. I THINK IT HAS TREMENDOUS VALUE AND  
14 SO, ANYTHING THAT-- I MEAN, I DON'T WANT TO MAKE IT A MOTION  
15 BUT IF THERE'S SOMETHING THAT COULD BE DONE, I THINK MANY OF  
16 OUR CITIES WOULD BENEFIT FROM SOMETHING LIKE THAT AND THERE  
17 WOULD BE NOTHING NICER THAN HAVING SOME CONSISTENT PROCESS AND  
18 POLICY THAT WOULD NOT BE WITHIN-- I THINK IT'S SOMETHING THE  
19 CITY OF L.A. MIGHT LOOK AT, AS WELL, I DON'T KNOW EXACTLY HOW  
20 THEY'RE HANDLING THIS PART OF IT BUT CERTAINLY A LOT OF THE  
21 CITIES IN THE SAN GABRIEL VALLEY WHERE AFFORDABLE HOUSING IS  
22 NOT AS AVAILABLE AS IT USED TO BE. IT WOULD BE A GREAT  
23 OPPORTUNITY. AND SO I DON'T KNOW WHAT THAT PROCESS IS AS TO  
24 HOW YOU, YOU KNOW, MIX WITH OTHER FOLKS IN OTHER CITIES, BUT  
25 IT WOULD BE GREAT IF YOU COULD. I'D APPRECIATE IT. THANK YOU.





**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **SUP. KNABE:** I JUST WANT TO...

3

4 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** SUPERVISOR KNABE.

5

6 **SUP. KNABE:** ...ON THE MENU OF INCENTIVES, IS THE SCOPE OF  
7 APPEALABILITY AS NARROW?

8

9 **JULIE MOORE:** IT'S VERY NARROW. IT'S VERY NARROW. IF YOU SELECT  
10 FROM THE MENU OF INCENTIVES, ONLY THE APPLICANT HAS THE RIGHT  
11 OF APPEAL.

12

13 **SUP. KNABE:** THE NEIGHBORS DO NOT HAVE ANY RIGHT?

14

15 **JULIE MOORE:** THAT'S CORRECT. IT'S ESSENTIALLY A MINISTERIAL  
16 PROCESS, SIMILAR TO JUST A SITE PLANNER REVIEW SO THE DECISION  
17 IS BETWEEN THE PLANNING STAFF, DIRECTOR OF PLANNING AND THE  
18 APPLICANT.

19

20 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** IS THAT BECAUSE OF STATE LAW OR IS THAT OUR  
21 CHOICE?

22

23 **JULIE MOORE:** STATE LAW REQUIRES A NONDISCRETIONARY REVIEW.  
24 WHERE IT'S NONDISCRETIONARY, IT'S MINISTERIAL, IT'S  
25 ADMINISTRATIVE.



The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1

2 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** DOES THAT APPLY TO THE OFF MENU ALSO?

3

4 **JULIE MOORE:** THAT IS CORRECT.

5

6 **SUP. KNABE:** BUT YOUR MOTION CHANGES THAT?

7

8 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** THAT'S WHAT I WAS ABOUT TO ASK. HOW DOES OUR  
9 MOTION-- IT'S NONDISCRETIONARY BUT, BY DEFINITION, IF YOU  
10 APPEAL IT TO A COMMISSION, THE COMMISSION CAN MODIFY IT SO IT  
11 BECOMES A DISCRETIONARY.

12

13 **JULIE MOORE:** WELL, NO, THE COMMISSION DOESN'T MODIFY THE  
14 PROCESS SO THAT IT'S DISCRETIONARY. THE APPEAL IS A REVIEW OF  
15 THE DECISION OF BASICALLY THE PLANNING STAFF OR THE DIRECTOR.  
16 IT DOESN'T INFUSE THE PROCESS WITH DISCRETION THAT DIDN'T  
17 EXIST BEFORE.

18

19 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** WELL, THEN, WHAT DOES THE APPEAL DO? WHAT  
20 DOES THE COMMISSION DO WHEN THIS COMES TO THEM ON APPEAL? WHAT  
21 IS A NEIGHBOR'S RIGHT TO APPEAL? UNDER WHAT CIRCUMSTANCES?

22

23 **JULIE MOORE:** IT'S VERY LIMITED. IT'S VERY LIMITED. THEY WOULD  
24 HAVE TO SHOW, BY SUBSTANTIAL EVIDENCE, THAT THE PLANNING STAFF  
25 ERRED IN APPROVING THE PROJECT. THEY WOULD HAVE TO SHOW THAT



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 THE PROJECT WOULD HAVE A SIGNIFICANT ADVERSE EFFECT ON HEALTH,  
2 SAFETY, THE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT, HISTORIC RESOURCES.

3

4 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** AND THEN THE PLANNING COMMISSION WOULD HEAR  
5 THE TESTIMONY ON BOTH SIDES, FROM THE STAFF AND FROM THE  
6 APPELLANT? AND THEN...

7

8 **JULIE MOORE:** RIGHT, THERE COULD BE SOME FACTS THAT THE  
9 PLANNING DEPARTMENT OVERLOOKED OR DIDN'T HAVE. IT'S A VERY  
10 EXTRAORDINARY TYPE OF REVIEW THAT THE PLANNING STAFF IS BEING  
11 ASKED TO DO.

12

13 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** IT SOUNDS LIKE A DISCRETIONARY PROCESS TO  
14 ME. IT'S IN THE DISCRETION OF A PLANNING COMMISSIONER TO  
15 DECIDE WHETHER YOU ERRED OR NOT. AS YOU ALL KNOW, ERRORS ARE  
16 SOMETIMES IN THE EYES OF THE BEHOLDER. IT COULD BE A JUDGMENT  
17 CALL. YOU WANT TO SHED ANY LIGHT THIS?

18

19 **RICHARD WEISS:** WELL, I THINK YOU'RE CORRECT, SUPERVISOR.  
20 HOWEVER, THIS IS THE CONTEXT IN WHICH THE GOVERNMENT CODE  
21 SECTION IS WRITTEN. ON THE ONE HAND, IT SAYS THAT, IF YOU  
22 PROPOSE THE PERCENTAGE OF AFFORDABLE OR SENIOR HOUSING, THE  
23 GOVERNMENT CANNOT REQUIRE A DISCRETIONARY CONSIDERATION. ON  
24 THE OTHER HAND, THE SAME SECTION DOES PROVIDE THAT THERE ARE  
25 THREE-- TWO OR THREE LIMITED CRITERIA IN WHICH A SPECIFIC



The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1 INCENTIVE OR CONCESSION CAN BE DENIED. SO ON THE ONE HAND, IT  
2 SAYS IT'S NOT...

3

4 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** WHAT ARE THOSE CRITERIA?

5

6 **RICHARD WEISS:** SPECIFICALLY, THAT THE INCENTIVE OR CONCESSION  
7 IS NOT NECESSARY TO MAKE THE AFFORDABLE PROJECT FEASIBLE.  
8 THAT'S PRIMARILY FROM AN ECONOMIC STANDPOINT AND, AS JULIE  
9 JUST INDICATED, THE OTHER CRITERIA IS WHETHER OR NOT THE  
10 PROJECT WILL HAVE A SPECIFIC ADVERSE IMPACT ON HEALTH AND  
11 SAFETY OR THE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT OR HISTORIC RESOURCES.

12

13 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** ALL RIGHT, SO ESPECIALLY ON THE FIRST ONE,  
14 IT SOUNDS LIKE YOU GOT A LOT OF DISCRETION. IN ANY CASE, LET  
15 ME ASK YOU THE FLIP SIDE. A DEVELOPER DOES HAVE THE RIGHT TO  
16 APPEAL UNDER YOUR DRAFT BEFORE THIS AMENDMENT GOES THROUGH IF  
17 IT GOES THROUGH. THE DEVELOPER HAS A RIGHT TO APPEAL ON AN OFF  
18 MENU. DOES HE ALSO HAVE A RIGHT TO APPEAL ON AN ON MENU ITEM?

19

20 **JULIE MOORE:** THAT'S CORRECT.

21

22 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** SO WHAT ARE THE GROUNDS IN WHICH A DEVELOPER  
23 CAN APPEAL?

24



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1   **JULIE MOORE:** THEY WOULD LIKELY BE APPEALING A DENIAL, SO I  
2   GUESS THEY WOULD BE DISPUTING THE DECISION OF THE DIRECTOR,  
3   BASED ON THE FACT THAT THEIR PROJECT-- THEY FEEL THE PROJECT  
4   DOESN'T HAVE A SIGNIFICANT ADVERSE EFFECT ON THE HEALTH,  
5   SAFETY, PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT OR HISTORIC RESOURCES OR IT COULD  
6   BE AN ISSUE OF THE PLANNING STAFF MAY HAVE DENIED THE  
7   APPLICATION, BASED ON THE INCENTIVE BEING REQUESTED DID NOT  
8   CONTRIBUTE TO MAINTAINING THE AFFORDABILITY OF THE PROJECT,  
9   AND WE WOULD BE ABLE TO SEE THAT BY REVIEWING THEIR  
10   DEVELOPMENT PERFORMA, WHICH IS ONE OF THE THINGS THAT WE  
11   REQUIRE IN THE APPLICATION MATERIALS.

12

13   **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** ALL RIGHT. I THINK-- IT SOUNDS TO ME, YOU  
14   DON'T WANT TO CALL IT DISCRETION BUT THERE'S CERTAINLY, BY  
15   WEBSTER'S DEFINITION, THERE'S A CERTAIN AMOUNT OF JUDGMENT  
16   THAT'S GOING TO HAVE TO BE EXERCISED BY THE COMMISSION ON  
17   THIS.

18

19   **SUP. KNABE:** I JUST-- I MEAN, BUT THERE'S NO WAY...

20

21   **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** SUPERVISOR BURKE AND THEN SUPERVISOR  
22   KNABE.

23

24   **SUP. BURKE:** I JUST WANT TO HAVE AN UNDERSTANDING ON AN  
25   EXAMPLE. IF YOU HAVE A MARKET RATE PROJECT WITH 20%



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 AFFORDABILITY AND THE NEIGHBORS SAY THAT THE ADDITIONAL  
2 DENSITY BONUS THAT HAS BEEN PROVIDED WILL CAUSE A TRAFFIC  
3 HAZARD, IS THAT HEALTH AND SAFETY? IS THAT AN ISSUE THAT CAN  
4 BE RAISED BY THE NEIGHBORS?

5

6 **JULIE MOORE:** THAT'S AN ISSUE THAT CAN BE RAISED BY THE  
7 NEIGHBORS, DEFINITELY.

8

9 **RICHARD WEISS:** I'M NOT SURE I FULLY AGREE. WITH RESPECT TO THE  
10 ACTUAL PERCENTAGE OF DENSITY BONUS, AS OPPOSED TO CONCESSIONS  
11 OR INCENTIVES, IT IS MY UNDERSTANDING THAT THAT IS MANDATORY  
12 AND THAT MUST BE PROVIDED AND IS NOT SUBJECT TO THE APPEAL  
13 RIGHTS.

14

15 **SUP. KNABE:** THAT'S A SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE. I MEAN, THAT'S MY  
16 QUESTION, IS THE APPEALABILITY OF THE NEIGHBORS. IS THERE  
17 ANYTHING THAT THEY CAN APPEAL?

18

19 **RON HOFFMAN:** MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, I'M RON HOFFMAN FROM THE  
20 DEPARTMENT OF REGIONAL PLANNING. AS MR. WEISS EXPLAINED, THE  
21 BONUS ITSELF IS NOT SUBJECT TO DISCRETION OR ANY KIND OF  
22 APPEAL. THAT IS A MANDATE OF THE STATE LAW. HOWEVER, IF A  
23 BONUS IS REQUESTED AS PART OF AN INCENTIVE, THAT THAT COULD--  
24 THAT ASPECT OF IT COULD BE APPEALED AND ONLY THOSE GROUNDS, AS  
25 WERE DISCUSSED THAT ARE SPECIFICALLY STATED IN STATE LAW, AND,





The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1 GRANTED, THEY DO REQUIRE SOME JUDGMENT BUT IT IS PROCESSED IN  
2 A MINISTERIAL, A NONDISCRETIONARY FORMAT, THE WAY THE  
3 ORDINANCE IS WRITTEN AND PROPOSED IS THAT, WHEN YOU'RE ON  
4 MENU, WHICH HAS A SPECIFIC LIST OF INCENTIVES, THOSE PERMITS  
5 CAN ONLY BE APPEALED BY THE APPLICANT. THAT IS NOT A  
6 REQUIREMENT BY STATE LAW. THAT IS A POLICY DECISION THAT THE  
7 PLANNING COMMISSION CAME TO IN A WAY TO PROMOTE THE USE OF THE  
8 ON MENU PROCESS WHICH PROVIDES THAT CERTAINTY FOR THE  
9 DEVELOPERS. THE OFF MENU, WHERE ANY INCENTIVE OR CONCESSION  
10 COULD BE ASKED FOR, THAT IS THE ISSUE THAT SUPERVISOR  
11 YAROSLAVSKY HAS SUGGESTED AN AMENDMENT TO, TO BROADEN THE  
12 APPEAL RIGHT TO THE NEIGHBORS SO THAT, WHEN THE INCENTIVE OR  
13 CONCESSION IS NOT SPECIFICALLY LAID OUT BY ORDINANCE AND COULD  
14 BE ALMOST ANYTHING, THAT THOSE SORTS OF INCENTIVES FOR THAT  
15 ASPECT OF A HOUSING PERMIT COULD BE APPEALED BY THE NEIGHBORS.

16

17 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** COULD YOU GIVE AN EXAMPLE OF WHAT AN OFF  
18 MENU WOULD BE?

19

20 **RON HOFFMAN:** AN OFF MENU KIND OF INCENTIVE COULD BE SOMETHING  
21 THAT LET'S SAY EXCEEDS THE PARKING INCENTIVE THAT'S CONTAINED  
22 ON MENU. THERE'S A LIMITED PARKING REDUCTION THAT IS ON MENU.

23

24 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** SO A DEVELOPER COMES IN AND WANTS EVEN FEWER  
25 PARKING SPACES THAN HE WOULD BE ENTITLED TO UNDER AN ON MENU



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 BECAUSE IT MAKES HIS PROJECT WORK BETTER, IN HIS OPINION OR  
2 ECONOMICAL OR WHATEVER, AND HE COMES IN AND ASKS FOR MORE THAN  
3 HE'S OTHERWISE ENTITLED TO?

4

5 **RON HOFFMAN:** THAT'S CORRECT.

6

7 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** SO, LIKE YOU SAY, THAT COULD BE ALMOST  
8 ANYTHING.

9

10 **RON HOFFMAN:** THAT COULD BE ALMOST ANYTHING.

11

12 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** COULD HE ASK FOR A 12-STORY BUILDING WHERE  
13 3-STORY HEIGHT LIMIT IS IN PLACE?

14

15 **RON HOFFMAN:** CERTAINLY. COULD ASK FOR AN UNLIMITED HEIGHT.

16

17 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** AND THEN WHAT'S YOUR OBLIGATION?

18

19 **RON HOFFMAN:** OUR OBLIGATION, IN THAT REGARD, WOULD BE TO  
20 EVALUATE, AS PART OF OUR ADMINISTRATIVE, MINISTERIAL PROCESS,  
21 EVALUATE THE IMPACTS OF, LET'S SAY, REQUIRING NO PARKING OR  
22 HAVING A 12-STORY BUILDING. WOULD THOSE KINDS OF INCENTIVES  
23 RAISE SIGNIFICANT IMPACTS ON PUBLIC HEALTH OR SAFETY IN TERMS  
24 OF TRAFFIC CONGESTION, LIGHT AND AIR, SEWAGE DISPOSAL, FIRE  
25 SAFETY, THOSE SORTS OF THINGS?



The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1

**SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** IS BUSTING A HEIGHT LIMIT THAT IS ON THE BOOKS THE KIND OF THING THAT YOU PUT IN THE INCENTIVE CATEGORY? I'M NOT SURE I UNDERSTAND. IS IT IN THE INCENTIVE CATEGORY? IS IT IN A DISCRETIONARY CATEGORY? I MEAN, CERTAINLY THE STATE LEGISLATURE, IN ITS INFINITE WISDOM, DID NOT INTEND TO GO ALL OVER THE STATE AND SAY THAT ALL OF THE HUNDRED YEARS OF ZONING AND LAND USE PLANNING THAT HAVE BEEN DONE IN EVERY COMMUNITY AROUND THE STATE MEANS NOTHING IF YOU CALL IT-- IF YOU COME IN AND CALL IT A HOUSING DENSITY BONUS FOR HOUSING PRODUCTION. BUT THAT'S THE WAY IT CAME OUT. THAT'S WHY I THINK WE WERE ALL ASLEEP AT THE SWITCH.

13

**RON HOFFMAN:** AND I THINK THAT'S WHY WE CAME UP WITH THE LIST OF ON MENU INCENTIVES SO THEY WOULDN'T BE ALL OVER THE BOARD. IT WAS SOMETHING NOT ONLY THE PLANNING COMMISSION THOUGHT WAS APPROPRIATE BUT ALSO THE FOLKS THAT YOU'VE JUST HEARD FROM, THE HOUSING DEVELOPERS WANTED UNCERTAINTY. THE ON MENU INCENTIVES THAT WE'VE PROPOSED ARE FAIRLY MODEST. THEY DON'T GO ALL OVER THE BOARD. THEY REPRESENT SIGNIFICANT YET LIVABLE-- REASONABLE KINDS OF MODIFICATIONS THAT, IF APPLIED TO A HOUSING PROJECT, SHOULD NOT RAISE THOSE SIGNIFICANT EFFECTS THAT ARE...

24



The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1   **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** BUT WHY-- UNDER WHAT CIRCUMSTANCES-- WHAT IS  
2   THE RATIONALE THAT, IF SOMEBODY DECIDES TO COME BUILD A  
3   HOUSING PROJECT NEXT TO MY HOUSE IN THE UNINCORPORATED AREA OF  
4   L.A. COUNTY, AND, IN ORDER TO MAKE IT WORK, WANTS IT TO BE 11  
5   STORIES NEXT TO MY SINGLE FAMILY HOUSE, ALL ZONING IS  
6   MEANINGLESS IN THIS THING. YOU REALIZE THAT. THAT THE R-1  
7   ZONING, WHATEVER YOU WANT TO CALL IT, SINGLE-FAMILY ZONING  
8   MEANS NOTHING. IF I COME IN AND SAY I WANT TO PRODUCE THAT,  
9   THE HEIGHT LIMITS MEANS NOTHING, DENSITY MEANS NOTHING, I GET  
10   CERTAIN BONUSES AND THEN INCENTIVES. SO I COME IN AND I WANT  
11   TO BUILD AN 11-STORY BUILDING, SOMEBODY WANTS TO BUILD AN 11-  
12   STORY BUILDING NEXT TO MY HOUSE, IT'S OFF MENU, WHAT ARE MY  
13   GROUNDS TO APPEAL? BY THE WAY, I'M JUST CURIOUS WHY YOU GUYS  
14   DIDN'T OFFER THAT OPPORTUNITY? I HAVE ANOTHER QUESTION ABOUT  
15   THE ON MENU, TOO, BUT WHY YOU DIDN'T OFFER AN OPPORTUNITY FOR  
16   ANYBODY BUT THE DEVELOPER TO APPEAL ON THAT SORT OF THING.

17

18   **RON HOFFMAN:** I THINK-- A COUPLE OF THINGS. CERTAINLY, THE  
19   STATE HAS STAIN AWAY FROM SOME OF OUR AUTHORITY...

20

21   **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** ALL OF YOUR AUTHORITY. ALL OF THE WORK  
22   THAT'S BEEN-- I COULD I CAN TELL YOU BECAUSE I'VE WATCHED THIS  
23   IN THE CITY OF L.A. SIDE BECAUSE I HAPPEN TO LIVE IN THE CITY  
24   AND I HAVE MORE THAN A PASSING INTEREST. I HAVE 20 YEARS  
25   MYSELF OF LEGISLATION, AS MS. MOLINA SERVED ON THE CITY



The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1 COUNCIL, TOO, AND WE-- SO I JUST WATCHED SOME OF THE HARD  
2 FOUGHT BATTLES THAT WE WENT THROUGH TO PROTECT COMMUNITIES  
3 FROM INDISCRIMINATE DEVELOPMENT. NOW, WITH THE STROKE OF THE  
4 PEN, IT MEANS NOTHING. AND-- OKAY. LET'S GET OFF OF THAT.  
5 LET'S GET BACK TO THIS. WHAT-- HOW FAR-- NO MATTER HOW FAR A  
6 DEVELOPER GOES IN PROPOSING A HOUSING PROJECT, THE INTERESTED  
7 PARTY, IF IT'S A NEIGHBOR OR A HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION OR  
8 WHOEVER IT IS, IS LIMITED, IN THE SAME VERY NARROW SENSE, WANT  
9 TO BUILD A SKYSCRAPER NEXT TO A ONE-STORY HOUSE? IT'S THE SAME  
10 AS THOUGH YOU WANTED TO REDUCE THE NUMBER OF PARKING SPACES BY  
11 ONE SPACE, THE SAME LIMITED NARROW DISCRETION, IF YOU WILL, OR  
12 LACK THEREOF?

13

14 **RON HOFFMAN:** THE GROUNDS FOR THE COUNTY TO DENY A DENSITY  
15 BONUS HOUSING PERMIT ARE SPECIFICALLY SET IN STATE LAW. THE  
16 ABILITY OF THE NEIGHBORS TO APPEAL, AS SUGGESTED IN YOUR  
17 MOTION, WOULD APPLY TO THE OFF MENU ITEMS, WHICH WOULD BE--  
18 COULD BE ANYTHING. SO THEREFORE THAT MAKES-- THAT'S A GOOD  
19 SUGGESTION TO ALLOW MORE FOLKS TO APPEAL. THE ON MENU  
20 MODIFICATIONS ON THE INCENTIVES ARE MUCH MORE MODEST AND WOULD  
21 NOT RESULT IN THAT 11-STORY BUILDING THAT YOU'RE...

22

23 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** LET ME ASK YOU ONE LAST QUESTION. WHY DO YOU  
24 OFFER THE DEVELOPER THE OPPORTUNITY TO APPEAL AT ALL ON THE ON  
25 MENU? IF THE ISSUE IS CERTAINTY AND CLARITY, WHY DON'T THEY



The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1 JUST LIVE WITH YOUR DECISION? AND IF YOU DO OFFER THEM AN  
2 OPPORTUNITY TO APPEAL IT, WHY NOT ON THE ON MENU, WHY DON'T  
3 YOU OFFER AN OPPORTUNITY FOR INTERESTED PARTIES TO APPEAL? WHY  
4 WOULD YOU-- I MEAN, HERE'S A LIST OF ON MENU ITEMS. IT'S VERY  
5 SPECIFIC. I DON'T THINK THERE'S GOING TO BE A LOT OF DISPUTE  
6 BUT, IF THE ONLY REASON THAT A DEVELOPER WOULD APPEAL IT IS TO  
7 GET MORE UNITS OR TO GET LESS COST, REDUCE HIS COST, TRY TO  
8 GET-- I GUESS THEY APPEAL IT TO THE COMMISSION, CORRECT?

9

10 **RON HOFFMAN:** WELL, I THINK WHAT WE'RE-- I THINK AS MISS MOORE  
11 POINTED OUT, WHERE A DEVELOPER WOULD APPEAL, AN APPLICANT  
12 WOULD APPEAL AN ON MENU ITEM IS IF WE, THE DIRECTOR, DENIED IT  
13 AND MAYBE WE DENIED IT ON GROUNDS THAT WE FELT MET THE STATE  
14 LAW AND THAT ARE WRITTEN INTO THE ORDINANCE BUT MAYBE WE  
15 OVERLOOKED SOMETHING AND THE DEVELOPER WANTS...

16

17 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** OKAY. FAIR ENOUGH. SO WHY NOT OFFER AN  
18 INTERESTED PARTY THE SAME OPPORTUNITY TO APPEAL ON AN ON MENU  
19 ITEM IN CASE YOU OVERLOOKED THE LAW YOURSELF AND GAVE SOMEBODY  
20 SOMETHING THAT THEY WEREN'T ENTITLED TO AND THEY CATCH IT,  
21 WHAT'S THEIR ALTERNATIVE? WHAT'S SOCIETY'S ALTERNATIVE WHEN  
22 YOU DO SOMETHING THAT THE LAW DID NOT REQUIRE AND DID NOT  
23 PROVIDE FOR ON AN ON MENU ITEM?

24

25 **RON HOFFMAN:** I THINK THEN THE OPTION WOULD BE...





The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1

2 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** THEY'RE SCREWED, AREN'T THEY?

3

4 **RON HOFFMAN:** THEY WOULD HAVE TO FILE SOME KIND OF A LAWSUIT.

5

6 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** SO YOU WANT TO ASK A RESIDENT TO FILE A  
7 LAWSUIT BUT THE DEVELOPER GETS TO APPEAL IT AND, IN FACT, NOT  
8 ONLY DOES HE GET TO APPEAL IT BUT ANY SUPERVISOR OR  
9 COMMISSIONER CAN CALL IT UP, SAVING HIM THE FILING FEE. I JUST  
10 FIND SOMETHING-- I DON'T THINK THAT'S BALANCED, THE MORE I--  
11 ON THIS ONE ISSUE. IT'S NOT FUNDAMENTAL TO THE WHOLE ORDINANCE  
12 BUT I JUST DON'T THINK IT'S-- IF IT'S GOOD FOR THE GOOSE, IT'S  
13 GOT TO BE GOOD FOR THE GANDER. IF IT'S NOT ONE THEN IT  
14 SHOULDN'T BE THE OTHER. I'D LIKE TO HEAR WHY, ON THE ON MENU  
15 ITEMS, WE'VE TAKEN CARE OF THE AMENDMENT ON THE OFF MENU, WHY,  
16 ON THE ON MENU ITEMS, WOULD YOU NOT WANT TO EITHER-- WHY DON'T  
17 YOU SAY TO THE DEVELOPER, YOU'VE EITHER GOT TO LIVE WITH OUR  
18 DECISION OR FILE A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE COUNTY?

19

20 **RON HOFFMAN:** WELL, I THINK THERE ARE TWO POINTS. I THINK THE  
21 ON MENU ITEMS ARE THINGS THAT WOULD NOT NECESSARILY CAUSE A  
22 LARGE PROBLEM WITH THE NEIGHBORS BECAUSE, CHANCES ARE, THESE  
23 SORTS OF ON MENU DISCRETIONARY-- MINISTERIAL ACTIONS WOULD BE  
24 IN AN R-3 ZONE. IT WOULDN'T HAPPEN IN YOUR R-1...

25



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1   **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** YOU KNOW BETTER THAN TO MAKE THAT KIND OF A  
2   STATEMENT. YOU'VE BEEN AROUND A LONG TIME. IT TAKES--  
3   NEIGHBORS GET UPSET ABOUT VERY SMALL THINGS AND IT'S VERY  
4   IMPORTANT TO THEM.

5

6   **RON HOFFMAN:** LET ME REPHRASE THAT. A REASONABLE NEIGHBOR WOULD  
7   NOT... [ LAUGHTER ]

8

9   **RON HOFFMAN:** ...WOULD NOT BE UPSET BY SOME OF THOSE INCENTIVES  
10   THAT WE'RE PROPOSED ON MENU. CERTAINLY, UNREASONABLE PEOPLE  
11   WILL BE UPSET ABOUT ANYTHING, WHICH IS WHY THE STATE I THINK  
12   HAS LIMITED GROUNDS FOR US, THE COUNTY, DENYING A DENSITY  
13   BONUS HOUSING PERMIT. I THINK THE REASON THAT WE WOULD WANT TO  
14   ALLOW A BROADER APPEAL ON THE OFF MENU WOULD BE THE LIKELIHOOD  
15   THAT THEY COULD BE ANY-- ANY OTHER SORTS OF THINGS THAT COULD  
16   HAVE SIGNIFICANT IMPACTS ON THE NEIGHBORHOOD.

17

18   **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** I AGREE WITH THAT AND I DON'T WANT BEAT A  
19   DEAD HORSE HERE-- IT'S NOT A DEAD HORSE BUT I DON'T WANT TO  
20   BEAT THIS TO DEATH. I DISAGREE WITH YOU, WITH ALL DUE RESPECT.  
21   ONE PERSON'S UNREASONABLENESS IS ANOTHER PERSON'S LIFE SAVINGS  
22   AND THERE ARE THINGS IN HERE, AND YOU JUST HAVE-- IT VARIES  
23   FROM COMMUNITY TO COMMUNITY AND YOU HAVE TO LOOK AT IT. I  
24   MEAN, IN CITY TERRACE, FOR EXAMPLE, IN MS. MOLINA'S DISTRICT,  
25   WHERE THE LOT SIZES ARE NOT UNIFORM AND THEY'RE SMALLER,



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 WHEREAS, IN THE NORTH COUNTY OR FAR WEST COUNTY, THEY'RE, YOU  
2 KNOW, ACRES AND ACRES, MAKES A BIG DIFFERENCE WHETHER YOU ADD  
3 A STORY OR YOU DON'T ADD A STORY. I MEAN, YOU ADD ONE STORY IN  
4 AN AREA WHERE YOU HAVE PREDOMINANTLY ONE STORY BUILDINGS,  
5 YOU'RE ALREADY ENTITLED TO TWO OR THREE AND THEN YOU'RE GOING  
6 TO ADD A FOURTH, IT MAY MAKE A BIG DIFFERENCE. IF YOU REDUCE A  
7 SETBACK IN A COMMUNITY THAT HAS POSTAL-SIZED LOTS BY 20%, IF  
8 YOU REDUCE THE SIDE YARD OR A FRONT YARD, IT MAKES A BIG  
9 DIFFERENCE. I MEAN, THERE ARE COMMUNITIES THAT HAVE BUSTED  
10 THEIR BEHINDS FOR THEIR ENTIRE EXISTENCES TO HAVE UNIFORM  
11 SETBACKS IN COMMUNITIES AND IT MAKES ALL THE DIFFERENCE SO  
12 IT'S NOT A HODGEPODGE OF ONE IS PROTRUDING AND ONE ISN'T,  
13 ONE'S PROTRUDING, ONE ISN'T, SOME HAVE CEMENT INSTEAD OF GRASS  
14 AND SOME HAVE GRASS INSTEAD OF CEMENT ON THEIR FRONT LAWNS.  
15 AND, YOU KNOW, THERE ARE COMMUNITIES THAT HAVE THAT AND THERE  
16 ARE COMMUNITIES THAT-- THE COMMUNITIES THAT HAVE TAKEN AND  
17 ESTABLISHED STANDARDS HAVE A BETTER QUALITY OF LIFE. AND  
18 PEOPLE HAVE-- THE VALUE OF THE COMMUNITY AS A WHOLE, NOT TO  
19 MENTION INDIVIDUAL PROPERTY VALUES, ARE PROTECTED AS A RESULT.  
20 SO YOU CAN DO-- WHEN I LOOK AT SOME OF THESE-- UP TO A 20%  
21 MODIFICATION FROM A SIDE YARD SETBACK REQUIREMENT, I MEAN, IN  
22 SOME COMMUNITIES-- WHERE I LIVE, THERE'S A 10-FOOT SIDE YARD  
23 REQUIREMENT AND I LIVE IN A PRETTY, YOU KNOW, STANDARD SINGLE-  
24 FAMILY NEIGHBORHOOD. BUT IF YOU GO TO COMMUNITIES LIKE WHERE I  
25 GREW UP, SOME OF THEM HAVE FIVE-FOOT SIDE YARDS, IF THEY HAVE



The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1 SIDE YARDS AT ALL, AND SOME OF THE SIDE YARDS HAVE NEVER BEEN  
2 RESPECTED ANYWAY BECAUSE PEOPLE BOOTLEG THINGS SO ALL I'M  
3 SAYING IS THAT IT'S NOT UNREASONABLE FOR SOMEBODY WHO LIVES ON  
4 A PIECE OF PROPERTY TO SAY, WAIT A MINUTE, HE'S ENTITLED TO  
5 THREE STORIES, I'VE GOT A ONE-STORY, HE CAN'T MAKE THREE  
6 STORIES WORK ECONOMICALLY BUT IF HE GETS A FOURTH STORY, HE  
7 CAN MAKE IT WORK. SO ALL OF A SUDDEN YOU'VE GONE FROM A SERIES  
8 OF ONE STORY BUILDINGS ON A BLOCK AND NOW ALL OF A SUDDEN  
9 YOU'VE GOT A FOUR-STORY BUILDING, FOUR TIMES HIGHER. AND  
10 SOMEBODY MAY DECIDE THAT'S RIDICULOUS AND THEY WANT TO HAVE A  
11 HEARING ON IT, JUST LIKE A DEVELOPER MAY WANT TO HAVE A  
12 HEARING IF YOU DENY IT. SO WOULD THERE BE ANY GREAT HARM IF,  
13 ON THE ON MENU ITEMS, YOU GAVE THE NEIGHBORS OR ANY INTERESTED  
14 PARTY THE RIGHT TO APPEAL AS WELL ON THE SAME BASIS AS MY  
15 AMENDMENT ON THE OFF MENU ITEMS? WOULD IT DO ANY GREAT DAMAGE  
16 TO THIS ORDINANCE?

17

18 **JULIE MOORE:** MAY I TRY AND ADDRESS THAT? I THINK IT DEPENDS,  
19 REALLY, ON YOUR COMFORT LEVEL WITH THE MENU, WITH THE PROCESS  
20 ASSOCIATED WITH THE MENU. WHEN WE WENT TO THE PLANNING  
21 COMMISSION INITIALLY WITH THE DRAFT ORDINANCE, THE DRAFT  
22 ORDINANCE LOOKED DIFFERENT, IT DIDN'T CONTAIN A MENU. THE MENU  
23 CAME OUT OF TESTIMONY WE RECEIVED FROM THE AFFORDABLE HOUSING  
24 DEVELOPERS WHO WANTED CERTAINTY AND A CERTAIN PROCESS. SO,  
25 WHEN THE PLANNING STAFF DEVELOPED THE MENU, AGAIN, WE



The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1 PATTERNED IT AFTER THE MENU CONCEPT DEVELOPED BY THE CITY OF  
2 L.A., THE PROCESS THAT WE SELECTED TO GO ALONG WITH THE MENU,  
3 VERY SIMILAR TO A SITE PLAN REVIEW, WHICH THE APPEAL PROCESS  
4 THAT WE SELECTED IS THE SAME PROCESS AS FOR A SITE PLAN  
5 REVIEW. SO REALLY THIS COMES DOWN TO ARE YOU COMFORTABLE WITH  
6 THAT PROCESS ASSOCIATED WITH THE MENU?

7

8 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN A SITE PLAN REVIEW  
9 AND THIS IS THAT A SITE PLAN REVIEW, EVERYBODY KNOWS THAT THE  
10 PROPERTY OWNER IS GOING TO HAVE TO COMPLY WITH THE UNDERLYING  
11 ZONING. SO IF YOU'RE IN THE SANTA MONICA MOUNTAINS OR IF  
12 YOU'RE IN CITY TERRACE AND YOU GET A SITE PLAN REVIEW ON A  
13 SINGLE-FAMILY HOUSE, YOU KNOW THAT IT'S GOING TO HAVE TO BE--  
14 YOU'RE NOT GOING TO GET ANY ONE-STORY BONUS ON TOP OF THAT.  
15 YOU'RE NOT GOING TO GET A SIDE YARD REDUCTION BONUS ON TOP OF  
16 THAT. EVERYBODY KNOWS-- SO IT REALLY IS MINISTERIAL. BUT, ON  
17 THIS, YOU HAVE THE POTENTIAL OF SIGNIFICANTLY ALTERING WHAT  
18 THE NEIGHBORHOOD LOOKS LIKE. AND IT CAN BE DONE RIGHT BUT IT  
19 CAN'T BE DONE, I ASSURE YOU, IT CAN'T BE DONE A HUNDRED  
20 PERCENT OF THE TIME BY SOME MENU OR A CHECK OFF LIST BECAUSE  
21 IT ALL DEPENDS ON THE COMMUNITY. AND THIS IS NOT A SCIENCE.  
22 IT'S VERY MUCH A JUDGMENT CALL. AND EVERY COMMUNITY, AND WE  
23 HAVE MANY OF THEM, HUNDREDS OF THEM, EVERY ONE IS DIFFERENT  
24 AND THEY SEE THEMSELVES DIFFERENTLY. AND SO I WOULD BE A LOT  
25 MORE COMFORTABLE, IF YOU WANTED TO GO-- I'M GENERALLY NOT



The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1 OBJECTING TO THE MENU, ALTHOUGH I CAN SEE WHERE SOME OF MY  
2 COLLEAGUES MIGHT FOCUS ON THIS A LOT MORE, I DON'T HAVE A LOT  
3 OF URBANIZED UNINCORPORATED AREA, MY COLLEAGUES DO. BUT I  
4 WOULD FEEL A LOT MORE COMFORTABLE IF YOU, ON THE ON MENU  
5 STUFF, IS EITHER DON'T GIVE THE DEVELOPER THE APPEAL AND DON'T  
6 GIVE THE INTERESTED PARTY THE APPEAL OR GIVE THEM BOTH THE  
7 APPEAL AND IF YOU'RE GOING TO TELL A NEIGHBOR, WHO DOESN'T  
8 HAVE THE RESOURCES TO GO FILE A LAWSUIT, THAT HE'S GOT TO FILE  
9 A LAWSUIT IN SUPERIOR COURT TO CHALLENGE YOUR JUDGMENT BUT THE  
10 DEVELOPER DOESN'T HAVE TO DO THAT, JUST HAS TO APPEAL IT TO  
11 US, TO THE PLANNING COMMISSION, I DON'T THINK THAT THAT'S  
12 FAIR. SO I RAISE THAT. I'M NOT MAKING A MOTION AT THE POINT, I  
13 JUST WANT TO HEAR WHAT IS MOST REASONABLE FROM YOUR POINT OF  
14 VIEW AND I KNOW DON WAS RAISING THAT ISSUE BEFORE BUT I JUST--  
15 I THINK IT'S EITHER ALL OR NOTHING.

16

17 **SUP. KNABE:** AND I WILL JUST CONCUR WITH ZEV'S COMMENTS. I  
18 MEAN, I THINK, YOU KNOW, IT'S JUST LIKE THE-- IN SOME AREAS  
19 THE MANSIONIZATION PROCESS THAT'S GOING ON. I THINK THE  
20 CONCERN THAT I HAVE, AND I DO REPRESENT A LARGE UNINCORPORATED  
21 AREA, IS THAT WE DON'T ALL OF A SUDDEN, YOU KNOW, IN A SINGLE-  
22 FAMILY URBAN AREA, ALL OF A SUDDEN HAVE A HIGH-RISEINATION  
23 GOING ON TO WHERE-- I MEAN, DOES THE-- THE EXAMPLE THAT ZEV  
24 GAVE, THIS 11-STORY BUILDING OR UNIT DOES THAT COME UNDER OFF  
25 MENU OR DOES THAT COME UNDER ON MENU?





The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1

2 **RON HOFFMAN:** THAT DEFINITELY WOULD BE ON OFF MENU.

3

4 **SUP. KNABE:** OKAY. GOING FROM THREE STORIES TO FOUR STORIES,  
5 OFF MENU OR ON MENU?

6

7 **RON HOFFMAN:** THAT WOULD BE AN ON MENU.

8

9 **SUP. KNABE:** I JUST WOULD CONCUR. IF THERE IS A WAY TO DO IT,  
10 BECAUSE I'M-- GENERALLY, I'M SUPPORTIVE OF THIS POLICY BECAUSE  
11 OF THOSE OF US-- WE'VE ALL WORKED HARD TO CREATE AFFORDABLE  
12 HOUSING IN THOSE AREAS. BUT IF THERE IS SOME WAY TO CREATE AN  
13 APPEAL PROCESS OR NO APPEAL PROCESS FOR BOTH SIDES BUT A  
14 APPEAL PROCESS FOR THE NEIGHBORS, I MEAN, I THINK THAT'S  
15 IMPORTANT.

16

17 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** SUPERVISOR BURKE.

18

19 **SUP. BURKE:** I AGREE. NOW, I UNDERSTAND, IT'S BEEN EXPLAINED TO  
20 ME WHY THERE IS THIS APPEAL FOR THE DEVELOPER. I GUESS THAT'S  
21 TO AVOID UNNECESSARY LITIGATION WHERE-- IF A MISTAKE IS MADE.  
22 I AM VERY CONCERNED BECAUSE I DO HAVE A LOT OF INFILL AND I  
23 HAVE AREAS WHERE THERE MAY BE A UTILIZATION OF THE DENSITY  
24 BONUS TO OVERCOME OR AVOID SOME OF THE ZONING RESTRICTIONS. I  
25 RECOGNIZE THAT THE STATE LAW IS SOMETHING WE HAVE TO ABIDE BY



The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1 AND THAT WE PROBABLY SHOULD PASS THIS. I KNOW YOU'VE WORKED  
2 VERY HARD AND WE SHOULD PROBABLY GO FORWARD WITH IT BUT I'D  
3 LIKE TO HAVE SOME MECHANISM OF WHERE WE COME BACK AND I THINK,  
4 WITHIN THE NEXT 60 DAYS, LOOKING AT SOME WAY THAT WE CAN BE  
5 SURE THAT THE PUBLIC AND THE NEIGHBORS ARE PROTECTED. YOU  
6 KNOW, I ASKED ABOUT THE TRAFFIC ISSUE. THE PARKING ISSUE IS  
7 ONE. I SUSPECT THAT SOME OF THE AREAS OUT FURTHER THEY'RE  
8 GOING TO HAVE WATER ISSUES AND HEALTH AND SAFETY, AND SAY,  
9 WELL, YOU KNOW, THE AMOUNT OF WATER THAT'S REQUIRED, BY GIVING  
10 YOU THIS DENSITY BONUS AND YOU ACCRUE NOT JUST ONE UNIT BUT A  
11 LARGE NUMBER OF UNITS...

12

13 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** SANITATION.

14

15 **SUP. BURKE:** ...WILL ALSO OPEN UP ISSUES AS IT RELATES TO  
16 WHETHER OR NOT THERE'S ADEQUATE WATER TO PROVIDE FOR THE  
17 DEVELOPMENT. SO I WOULD LIKE TO SEE THAT WE COME BACK IN 60  
18 DAYS TO DETERMINE WHETHER OR NOT WE NEED CLARIFICATION FROM  
19 THE STATE, AS WELL, IN ORDER TO GIVE US THE ABILITY TO MEET  
20 THE REQUIREMENTS THAT WE'RE GOING TO FACE. I KNOW I'M GOING TO  
21 FACE IT IN MY DISTRICT, THAT THERE ARE GOING TO BE PEOPLE WHO  
22 ARE ALL OF A SUDDEN UPSET AND FEEL THAT SOMEONE USED THE  
23 DENSITY BONUS TO GET AROUND A ZONING REQUIREMENT. I CAN THINK  
24 OF ONE PARTICULAR CASE RIGHT THIS MINUTE THAT I'M SURE THAT  
25 THAT WILL BE WHAT WILL BE DONE. SO I WOULD LIKE TO SEE US COME



The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1 BACK, FASHION SOME KIND OF LANGUAGE TO PROTECT NEIGHBORS IN  
2 THIS WHOLE MENU AND ALSO TO BE A LITTLE BIT MORE SPECIFIC AS  
3 TO WHAT'S IN THE MENU AND OFF MENU, BECAUSE ARE WE GOING TO GO  
4 THROUGH A LONG COURT PROCEEDING TO DEFINE WHAT'S ON AND OFF  
5 MENU? SO IF WE COULD HAVE SOMETHING MORE SPECIFIC AS WE GO  
6 FORWARD AND IF IT REQUIRES STATE LEGISLATION, I WOULD SUGGEST  
7 THAT WE DO THAT.

8

9 **SUP. MOLINA:** EXCUSE ME. IT'S FAIRLY SPECIFIC.

10

11 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** SUPERVISOR MOLINA.

12

13 **SUP. MOLINA:** IT'S VERY CLEAR.

14

15 **SUP. BURKE:** IT'S HEALTH SAFETY. I DON'T KNOW HOW CLEAR THAT IS  
16 TO YOU BUT IT OPENS UP A NUMBER OF ISSUES TO ME.

17

18 **SUP. MOLINA:** ISN'T THAT THE PURPOSE IS TO MAKE IT EXTREMELY  
19 CLEAR ON THE ON MENU?

20

21 **RON HOFFMAN:** RIGHT. SUPERVISORS...

22

23 **SUP. BURKE:** BUT HEALTH AND SAFETY IS NOT THAT CLEAR. THAT'S  
24 VERY BROAD.

25



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1    **SUP. MOLINA:** SO HOW WOULD YOU DEFINE IT, MISS BURKE?

2

3    **SUP. BURKE:** WELL, I THINK THAT YOU WOULD DEFINE OR YOU WOULD  
4    ENUMERATE CERTAIN SPECIFIC THINGS THAT ARE CONSIDERED TO BE  
5    SAFETY. YOU KNOW, YOU MIGHT SAY WATER. YOU MIGHT SAY THAT  
6    THERE IS, JUST LIKE WE DO A E.I.R., SOME OF THOSE ISSUES WE  
7    LOOK AT IN THOSE CASES, IF PEOPLE HAVE SOME UNDERSTANDING THAT  
8    THOSE THINGS BUT HEALTH AND SAFETY HAS A WIDE, WIDE DEFINITION  
9    IN TERMS OF WHAT COULD BE INCLUDED.

10

11    **RON HOFFMAN:** JUST TO TRY TO MAKE-- EXPLAIN HOW THIS MIGHT  
12    WORK, IN CONJUNCTION WITH SOMETHING YOU'RE FAMILY WITH, THE  
13    CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT PROCESS. IT ALSO INCLUDES THAT SAME  
14    WORDING, PUBLIC HEALTH AND SAFETY. I THINK THESE ARE TERMS  
15    THAT COULD BE INTERPRETED BROADLY, BUT THESE ARE TERMS THAT WE  
16    USE COMMONLY IN OUR LAWS, IN OUR CURRENT ORDINANCES TO ADDRESS  
17    THE ISSUES THAT HAVE BEEN RAISED BY A NUMBER OF THE  
18    SUPERVISORS, I THINK IF YOU WISHED, AS A POLICY MATTER, TO  
19    MAKE THE APPEAL PROCESS FOR ON AND OFF MENUS THE SAME, AS  
20    SUGGESTED BY SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, SO THAT BOTH THE  
21    APPLICANT AND THE NEIGHBORS COULD APPEAL, STAFF WOULD NOT HAVE  
22    ANY OBJECTION TO THAT SORT OF AN APPROACH.

23

24    **SUP. MOLINA:** BUT WASN'T THE INTENT HERE IS TO FACILITATE THIS  
25    PROCESS OVERALL AND NOW WE'RE GETTING AWAY FROM THAT AGAIN.



The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1

2 **RON HOFFMAN:** THAT'S WHY WE DID LIMIT THE ON MENU ITEMS TO THE  
3 APPLICANT BECAUSE WE FELT THAT THERE WERE A CERTAIN LIMITED  
4 SET OF MODIFICATIONS AND INCENTIVES THAT THEY COULD ASK FOR.

5

6 **SUP. MOLINA:** AND I'VE INTERFACED WITH A LOT OF FOLKS ON  
7 AFFORDABILITY AND I REALLY THINK THIS IS REALLY GOING TO BE  
8 HELPFUL TO US AND I'M REALLY CONCERNED. AND I KNOW, WHEN WE  
9 LOOKED AT THE DENSITY BONUS APPROVALS AND THIS IS THE FIRST  
10 DISTRICT, THE SECOND, THE THIRD, THE FOURTH AND THE FIFTH, ON  
11 DENSITY BONUSES, AND WE'VE HAD-- I MEAN, THIS IS REALLY GOING  
12 TO BE HELPFUL, WE'VE WORKED ON THIS, I'M REALLY CONCERNED THAT  
13 WE'RE DEFEATING THE PURPOSE AND WE HAVEN'T HAD ENOUGH OF THOSE  
14 INCIDENTS. I MEAN, I HAVE THE UNREASONABLE NEIGHBORS IN  
15 CERTAIN AREAS THAT, YOU KNOW, THEY'RE OPPOSED TO IT, THEY  
16 DON'T WANT ANY OF THOSE LOW INCOME PEOPLE IN THE DISTRICT AND,  
17 EVEN THOUGH, WHEN WE LOOK AT THE PRICES OF WHAT THEY'RE GOING  
18 TO PAY, IT'S NOT-- IT'S AFFORDABLE, IT'S NOT REALLY LOW  
19 INCOME. THERE'S STILL A PROBLEM. I HOPE, MS. BURKE, AND YOU'RE  
20 NOT SAYING GO BACK TO THE DRAWING BOARD AND START AGAIN?

21

22 **SUP. BURKE:** NO, I'M NOT SAYING GO BACK TO THE DRAWING BOARD  
23 BUT I DO THINK THAT THERE IS SOME NEED TO GIVE SOME KIND OF  
24 CONSIDERATION AND THIS DOESN'T HAVE...

25



The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1    **SUP. MOLINA:** BUT WE PUT THIS IN PLACE MAYBE FOR A YEAR...

2

3    **SUP. BURKE:** JUST A MOMENT. JUST A MOMENT. IT HAS NOTHING TO DO  
4    WITH...

5

6    **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** OKAY. LET MS. BURKE FINISH AND THEN  
7    SUPERVISOR MOLINA.

8

9    **SUP. BURKE:** IT HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH THOSE COMMUNITIES THAT  
10    DON'T NECESSARILY WANT AFFORDABLE HOUSING. YOU HAVE  
11    COMMUNITIES THAT WANT AFFORDABLE HOUSING, THAT WOULD LIKE TO  
12    HAVE IT. THEY DON'T WANT IT AT A PRICE OF WHERE THEY ARE  
13    PLACED IN A TREMENDOUS DISADVANTAGE AS A RESULT OF IT. AND ALL  
14    I'M SAYING IS NOT THAT YOU-- OF COURSE, YOU WANT DENSITY  
15    BONUSES, OF COURSE, YOU WANT TO PROVIDE EVERY OPPORTUNITY FOR  
16    IT BUT DO YOU WANT TO GIVE UP EVERY ZONING BENEFIT OR PUT IN  
17    JEOPARDY A NUMBER OF THEM IN ORDER TO PROVIDE IT? AND DO YOU  
18    WANT TO GIVE THE UNSCRUPULOUS DEVELOPER THE ABILITY TO UTILIZE  
19    THIS PARTICULAR DENSITY BONUS TO BYPASS A ZONING REQUIREMENT?  
20    YOU KNOW, FOUR FEET SETBACK, TO ME, IS NOT A GREAT SETBACK.  
21    YOU KNOW, I HAVE A LOT OF QUESTIONS ABOUT A FOUR FEET. MANY  
22    PLACES IN MY DISTRICT, THEY DO HAVE FOUR FEET, NOT BECAUSE THE  
23    LAW PROVIDES IT, BECAUSE THEY PUT IT THERE. SO ALL I'M SAYING  
24    IS THAT WE CONTINUE TO HAVE SOME KIND OF REVIEW AND LOOK AT  
25    THIS FROM AN EXPERIENCE STANDPOINT AS WE MOVE FORWARD. I'M





**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 PREPARED TO VOTE FOR IT. I RECOGNIZE IT'S SOMETHING WE HAVE TO  
2 DO BY STATE LAW BUT I WANT TO TELL YOU, I DO THINK THAT WE'RE  
3 OPENING UP A NUMBER OF AVENUES TO DEVELOPERS WHO WILL UTILIZE  
4 THIS TO GET BY SOME OF THE ZONING REQUIREMENTS.

5

6 **SUP. MOLINA:** MS. BURKE, I'M NOT SURE WHAT YOU'RE RECOMMENDING.  
7 ARE YOUR SUGGESTING THAT WE NOT MOVE FORWARD?

8

9 **SUP. BURKE:** I'M SUGGESTING A THAT WE VOTE FOR IT BUT THAT WE  
10 HAVE REPORT BACK IN 60 DAYS AND LOOK AT HOW WE CAN MEET SOME  
11 OF THOSE...

12

13 **SUP. MOLINA:** ALL RIGHT. I THINK THAT WOULD BE-- THERE'S NOT A  
14 PROBLEM WITH THAT.

15

16 **SUP. BURKE:** OKAY. THAT'S WHAT I SAID INITIALLY.

17

18 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** CAN I...

19

20 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY?

21

22 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** JUST-- CAN I MAKE A SUGGESTION TO SEE WHERE  
23 IT GOES? THAT, ON THE ON MENU ITEMS, THAT WE JUST HAVE NO  
24 APPEAL PERIOD? I THINK THE ON MENU IS CLEARER. REMOVE THE  
25 APPEAL FOR THE DEVELOPER. THERE WOULD BE NO APPEAL FOR THE



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 INTERESTED PARTY AND THEY BOTH HAVE THE RIGHT TO APPEAL ON THE  
2 OFF MENU ITEMS.

3

4 **RICHARD WEISS:** SUPERVISOR, IF THE CHOICE FOR NO APPEALS FOR  
5 EITHER OR APPEALS FOR BOTH, OUR RECOMMENDATION WOULD BE  
6 APPEALS FOR BOTH.

7

8 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** WHY?

9

10 **RICHARD WEISS:** THE DENSITY BONUS LAW-- THE ON MENU LIST OF  
11 INCENTIVES AND CONCESSIONS, IN MY MIND, IS INTENDED TO BE A  
12 SAFE HARBOR. IT MAY NOT BE THE SAFEST HARBOR BUT IT'S INTENDED  
13 TO BE A SAFE HARBOR LIST. THE DENSITY BONUS LAW TECHNICALLY  
14 SAYS THAT THE APPLICANT HAS THE RIGHT TO PROPOSE WHATEVER  
15 INCENTIVES AND CONCESSIONS THAT HE DEEMS ARE NECESSARY IN  
16 ORDER TO MAKE HIS PROJECT WORKABLE AND THAT THE COUNTY IS  
17 REQUIRED TO APPROVE THOSE. THE COUNTY IS REQUIRED TO APPROVE  
18 THE CONCESSIONS OR INCENTIVES THAT THE APPLICANT RECOMMENDS  
19 UNLESS THE COUNTY CAN MAKE A FINDING THAT EITHER THOSE ARE NOT  
20 NECESSARILY OR THAT THEY WILL HAVE A SPECIFIC, QUANTIFIABLE,  
21 DOCUMENTED ADVERSE IMPACT ON PUBLIC, HEALTH AND SAFETY, THE  
22 ENVIRONMENT OR HISTORICAL RESOURCES. I BELIEVE THAT AN APPEAL  
23 FOR THE DEVELOPER WOULD-- AND FURTHERMORE, IF THE DEVELOPER  
24 DISAGREE WITH THE COUNTY AND SUES AND THE CITY LOSES, THE  
25 DEVELOPER IS ENTITLED TO ATTORNEY'S FEES AS WELL AS OTHER



The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1 THINGS. SO I THINK, AS SUPERVISOR BURKE I THINK INDICATED  
2 EARLIER, THE APPEAL PROVIDES A BETTER ABILITY FOR THE COUNTY  
3 TO CREATE A GOOD RECORD WITH GOOD FINDINGS TO DOCUMENT  
4 WHATEVER DECISION IT MAKES, ASSUMING THAT IT DOESN'T GRANT  
5 EXACTLY WHAT THE APPLICANT WANTS IN THE FIRST INSTANCE.

6

7 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** ALL RIGHT. JUST-- IF THEY GO TO APPEAL TO  
8 THE COMMISSION AND LOSE THE APPEAL THEN THEY CAN THEN FILE A  
9 SUIT AND WE COULD PAY ATTORNEY'S FEES AND WE WILL HAVE  
10 INCURRED ALL THE COSTS OF THE APPEAL, WHICH CAN BE  
11 CONSIDERABLE AT THAT PLANNING COMMISSION. TAKES FOREVER TO GET  
12 ANYTHING THROUGH THAT PLANNING COMMISSION.

13

14 **RICHARD WEISS:** THAT IS TRUE BUT THE APPEAL GIVES US ANOTHER  
15 OPPORTUNITY TO MAKE SURE WE HAVE MADE THE RIGHT DECISION AND  
16 CREATE THE RIGHT FINDINGS.

17

18 **SUP. MOLINA:** CAN I ASK A QUESTION ON THAT?

19

20 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** LET ME JUST ONE-- WHAT IS-- SO WHAT IS  
21 YOUR AMENDMENT, THEN?

22

23 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** I'M NOT GOING TO MAKE AN AMENDMENT.

24

25 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** SUPERVISOR MOLINA?



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **SUP. KNABE:** YOU'RE WITHDRAWING YOUR AMENDMENT?

3

4 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** NO, NO, NO, NOT THE ONE I MADE EARLIER BUT  
5 I'M NOT GOING TO MAKE ANY FURTHER AMENDMENT AT THIS TIME. IF  
6 YOU WANT TO DO IT...

7

8 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** SO YOU'RE...

9

10 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** WE CAN ALWAYS AMEND IT. LET'S SEE HOW IT  
11 WORKS IN PRACTICE.

12

13 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** YOU'RE SAYING LEAVE THE-- ALLOW THE  
14 DEVELOPER TO APPEAL BUT NOT THE RESIDENTS?

15

16 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** ON THE ON MENU. I TELL YOU, IT MAKES-- IT  
17 RUBS ME THE WRONG WAY.

18

19 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** YOU CAN ALWAYS VOTE "NO".

20

21 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** NO, THAT'S NOT WHAT I-- WE CAN PERFECT THE  
22 THING IF...

23

24 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** WHEN I WAS AT THE LEAGUE OF-- AND  
25 SUPERVISOR KNABE WAS THERE THIS PAST WEEK OR TWO WEEKS AGO,



The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1 INDEPENDENT CITIES, THERE'S A LOT OF CONCERN, EVEN BY THE  
2 CITIES, THAT THE STATE MANDATING THIS PROVISION IS GOING TO  
3 IMPACT THEIR CITIES AND THERE WAS CONCERN AND THERE WAS SOME  
4 TALK ABOUT WORKING IN SACRAMENTO TO CHANGE THIS LEGISLATION.  
5 BUT A NUMBER OF OUR CITIES FIND IT VERY DIFFICULT TO COMPLY  
6 WITH SUCH A REGULATION JUST AS WE WILL FIND IT DIFFICULT TO  
7 COMPLY AS WELL WHEN YOU REMOVE THE COMMUNITY AND YOU REMOVE  
8 OUR GENERAL PLAN FROM THESE TYPES OF PROJECTS. I MEAN, THAT'S  
9 WHAT THE GENERAL PLAN IS THERE FOR, PROTECTION. THAT'S WHY THE  
10 COMMUNITY INPUT THERE IS IMPORTANT FOR PROTECTION AND NOW  
11 YOU'RE HAVING THE STATE USURP THAT AUTHORITY. AND A NUMBER OF  
12 THE CITIES HAD RAISED CONCERNS ABOUT, YOU KNOW, WORKING IN  
13 SACRAMENTO TO CHANGE THAT LAW. SUPERVISOR KNABE.

14

15 **SUP. KNABE:** I JUST-- YOU KNOW, MY ISSUE IS THE APPEALABILITY  
16 OF BOTH THE NEIGHBORS AS WELL, YOU KNOW, AS THE DEVELOPER. I  
17 MEAN, I CAN'T SUPPORT ONE WITHOUT THE OTHER. AND THE OTHER  
18 QUESTION I HAVE IS, SUPERVISOR BURKE IS TALKING ABOUT A REPORT  
19 BACK IN 60 DAYS, BUT YET MOVING FORWARD ON THIS ITEM, SO WHAT  
20 DOES THAT MEAN?

21

22 **SUP. BURKE:** I WOULD REALLY LIKE TO GET SOME IDEA OF THE  
23 IMPLICATIONS OF AN APPEAL TO RESIDENTS AND HAVE THEM TO REPORT  
24 BACK AND TELL US EXACTLY WHAT THE IMPLICATIONS ARE AND WHETHER  
25 THAT'S ADVISABLE. THE REASON...



The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1

2 **SUP. KNABE:** SO THIS WOULD NOT BE IMPLEMENTED UNTIL WE GOT THAT  
3 REPORT BACK?

4

5 **SUP. BURKE:** WELL, I THINK WE'RE UNDER STATE LAW THAT WE NEED  
6 TO BE CONCERNED ABOUT THAT.

7

8 **SUP. KNABE:** WELL, I MEAN, HE SAID THERE WAS NO TIME LINE, THE  
9 COUNTY COUNSEL.

10

11 **RICHARD WEISS:** THERE IS NO TIME LINE BUT I THINK WE'D  
12 RECOMMEND THAT YOUR BOARD TAKE ACTION TO ADOPT AN ORDINANCE IN  
13 SHORT ORDER.

14

15 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** CAN I GET BACK TO THIS APPEAL THING? YOU  
16 KNOW WHAT BOTHERS ME ABOUT HAVING THEM BOTH APPEAL? IF THEY  
17 CAN BOTH APPEAL ON AN ON MENU ITEM, THEN THERE'S REALLY NO  
18 DISINCENTIVE FOR A DEVELOPER TO GO FOR THE OFF MENU APPROACH.  
19 BECAUSE IF HE THINKS HE'S GOING TO GET AN APPEAL BY A NEIGHBOR  
20 ON THE ON MENU, WHICH ARE RELATIVELY INCREMENTAL ISSUES, THEN  
21 HE MAY AS WELL GO FOR THE 11 STORY BUILDING BECAUSE HE'S GOING  
22 TO FACE THE SAME APPEAL AND THE SAME COST ANYWAY. I'M PREPARED  
23 TO TAKE THE CHANCE OF HAVING THE STAFF, WORKING WITH THE  
24 COUNTY COUNSEL, MAKE THE PROPER FINDINGS ON ANY DECISION THEY  
25 MAKE ON AN ON MENU THING.





The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1

2 **SUP. KNABE:** BUT, I MEAN, YOUR POINT WAS MADE EARLIER, THOUGH,  
3 ZEV, AND I AGREE WITH THAT. I MEAN, A THREE TO FOUR STORY IS  
4 SIGNIFICANT IN A SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENCE.

5

6 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** I AGREE, BUT I THINK WE'RE BETTER OFF HAVING  
7 NO APPEAL ON THE ON MENU ITEMS THAN HAVING IT TREATED THE SAME  
8 WAY AS AN OFF MENU BECAUSE THEN WE'RE KIND OF ENCOURAGING  
9 EVERYBODY TO GO INTO OFF MENU APPROACHES BECAUSE THEY CAN GET  
10 MORE OUT OF IT FOR THE SAME AMOUNT OF EFFORT AND I THINK  
11 THAT'S PART OF WHAT THE STAFF I THINK THE STAFF WAS TRYING TO  
12 DO WHEN THEY DEVELOPED THIS AND SOME OF THE ADVOCATES WAS TRY  
13 TO STEER THEM INTO THE ON MENU APPROACHES, AS EGREGIOUS AS  
14 THEY MAY BE IN CERTAIN CIRCUMSTANCES, WHICH THEY CERTAINLY MAY  
15 BE. THEY ARE NOT NEARLY AS EGREGIOUS AS THE UNLIMITED UNKNOWN.  
16 AND, ANYWAY, I'LL TAKE A SHOT AT THIS. I'LL MOVE THAT WE  
17 FURTHER AMEND THIS TO REMOVE-- ON THE ON MENU ITEMS, REMOVE  
18 ANY RIGHT OF APPEAL BY THE DEVELOPER, SO THERE WOULD BE NO  
19 RIGHT OF APPEAL, PERIOD, ON THE ON MENU ITEMS.

20

21 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** OKAY. SECOND THAT ON THE APPEAL. IS  
22 THERE ANY OBJECTION TO THAT? IF NOT, AND ANY OBJECTION ON THE  
23 RELATIVE TO THE FIRST AMENDMENT? IF THERE'S NOT ANY OBJECTION,  
24 THEN THAT WOULD BE PART OF THE MOTION.

25



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1    **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** THANK YOU.

2

3    **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** NOW, THE MOTION IS BEFORE US. THE  
4    ISSUE IS TO REFER IT BACK OR DO YOU GO FORWARD TODAY WITH AS  
5    AMENDED? SO WHAT IS THE MOTION? WE HAVE A MOTION BY  
6    YAROSLAVSKY TO MOVE AS AMENDED, SECONDED BY BURKE. CALL THE  
7    ROLL.

8

9    **SUP. KNABE:** COMING BACK IN, WHAT, 60 DAYS?

10

11   **SUP. MOLINA:** A REPORT WOULD COME BACK BUT WE'D STILL IMPLEMENT  
12   IT.

13

14   **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** OKAY. SUPERVISOR MOLINA?

15

16   **SUP. MOLINA:** AYE.

17

18   **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** SUPERVISOR BURKE?

19

20   **SUP. BURKE:** AYE.

21

22   **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY?

23

24   **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** AYE.

25



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** SUPERVISOR KNABE?

2

3 **SUP. KNABE:** NO.

4

5 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH?

6

7 **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** NO. SO ORDERED.

8

9 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** NOW, MR. CHAIRMAN, JUST ONE QUESTION, WHAT  
10 IS THE PROCESS NOW? ARE YOU GOING TO MODIFY THE ORDINANCE AS  
11 AMENDED IN THAT DOES IT HAVE TO COME BACK OR HAVE WE DONE  
12 ENOUGH?

13

14 **RICHARD WEISS:** THE ORDINANCE IS IN DRAFT FORM. WE WILL TO MAKE  
15 THE REVISIONS THAT YOUR BOARD APPROVED TODAY AND BRING IT BACK  
16 FOR FINAL ADOPTION.

17

18 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** CAN IT BE BACK HERE NEXT WEEK?

19

20 **RICHARD WEISS:** I THINK IT COULD BE BACK IN TWO WEEKS.

21

22 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** WELL, I THINK THE LAST TIME WE'RE GOING TO  
23 HAVE ALL FIVE HERE FOR A COUPLE WEEKS IS ON THE 8TH, WHICH IS  
24 TWO WEEKS FROM TODAY? OKAY.

25



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1   **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** OKAY. ON ITEM 10, THIS IS THE HEARING ON--  
2   DE NOVO HEARING ON CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT CASE NUMBER 04-023-5  
3   AND OAK TREE PERMIT CASE NUMBER 2005- 00045-5 AND MITIGATED  
4   NEGATIVE DECLARATION TO AUTHORIZE A RESIDENTIAL DRUG AND  
5   ALCOHOL TREATMENT FACILITY, THE REMOVAL OF TWO OAK TREES AND  
6   THE ENCROACHMENT INTO THE PROTECTED ZONE OF FIVE OAK TREES ON  
7   PROPERTY LOCATED AT 36491 BOUQUET CANYON ROAD IN LEONA VALLEY,  
8   BOUQUET CANYON ZONE DISTRICT APPLIED FOR BY NARCONON SOUTHERN  
9   CALIFORNIA. WRITTEN CORRESPONDENCE EXISTS ON THIS MATTER.

10

11   **SAMUEL DEA:** GOOD AFTERNOON, MR. MAYOR, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF  
12   MY NAME IS SAMUEL DEA. I AM A SUPERVISING REGIONAL PLANNER  
13   WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF REGIONAL PLANNING. TO MY LEFT IS JAMES  
14   BELL, WHO IS THE CASE PLANNER FOR THIS PROJECT. ON MARCH 15,  
15   2006, THE REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION APPROVED A CONDITIONAL  
16   USE PERMIT TO AUTHORIZE THE DEVELOPMENT AND MAINTENANCE OF A  
17   DRUG AND ALCOHOL TREATMENT FACILITY FOR 66 ADULTS IN RR-1,  
18   RESORT RECREATION, ONE ACRE MINIMUM ZONE, AN OAK TREE PERMIT  
19   TO ALLOW THE REMOVAL OF TWO TREES AND THE ENCROACHMENT WITHIN  
20   THE PROTECTIVE ZONE OF FIVE TREES WAS ALSO APPROVED AS PART OF  
21   THE ENTITLEMENT TO ACCOMMODATE THE DEVELOPMENT. THE SUBJECT  
22   PROPERTY IS LOCATED AT 36491 BOUQUET CANYON ROAD IN THE  
23   BOUQUET CANYON ZONE DISTRICT. TO ADDRESS ISSUES RAISED AT THE  
24   PUBLIC HEARING, THE COMMISSION IMPOSED ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS  
25   REQUIRING THE APPLICANTS TO PROVIDE ADDITIONAL SECURITY,



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 CONDUCT REGULAR COMMUNITY MEETINGS WITH LOCAL RESIDENTS,  
2 SHIELD LIGHTINGS FROM ADJACENT PROPERTIES AND PROHIBIT THE USE  
3 OF A PUBLIC ADDRESS SYSTEM. THE COMMISSION FINDS THE PROPOSED  
4 USE WILL NOT BE IN CONFLICT WITH THE SURROUNDING USES. AND THE  
5 CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL WILL ENSURE THE PROJECT, AS PROPOSED,  
6 WILL NOT HAVE A SIGNIFICANT EFFECT ON THE ENVIRONMENT. AND  
7 THIS CONCLUDES MY REPORTED TO THE BOARD AND WE ARE AVAILABLE  
8 FOR ANY QUESTIONS.

9

10 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** OKAY. WE HAVE THE APPLICANT.

11

12 **SUP. MOLINA:** I UNDERSTAND THERE'S SOME UNRESOLVED ISSUES.

13

14 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THERE ARE SOME UNRESOLVED ISSUES AND  
15 WE'LL GET INTO THAT VERY SHORTLY. FIRST WE'LL HAVE THE  
16 APPLICANT SPEAK. JUST GIVE YOUR NAME FOR THE RECORD.

17

18 **CLARK CARR:** THANK YOU. MY NAME IS CLARK CARR. I'M THE  
19 PRESIDENT OF NARCONON INTERNATIONAL. MAYOR ANTONOVICH,  
20 HONORABLE SUPERVISORS, THANK YOU FOR THE OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK  
21 WITH YOU TODAY. I HAVE BEEN-- I HAVE WORKED FOR NARCONON  
22 INTERNATIONAL FOR 21 OF ITS 40 YEARS AND I'M VERY PROUD OF THE  
23 WORK THAT THE ORGANIZATION IS DOING WORLDWIDE AND HERE IN  
24 CALIFORNIA. WE'VE BEEN HONORED TO MEET WITH YOUR VARIOUS  
25 PLANNING DEPUTIES AND WE THANK YOU FOR THE COURTESY THAT HAS



The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1 BEEN SHOWN TO US. WE WERE PREPARED TODAY, OF COURSE, TO ENGAGE  
2 IN A VIGOROUS DEBATE AND DISCUSSION OF THE ISSUES BUT WE  
3 UNDERSTAND THAT ELEVENTH HOUR SPECIFIC ISSUES HAVE COME UP AND  
4 THAT THERE IS A RECOMMENDATION TO REMAND THIS CASE BACK TO THE  
5 REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION TO CONSIDER SELECT ISSUES. WE  
6 HAVE HERE SEVERAL HUNDRED FINE PEOPLE WHO HAVE COME TODAY TO  
7 TESTIFY IN SUPPORT. I THANK THEM FOR THEIR TAKING TIME OFF  
8 WORK TO COME IN HERE. I UNDERSTAND THAT IF, IN FACT, THIS IS  
9 TO BE REMANDED BACK TO THE REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION, THAT  
10 THERE WOULD NOT BE TESTIMONY AND I WANT TO THANK THEM VERY  
11 MUCH FOR COMING TODAY, AS WELL AS THOSE WHO WOULD BE SPEAKING  
12 IN OPPOSITION, WHO WOULD NOT HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY. WHAT I WOULD  
13 LIKE TO DO IS TO LET OUR-- MR. TIM RILEY, WHO IS OUR TRUSTED  
14 AND EXPERIENCED LAND USE CONSULTANT, TO DISCUSS WITH YOU THOSE  
15 SPECIFIC ISSUES THAT WE UNDERSTAND NEED TO BE ADDRESSED WITH  
16 THE REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION. THANK YOU. MR. RILEY?

17

18 **TIM RILEY:** THANK YOU. MAYOR ANTONOVICH AND HONORABLE MEMBERS  
19 OF BOARD, TIM RILEY, REPRESENTING THE APPLICANT. OF COURSE,  
20 WE'LL FOREGO THE LITANY OF GOOD PLANNING REASONS TO ADOPT FOR  
21 APPROVAL TODAY AND WE WOULD ASK THAT YOU LIMIT THE NUMBER OF  
22 ISSUES THAT WE'RE GOING TO REMAND BACK TO THE PLANNING  
23 COMMISSION AND, WITH YOUR HELP, HOPE FOR AN EXPEDITED HEARING  
24 BEFORE THE COMMISSION TO MOVE FORWARD. WE'RE UNDERSTANDING  
25 THESE ISSUES WOULD BE LOOKING AT TRAFFIC IMPROVEMENT; FIRE,





The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1 RESCUE AND SAFETY; AND THE CULVERT, THE BRIDGE THAT SPANS THE  
2 CULVERT AND THE ISSUE OF WITHSTANDING ANY FLOODING POTENTIAL  
3 BECAUSE OF THAT BRIDGE OVER THE CULVERT. THIS IS OUR  
4 UNDERSTANDING OF THESE ISSUES AND, AGAIN, WITH YOUR  
5 INDULGENCE, I'M SURE THAT WE CAN MOVE TO A QUICK HEARING  
6 BEFORE THE COMMISSION. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

7

8 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** AND WE ALSO WANT TO STATE THAT THE  
9 REPRESENTATIVES FROM LEONA VALLEY TOWN COUNCIL, MEMBERS OF THE  
10 LEONA VALLEY COMMUNITY, WHO ARE ALSO HERE TODAY WHO WERE GOING  
11 TO SPEAK ON THIS HAVE ALSO AGREED TO NOT TESTIFY AND HAVE THIS  
12 GO BACK TO REGIONAL PLANNING TO HAVE THE HEARING AND DISCUSS  
13 THOSE ISSUES THAT WERE TALKED ABOUT RELATIVE TO THE MITIGATION  
14 OF THE FIRE ISSUE, THE ROAD, PUBLIC WORKS, THE FLOODPLAIN AND  
15 THOSE OTHER ISSUES.

16

17 **SUP. MOLINA:** SO WILL THIS BE BROUGHT BACK NEXT MONTH? IN  
18 AUGUST?

19

20 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** WHAT IS THE...

21

22 **SAMUEL DEA:** DEPENDS ON THE NATURE OF THE REDESIGN. WE MAY HAVE  
23 TO CONSULT OTHER AGENCIES NEXT MONTH AS A SCHEDULED PUBLIC  
24 HEARING WITH THE COMMISSION WOULD BE DIFFICULT.

25



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1    **SUP. MOLINA:** WOULD BE DIFFICULT?

2

3    **SAMUEL DEA:** DUE TO ADVERTISEMENTS DEADLINES AND ALSO  
4    CONSULTATION DEADLINES, DUE TO SOME OF THESE NATURE-- THE  
5    NATURE OF THESE REDESIGNS, IT WOULD BE DIFFICULT TO MEET A  
6    PUBLIC HEARING...

7

8    **SUP. MOLINA:** REDESIGN?

9

10   **SAMUEL DEA:** I BELIEVE SOME OF THESE IMPROVEMENTS MAY REQUIRE  
11   ADDITIONAL COMMENTS FROM OUR COUNTY ENGINEER AND ALSO THE  
12   COUNTY FIRE DEPARTMENT. WE COULD SCHEDULE A DISCUSSION ITEM  
13   WITH THE COMMISSION BRINGING UP THESE ISSUES WITHOUT HAVING TO  
14   ADVERTISE A HEARING.

15

16   **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** OKAY. SO I'LL MAKE THE MOTION.  
17   SECONDED BY KNABE. WITHOUT OBJECTION, I WANT TO THANK BOTH  
18   PARTIES FOR COMING TODAY AND WE'LL ADDRESS THOSE ISSUES IN  
19   MITIGATION.

20

21   **TIM RILEY:** THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

22

23   **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** OKAY. ITEM 11. THIS IS THE COMBINED HEARING  
24   ZONE CHANGE CASE NUMBER 04-137-5 FROM A-2-2 TO M-1-DP AND  
25   CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT CASE NUMBER 04-137-5 TO AUTHORIZE THE



The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1 CONSTRUCTION, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE OF A CONSTRUCTION  
2 EQUIPMENT STORAGE FACILITY AND TO ENSURE COMPLIANCE WITH THE  
3 REQUIREMENTS OF THE DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM ZONE RELATING TO  
4 PROPERTY LOCATED AT 32170 NORTH CASTAIC ROAD, CASTAIC CANYON  
5 ZONE DISTRICT PETITION THE JOE PERRY.

6

7 **SAMUEL DEA:** GOOD AFTERNOON AGAIN. MY NAME IS SAMUEL DEA. I AM  
8 AN ACTING SUPERVISING REGIONAL PLANNER WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF  
9 REGIONAL PLANNING. THE REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION, ON APRIL  
10 13TH, 2005, CONDUCTED A PUBLIC HEARING REGARDING THE ZONE  
11 CHANGE REQUEST TO REDESIGNATE A 1.57-ACRE PROPERTY LOCATED AT  
12 32170 NORTH CASTAIC ROAD IN THE CASTAIC CANYON ZONE DISTRICT  
13 FROM A-22, HEAVY AGRICULTURE, TWO ACRES MINIMUM, TO M-1-DP,  
14 LIGHT MANUFACTURING, DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM. A CONDITIONAL USE  
15 PERMIT WAS ALSO PART OF THIS REQUEST TO IMPLEMENT THE PROPOSED  
16 DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM ZONE TO ALLOW THE OPERATION AND  
17 CONSTRUCTION OF AN EQUIPMENT STORAGE YARD ON THE SUBJECT  
18 PROPERTY. THE REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION DETERMINED THE  
19 PROPOSED M-1 ZONING DESIGNATION IS SUITABLE FOR THE SUBJECT  
20 PROJECT AND IS CONSISTENT WITH THE SANTA CLARITA VALLEY AREA  
21 PLAN. THE COMMISSION FINDS THE PROPOSED USE IS COMPATIBLE WITH  
22 THE SURROUNDING USE AND WILL NOT HAVE A SIGNIFICANT IMPACT ON  
23 THE ENVIRONMENT. ON AUGUST 31ST, 2005, THE COMMISSION  
24 RECOMMENDED THAT THE BOARD ADOPT THE ZONE CHANGE AND APPROVE



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 THE COMPANY CUP AND THIS CONCLUDES MY REPORT TO THE BOARD AND  
2 I AM AVAILABLE FOR ANY QUESTIONS.

3

4 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** WE JUST HAVE ONE PERSON SIGNED UP IN  
5 SUPPORT OF IT, MR. BOLDEN. IS THERE ANYBODY OPPOSED TO THIS  
6 ITEM? IF NOT, I'LL MOVE THE ITEM, SECONDED BY BURKE, WITHOUT  
7 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. THANK YOU.

8

9 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** I BELIEVE WE NEED TO GO BACK TO ITEM NUMBER  
10 1, WHICH WAS BEING RECONSIDERED.

11

12 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** OKAY. ITEM 1.

13

14 **SUP. BURKE:** ...INFORMATION ON THIS TO GET IT CLARIFIED.

15

16 **SUP. MOLINA:** IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING, PUBLIC WORKS MIGHT BE  
17 HERE, I ASKED SOME QUESTIONS BECAUSE DON HAD RAISED THAT OTHER  
18 CITIES ARE NOT DOING IT, SO WHY SHOULD WE DO IT? I WOULD  
19 AGREE. BUT I HAVE SINCE BEEN INFORMED, AND PUBLIC WORKS SHOULD  
20 COME UP, THAT PUBLIC WORKS IS BASICALLY-- THE OTHER CITIES ARE  
21 WAITING FOR PUBLIC WORKS OR THE COUNTY TO TAKE A LEAD AND THAT  
22 MANY OF THE CITIES ARE PREPARED TO FOLLOW, SO I'M PREPARED TO  
23 SUPPORT IT.

24



The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1   **SUP. BURKE:** NOW, MY ONLY CONCERN IS THAT I KNOW THAT SOME OF  
2   THE FACILITIES THAT WILL BE AFFECTED HERE ARE-- THERE'S A LOT  
3   OF DISCUSSION IN TERMS OF ANNEXATION. I WOULDN'T WANT THIS TO  
4   BECOME AN ANNEXATION ISSUE BY SOME OF THOSE FACILITIES. SO  
5   THAT, IF OTHER CITIES ARE MOVING FORWARD AND WE CAN-- CAN WE  
6   PUT OFF THE IMPLEMENTATION OR SOMETHING SO THAT ALL OF THE  
7   CITIES SURROUNDING SOME OF OUR AREAS COULD MOVE FORWARD AND  
8   HAVE THE SAME IMPLEMENTATION?

9

10   **DONALD WOLFE:** SUPERVISOR, THE REQUIREMENT FOR THE INSPECTIONS  
11   HAS BEEN ONGOING SINCE THE PERMIT WAS ISSUED, THE STORM WATER  
12   PERMIT WAS ISSUED BACK IN 2001. LIKE THE OTHER-- AND THE  
13   COUNTY AND ALL THE CITIES HAVE MADE THE FIRST ROUND OF  
14   INSPECTIONS AND WE UTILIZED FUNDING THAT-- PRIMARILY UTILIZED  
15   FUNDING FOR THE FIRST ROUND THAT WAS CARRYOVER FUNDING FROM  
16   OUR INDUSTRIAL WASTE PROGRAM. BASICALLY, FEES THE BUSINESSES  
17   HAVE ALREADY PAID TO US. THAT FUNDING IS TAPPED OUT. THE  
18   VARIOUS CITIES USE DIFFERENT FUNDING SOURCES. FOR EXAMPLE, THE  
19   CITY OF LOS ANGELES HAS A STORM WATER FEE THAT THEY PUT IN  
20   PLACE PRIOR TO PROP 218 THAT THEY USE TO FUND THEIR STORM  
21   WATER EFFORTS. SO THEY HAVE A TAX ALREADY IN PLACE ON  
22   PROPERTIES THAT YOU'RE USING TO OFFSET THIS COST. MOST OF THE  
23   SMALLER CITIES HAVE BEEN WAITING FOR US TO COMPLETE OUR  
24   ORDINANCE IN THE PROCESS IN ORDER FOR THEM TO PIGGYBACK TO  
25   WHAT WE ARE DOING HERE. SOME OF THE CITIES GOT TIRED OF



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 WAITING FOR US. FOR EXAMPLE, PARAMOUNT, LA PUENTE AND LA VERNE  
2 WENT AHEAD AND USED ONE OF OUR PREVIOUS DRAFTS AND OTHER  
3 CITIES LIKE LAKEWOOD ARE WAITING FOR US TO FINISH HERE SO THEY  
4 CAN ADOPT THE SAME TYPE OF ORDINANCE. BUT THE BOTTOM LINE IS,  
5 WE'RE REQUIRED BY LAW TO MAKE THESE INSPECTIONS AND THE SOURCE  
6 OF FUNDING THAT WE'D LIKE TO USE IS BASICALLY THE BUSINESSES  
7 THAT ARE REQUIRED TO BE INSPECTED PAY FOR THAT.

8

9 **SUP. BURKE:** HOW DO THEY DO IT IN CARSON? DO THEY HAVE A  
10 MECHANISM WHERE PEOPLE ARE PAYING?

11

12 **DONALD WOLFE:** THE CITY OF CARSON, I'M NOT AWARE THAT THEY GOT  
13 A SPECIAL TAX. A LOT OF THE SMALLER CITIES HAVE BEEN USING  
14 GENERAL FUND MONEYS, SUPERVISOR, WAITING FOR KIND OF THE BALL  
15 TO DROP ON HOW WE'RE GOING TO DO THE LONG-TERM FUNDING ISSUE.  
16 THE-- SOME OF THE CITIES RAISED-- DID UNIQUE THINGS LIKE RAISE  
17 THEIR BUSINESS LICENSE TAX TO PAY FOR IT, ET CETERA. ABOUT TWO  
18 YEARS AGO, WHEN WE FIRST WAS GOING TO BRING THIS TO THE BOARD,  
19 THERE WAS A BIG BACKLASH FROM THE REGULATING COMMUNITY TO THE  
20 BUSINESSES THAT WE WERE GOING TO IMPOSE THIS FEE ON. WE'VE  
21 WORKED VERY CLOSELY WITH THEM IN THE LAST TWO YEARS AND YOU  
22 WILL NOTICE THERE IS NOBODY HERE FROM THE BUSINESS-- THE  
23 INDUSTRY TO PROTEST AND THAT IS BECAUSE WE'VE RESOLVED ALL OF  
24 THEIR CONCERNS. THEY UNDERSTAND THAT THIS A REQUIREMENT THAT  
25 WE ARE IMPOSED WITH. WE MADE A VERY-- A STRONG EFFORT TO





**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 REDUCE THE OVERALL COSTS. OUR PROCESS THAT WE'RE USING IS VERY  
2 EFFICIENT. FOR EXAMPLE, RESTAURANT FEES HAVE BEEN REDUCED BY  
3 ABOUT 50% BECAUSE THE HEALTH SERVICES ARE GOING TO DO COMBINED  
4 INSPECTIONS WITH THE INSPECTIONS THEY NORMALLY DO. SO, AS A  
5 RESULT, WE RESOLVED THE ISSUES WITH THE REGULATED COMMUNITY  
6 AND THERE IS NO ISSUES WITH THE FOLKS THAT WE ARE HAVING TO  
7 MAKE THESE INSPECTIONS ON AT THIS TIME.

8

9 **SUP. KNABE:** AS AN EXAMPLE, THOUGH, THE RESTAURANT COMMUNITY  
10 GETS HIT ON ONE AND EIGHT.

11

12 **DONALD WOLFE:** SUPERVISOR, WE'RE REQUIRED TO DO TWO THINGS.  
13 NUMBER ONE, WE'RE SUPPOSED TO GO OUT AND BASICALLY ASSESS ALL  
14 THESE BUSINESSES AND DETERMINE WHETHER-- WHAT LEVEL THEY NEED  
15 TO BE INSPECTED AND KEEP THOSE RECORDS AND REPORT THAT TO THE  
16 BOARD. THAT'S THAT 40-DOLLAR FIRST FEE THAT EVERYBODY GETS HIT  
17 WITH BECAUSE OF THE COST OF DEVELOPING THE COMPUTER PROGRAM,  
18 THE REPORTS TO THE REGIONAL BOARD. THE INSPECTION PART OF IT,  
19 WHICH IS-- AND THAT'S A ONE-TIME ONLY FEE, FOR US TO GET THAT  
20 DATABASE DEVELOPED. AND THEN, AFTER THAT, THE OTHERS ARE AN  
21 ANNUAL FEE TO OFFSET THE COST OF DOING THE INSPECTIONS THAT  
22 THE REGIONAL BOARD REQUIRES US TO DO. SO THE RESTAURANT FEE  
23 WAS REDUCED BECAUSE OF THE FACT THAT WE GOT THE EFFICIENCY OF  
24 HAVING HEALTH SERVICES DO THE INSPECTIONS FOR US.

25



The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1   **SUP. KNABE:** I MEAN, AREN'T THEY BASICALLY, I MEAN, THE SAME  
2   PEOPLE ARE GOING TO DO 8 THAT ARE DOING 1? ITEM 8? ITEM 8 AND  
3   1? I MEAN, IT WOULD BE THE SAME INSPECTORS, CORRECT? UNDER  
4   ITEM ONE, YOU'RE ASKING FOR MONEY FOR AN INSPECTION FEE. IS  
5   THAT CORRECT?

6

7   **DONALD WOLFE:** THAT'S CORRECT.

8

9   **SUP. KNABE:** UNDER ITEM 8, THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH IS  
10   ASKING FOR AN INSPECTION FEE.

11

12   **DONALD WOLFE:** WE ARE COLLECTING THE FEES UNDER ITEM ONE AND  
13   THEN WE'LL BE GIVING THAT MONEYS TO HEALTH SERVICES. SO IT  
14   WOULDN'T BE A SEPARATE FEE THAT THEY'RE ASKING FOR.

15

16   **SUP. KNABE:** THEY ARE ASKING FOR A SEPARATE FEE UNDER ITEM 8.

17

18   **DONALD WOLFE:** OH, I'M SORRY. I WAS LOOKING AT MY LIST OF  
19   THINGS HERE, SUPERVISOR, AND THE FEE THAT THE HEALTH SERVICES  
20   IS ASKING FOR UNDER ITEM 8 IS NOT RELATED TO THE INSPECTIONS  
21   WE'RE DOING.

22

23   **SUP. KNABE:** BUT ISN'T IT THE SAME INSPECTOR?

24



The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1    **DONALD WOLFE:** AND THAT'S WHY WE HAVE THE EFFICIENCY,  
2    SUPERVISOR, OF BEING ABLE TO REDUCE THE COSTS TO THE  
3    RESTAURANTS AND THE RESTAURANT ASSOCIATION FOLKS THAT WE'VE  
4    BEEN WORKING WITH FOR THE LAST TWO YEARS ARE SATISFIED THAT  
5    WHAT WE'RE DOING IS AS FAIR AS WE CAN POSSIBLY MAKE IT TO THEM  
6    WITH RESPECT TO COST. IT'S THE SAME INSPECTOR, WHICH REDUCES  
7    THE COST BUT IT ALLOWS-- IT REDUCES TIME BECAUSE YOU DON'T  
8    HAVE THE TRAVEL BETWEEN SITES AND YOU'RE DOING MULTIPLE  
9    INSPECTIONS AT THE SAME TIME. BUT THE LENGTH OF TIME TO DO IT  
10   AND, MOST IMPORTANTLY, THE BIGGEST COST OF DOING THESE  
11   INSPECTIONS IS THE REPORTS THAT HAVE TO BE PREPARED FOR THE  
12   REGIONAL BOARD THAT WE HAVE TO TURN IN. THAT'S A SIGNIFICANT  
13   AMOUNT OF TIME THAT HAS TO BE SPENT BY THE INSPECTORS AND THE  
14   CLERICAL STAFF IN DOING THIS PROCESS.

15

16   **SUP. BURKE:** WHAT WILL BE THE FEE FOR MOST INDUSTRIAL  
17   PROPERTIES?

18

19   **DONALD WOLFE:** THE FEE FOR MOST INDUSTRIAL PROPERTIES IS \$179  
20   PER YEAR. RESTAURANTS ARE \$97 PER YEAR, AND THE MAJORITY OF  
21   THE BUSINESSES WE WILL BE INSPECTING WILL BE RESTAURANTS.

22

23   **SUP. BURKE:** IT WON'T BE BASED ON THE SIZE OR...

24



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1   **DONALD WOLFE:** NO, THE LENGTH OF TIME TO DO THE INSPECTION AND  
2   FILL OUT ALL THE FORMS THAT ARE REQUIRED BY THE REGIONAL BOARD  
3   IS PRETTY UNIFORM DESPITE THE SIZE OF THE BUSINESS.

4

5   **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** ALL RIGHT. \$179? IS THAT THE FEE?

6

7   **DONALD WOLFE:** THAT'S THE ANNUAL FEE FOR MOST FACILITIES, WHICH  
8   WAS THE QUESTIONS THAT SHE ASKED. RESTAURANTS ARE \$97 AND  
9   UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK OR TANKS ARE \$147.

10

11   **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** I WOULD MOVE APPROVAL.

12

13   **SUP. MOLINA:** SECOND.

14

15   **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** CALL THE ROLL.

16

17   **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** SUPERVISOR MOLINA?

18

19   **SUP. MOLINA:** AYE.

20

21   **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** SUPERVISOR BURKE?

22

23   **SUP. BURKE:** (OFF-MIKE).

24

25   **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY?



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** AYE.

3

4 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** SUPERVISOR KNABE?

5

6 **SUP. KNABE:** NO.

7

8 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH?

9

10 **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** NO. MOTION-- SO ORDERED. OKAY. ITEM 32.

11

12 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** THE MOTION IS APPROVED, RIGHT? IT WAS NOT A  
13 4-VOTE, IT WAS A 3-VOTE? OKAY.

14

15 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SOCIAL SERVICES.

16

17 **SUP. MOLINA:** I HELD THAT ITEM. ITEM 32 IS A CONTRACT. IS THAT  
18 THE RIGHT ITEM? FOR HOUSING LOCATORS AND WHAT I'VE DONE IS I  
19 HAVE A MOTION THAT I'D LIKE TO PASS UP AS AN AMENDMENT TO  
20 THIS. ON NUMEROUS OCCASIONS IN RECENT PAST, THE BOARD HAS  
21 RECOGNIZED THAT HOMELESS FAMILIES ARE AMONGST LOS ANGELES'  
22 COUNTY'S MOST VULNERABLE CITIZENS. AT THE DIRECTION OF THE  
23 BOARD, THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SOCIAL SERVICES AND VARIOUS  
24 OTHER DEPARTMENTS HAVE INITIATED A WIDE ARRAY OF SUPPORT  
25 SERVICES FOR HOMELESS FAMILIES. EVEN WITH THESE ENHANCED



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 SERVICES, IT REMAINS DIFFICULT FOR MANY HOMELESS FAMILIES TO  
2 LOCATE STABLE PERMANENT HOUSING. TODAY, THE DEPARTMENT OF  
3 PUBLIC SOCIAL SERVICES HAS SUBMITTED FOR OUR APPROVAL TWO  
4 HOUSING LOCATOR CONTRACTS TO ASSIST HOMELESS, CALWORKS,  
5 WELFARE-TO-WORK FAMILIES IN LOCATING, OBTAINING AND  
6 MAINTAINING AFFORDABLE PERMANENT HOUSING. WHEN WE UNDERTAKE  
7 MAJOR ENHANCEMENTS TO OUR SERVICE DELIVERY SYSTEM FOR HOMELESS  
8 FAMILIES, IT IS CRITICAL THAT THERE BE A THOROUGH EVALUATION  
9 OF THE NEW PROGRAM IN ORDER TO DETERMINE PROGRAM EFFECTIVENESS  
10 AND GAIN INSIGHTS TO WHICH WE CAN FORM THE BASIS FOR FUTURE  
11 PROGRAMMATIC ENHANCEMENTS. ADDITIONALLY, THE BOARD HAS ADOPTED  
12 A ZERO TOLERANCE POLICY FOR HOMELESS FAMILIES IN SKID ROW. TO  
13 ADHERE TO SUCH POLICY, D.P.S.S. AND ITS CONTRACTORS SHOULD  
14 ENSURE THAT NO EMERGENCY, TRANSITIONAL OR PERMANENT HOUSING  
15 SHOULD BE LOCATED IN ZIP CODES 90013 AND 90014, BASICALLY SKID  
16 ROW. I THEREFORE MOVE THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INSTRUCT  
17 THE DIRECTOR OF D.P.S.S. TO WORK WITH THE C.A.O., S.I.B.,  
18 SERVICES INTEGRATED BRANCH, TO CONDUCT A FULL EVALUATION OF  
19 THESE CALWORKS HOUSING LOCATOR SERVICES AS PART OF THEIR  
20 ONGOING M.O.U. WITH D.P.S.S. AND THE C.A.O. FOR EVALUATION OF  
21 THE CALWORKS PROGRAM AND REPORT BACK TO THE BOARD WITH THE  
22 RESULTS OF THIS EVALUATION WITH ONE YEAR OF IMPLEMENTATION AND  
23 SUBMIT QUARTERLY REPORTS ON PLACEMENTS OF THE FAMILIES.  
24 SECONDLY, THAT WE INSTRUCT THE DEPARTMENT, THE DIRECTOR OF  
25 PUBLIC SOCIAL SERVICES TO INCORPORATE INTO THE CONTRACTOR'S





The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1 INSTRUCTIONS THAT HOUSING FOR FAMILIES SHOULD BE SITED OUTSIDE  
2 OF THE SKID ROW AREA WHICH INCLUDES ZIP CODES 90013 AND 90014.  
3 NOW, WE ARE PAYING OVER \$4 MILLION TO ONE OF THESE CONTRACTORS  
4 FOR THESE LOCATION SERVICES AND ONE OF THE THINGS THAT WE HAVE  
5 DONE IS BASICALLY WE KNOW FULL WELL THAT, IF WE'RE GOING TO  
6 GET THESE FAMILIES BACK ON TRACK AND PROVIDE THEM THE KIND OF  
7 ASSISTANCE THEY NEED, SKID ROW IS CERTAINLY NOT ONE OF THOSE  
8 LOCATIONS. AND I THINK IT WOULD BE AN EASY MARK FOR THEM TO BE  
9 MAKING TWO GRAND FOR A PLACEMENT BACK INTO SKID ROW, WHICH  
10 ISN'T REALLY THE KIND OF STABLE HOUSING THAT WE SHOULD OFFER A  
11 FAMILY. SO, WHILE WE'RE TRYING TO PUT TOGETHER ALL THE  
12 SUPPORTIVE SERVICES FOR CALWORKS, WHETHER IT BE THE ASSISTANCE  
13 OF JOBS, THE ASSISTANCE FOR TRANSPORTATION, THE ASSISTANCE FOR  
14 CHILD CARE AND ALL OF THOSE KINDS OF THINGS, I THINK THAT WE  
15 NEED TO RECOGNIZE AND UNDERSTAND OUR RESPONSIBILITY TO  
16 STABILITY FOR THESE FAMILIES. AND SO HOPEFULLY WITHIN THIS, BY  
17 EVALUATING HOW THEY'RE DOING AND WHAT KIND OF PLACEMENT  
18 THEY'RE GETTING AND SECONDLY BY KEEPING FAMILIES OUT OF SKID  
19 ROW, I THINK WE'RE FURTHERING THE WHOLE ISSUE OF CREATING  
20 STABILIZATION FOR MANY OF THESE HOMELESS FAMILIES. SO THAT IS  
21 MY MOTION.

22  
23 **SUP. BURKE:** MR. CHAIRMAN, I UNFORTUNATELY HAVE NOT SEEN A MAP  
24 SHOWING WHERE ZIP CODE 13 AND 14 ARE LOCATED.



The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1    **SUP. MOLINA:** I HAVE ONE.

2

3    **SUP. BURKE:** I KNOW THAT THERE ARE A NUMBER OF PEOPLE, 90013  
4    AND 90014, I KNOW THERE ARE A NUMBER OF PROJECTS-- WELL, SEE,  
5    YOU KNOW, I WOULD LIKE TO HAVE A CHANCE TO LOOK AT IT A LITTLE  
6    MORE, BUT THERE ARE A NUMBER OF PROJECTS THAT ARE BEING  
7    PROPOSED. THERE ARE PEOPLE WHO HAVE GONE OUT AND PURCHASED  
8    PROPERTY IN ORDER TO PROVIDE ADDITIONAL HOUSING, SO WHAT WE'RE  
9    SAYING THAT THESE PEOPLE WHO ARE DOING HOUSING LOCATORS WOULD  
10   NOT BE ABLE TO PLACE PEOPLE IN ANY OF THESE NEWLY DEVELOPED  
11   HOUSING PROJECTS OR HOUSING FACILITIES IF THEY COME WITHIN  
12   THESE ZIP CODES. NOW, I AM MORE THAN WILLING TO RESPECT  
13   SUPERVISOR MOLINA'S DESIRE THAT THERE BE NO LOCATION OF  
14   FAMILIES FROM SKID ROW INTO HER FIRST DISTRICT OR THAT NONE OF  
15   THEM ARE PLACED IN HER FIRST DISTRICT. I AM NOT PREPARED, AT  
16   THIS MOMENT, TO SIT HERE AND LOOK AT THE ZIP CODES AND SAY HOW  
17   IT WOULD APPLY IN TERMS OF THE SECOND DISTRICT. I KNOW THAT  
18   THERE ARE AT LEAST TWO MAJOR PROJECTS THAT ARE BEING PROPOSED  
19   FOR HOUSING FOR PEOPLE AND FAMILIES WHO WOULD BE MOVING FROM  
20   SKID ROW. WHETHER THEY'RE IN THOSE ZIP CODES, I DO NOT KNOW, I  
21   HAVE NOT HAD A CHANCE TO LOOK AT IT BUT I'D BE MORE THAN  
22   WILLING TO AGREE AND I WOULD AMEND THAT NO ONE IN SKID ROW IN  
23   SUPERVISOR MOLINA'S FIRST DISTRICT SHOULD BE PROVIDED ANY OF  
24   THESE SERVICES. BUT I DO BELIEVE THAT I SHOULD HAVE THE  
25   ABILITY TO WORK WITH PEOPLE WHO ARE BUILDING FACILITIES-- WE



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 JUST ALLOCATED \$900,000 TO WEINGART. WEINGART IS PREPARED TO  
2 PROVIDE A NUMBER OF SERVICES. THEY HAVE ACQUIRED PROPERTY TO  
3 PROVIDE ADDITIONAL HOUSING THAT THAT AGENCY WILL BE SERVING,  
4 SO I WOULD BE MORE THAN WILLING TO AGREE THAT, AS FAR AS THE  
5 FIRST DISTRICT, ANYTHING THAT'S IN THESE ZIP CODES IN THE  
6 FIRST DISTRICT, THAT THOSE SERVICES NOT BE PROVIDED TO THEM.  
7 BUT I DO THINK THAT I HAVE SHOULD HAVE A RIGHT, IN THE SECOND  
8 DISTRICT WHERE THERE ARE PEOPLE WHO ARE WILLING TO INVEST  
9 MONEY AND TO RELOCATE PEOPLE AND PROVIDE GOOD HOUSING FOR  
10 THOSE FAMILIES, THAT WE SHOULD BE ALLOWED TO DO IT.

11

12 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** YOU HAVE THE SAME ZIP CODE?

13

14 **SUP. BURKE:** YES. 13 AND 14 IS ALSO IN THE SECOND DISTRICT.

15

16 **SUP. MOLINA:** LET ME EXPLAIN ONE PART OF IT AND MAYBE D.P.S.S.  
17 CAN COME UP. WE'RE PAYING \$4 MILLION. WE'VE GIVEN TWO  
18 CONTRACTS OUT. FOR PEOPLE TO GO OUT-- WE'RE PAYING THEM TWO  
19 GRAND TO FIND AN APARTMENT FOR A HOMELESS FAMILY. THIS IS A  
20 SERVICE. THAT'S A PRETTY GOOD DEAL FOR SOMEBODY WHO'S DOING  
21 THIS WEEK. IT'S A LOT OF MONEY THAT WE'RE PAYING FOR. IT  
22 DOESN'T HESITATE THAT, IF SOMEBODY DECIDES TO APPLY TO THIS  
23 HOMELESS PROJECT THAT MS. BURKE SAYS IS BEING DEVELOPED IN HER  
24 DISTRICT, THAT THEY COULD GO AND SIT THERE BUT WE SHOULDN'T  
25 PAY. D.P.S.S. SHOULDN'T BE PAYING \$2,000. EVEN WEINGART. IF IT



The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1 PUTS A PLACEMENT IN ITS OWN APARTMENT, IN ITS OWN BUILDING,  
2 WHY SHOULD WE PAY THEM \$2,000 FOR TO PLACE IN THEIR OWN  
3 PROPERTY? WHAT WE'RE TRYING TO DO IN THIS LOCATOR PROGRAM IS  
4 TO TAKE MANY OF THESE FAMILIES, IT REALLY IS DIFFICULT TO FIND  
5 AFFORDABLE HOUSING FOR MANY OF THESE FAMILIES, SO,  
6 CONSEQUENTLY, THESE LOCATORS ARE SUPPOSED TO GO OUT THERE AND  
7 FIND SOMETHING OUTSIDE. WE'RE JUST SAYING DON'T, YOU KNOW,  
8 WE'RE PAYING THEM MONEY TO STABILIZE THESE FAMILIES AND THE  
9 REASON THAT D.P.S.S. CAN'T DO IT IS THAT THEY'RE NOT IN THE  
10 BUSINESS OF DOING IT AND YOU KNOW HOW HARD THAT IS. SO WHAT  
11 WE'RE SAYING, THERE'S NOTHING WRONG IF A FAMILY WANTS TO GO  
12 AND LIVE BACK INTO SKID ROW, EVEN THOUGH IT'S A NICE  
13 TRANSITIONAL FACILITY AND THERE ARE SOME, THEY CAN DO SO. WE  
14 JUST SHOULDN'T PAY THE LOCATORS TWO GRAND TO GO BACK INTO SKID  
15 ROW.

16

17 **SUP. BURKE:** WELL, IF YOU DON'T WANT THE CONTRACTS AT ALL, I  
18 COULD UNDERSTAND THAT. IF YOU SAY WE DON'T WANT TO HIRE ANY  
19 LOCATORS. BUT, IF YOU'RE SAYING THAT AND IF YOU'RE CONCERNED  
20 ABOUT ANY OF THESE FAMILIES-- YOU SEE, I GATHER, IS THIS THE  
21 FIRST DISTRICT RIGHT HERE? THIS MAP YOU GAVE ME, IS THAT--  
22 THIS THE FIRST DISTRICT, THE 13 AND THIS IS 14 OVER HERE, THIS  
23 IS 13. I'M MORE THAN WILLING TO RESPECT YOUR VIEW THAT NO  
24 HOMELESS LOCATOR SERVICES BE PROVIDED TO ANYONE IN THE FIRST  
25 DISTRICT IN THOSE ZIP CODES, AND I WOULD SO MOVE.



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **SUP. MOLINA:** SERVICES WOULD GO TO EVERYBODY.

3

4 **SUP. KNABE:** COULD BRYCE COME UP? IS BRYCE AROUND?

5

6 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** BRYCE?

7

8 **SUP. KNABE:** I GUESS MY FIRST QUESTION IS, WILL ANY OF THE  
9 CURRENT SERVICES TO FAMILIES IN SKID ROW BE COMPROMISED BY  
10 THIS MOTION AT ALL?

11

12 **BRYCE YOKOMIZO:** SUPERVISOR, NO. THIS CURRENT CONTRACT THAT IS  
13 BEFORE YOUR BOARD TODAY IS FOR WELFARE-TO-WORK SERVICES ONLY.  
14 THERE ARE INDEED MANY, MANY SERVICES, AS YOUR BOARD IS AWARE,  
15 THROUGHOUT SKID ROW AND THROUGHOUT THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES  
16 BUT THESE WOULD NOT BE COMPROMISING BY GOING INTO OTHER...

17

18 **SUP. KNABE:** SUPERVISOR MOLINA'S MOTION, THAT WOULDN'T HAVE ANY  
19 IMPACT ON THE CURRENT SERVICES, THEN?

20

21 **BRYCE YOKOMIZO:** NO. ALTHOUGH SUPERVISOR MOLINA'S AMENDMENT  
22 WOULD DESIGNATE SPECIFIC AREAS WHERE THE CONTRACTOR COULD NOT  
23 PLACE HOMELESS FAMILIES.

24



The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1   **SUP. BURKE:** AND THAT'S WHAT I'M SAYING, DON'T PLACE THEM, IF  
2   SHE DOESN'T WANT THEM IN HER DISTRICT, DON'T PLACE THEM IN HER  
3   DISTRICT. BUT I'M SAYING THE PEOPLE IN MY DISTRICT SHOULD HAVE  
4   A RIGHT TO HAVE NEW FACILITIES.

5

6   **SUP. MOLINA:** NO, NO, THAT'S-- MS. BURKE, THAT'S NOT TRUE.  
7   WAIT, WAIT, WAIT. THAT'S NOT TRUE. MS. BURKE, THAT IS NOT  
8   TRUE. I WOULD LOVE TO HAVE HOMELESS FAMILIES IN MY DISTRICT.  
9   PLEASE DON'T SAY THAT, OKAY?

10

11   **SUP. BURKE:** ALL RIGHT, WELL, THEN (CROSS TALK) THE SECOND  
12   DISTRICT?

13

14   **SUP. MOLINA:** JUST A SECOND. DON'T SAY THAT. MY INTEREST IS NOT  
15   PAYING A CONTRACTOR \$2,000 TO LOCATE AND STABILIZE A FAMILY IN  
16   THE SKID ROW AREA THAT, AS I WENT TO D.P.S.S. AS TO HOW TO  
17   DEFINE IT, THEY SAID WITHIN THESE ZIP CODES, SO THAT IS THE  
18   ADVICE THAT I'VE TAKEN. THEY CAN GO AHEAD AND THEY CAN BE  
19   PLACED THERE, IT DOESN'T HURT THEM AT ALL, RIGHT, TO GO INTO  
20   THOSE-- INTO SKID ROW AT ALL. I'M JUST SAYING WHY ARE WE, AS A  
21   CONTRACTOR, PAYING THEM \$2,000 TO RELOCATE BACK INTO AN AREA  
22   THAT IS NOT GOING TO CREATE THE BEST STABILIZATION?

23

24   **SUP. BURKE:** WELL, AND WHAT I'M SAYING IS THAT I KNOW OF AT  
25   LEAST TWO MAJOR PROJECTS THAT ARE BEING BUILT, PROBABLY NOT IN





**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 THE FIRST DISTRICT BUT IN THE SECOND DISTRICT, THAT THESE  
2 LOCATORS, IF THEY PLACE THEM IN THESE NEW PROJECTS THAT WILL  
3 HAVE SERVICES, AS WELL AS A GOOD PLACE TO LIVE, THAT I THINK  
4 THEY SHOULD BE ALLOWED TO PLACE THEM IN THOSE NEW PROJECTS.

5

6 **SUP. KNABE:** THE LOCATORS SHOULD BE ALLOWED? AND WE SHOULD PAY  
7 FOR IT?

8

9 **SUP. BURKE:** THE LOCATORS SHOULD BE ALLOWED TO PUT THEM THERE.  
10 THESE ARE PEOPLE WHO ARE-- WEINGART IS GETTING READY TO BUILD  
11 A NEW FACILITY.

12

13 **SUP. KNABE:** BUT, I MEAN, THAT'S ALREADY OPEN. I MEAN, THAT'S  
14 WHAT I...

15

16 **SUP. BURKE:** NO, A NEW FACILITY.

17

18 **SUP. MOLINA:** BUT WEINGART IS ONE OF THE CONTRACTORS, MS.  
19 BURKE.

20

21 **SUP. BURKE:** YEAH, AND THEY ARE GETTING READY TO OPEN A NEW  
22 FACILITY AND BUILD A NEW FACILITY.

23

24 **SUP. MOLINA:** BUT SHOULD WE PAY THEM TO LOCATE IT IN THEIR OWN  
25 FACILITY?



The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1

2 **SUP. BURKE:** WELL, IF YOU DON'T WANT TO ANYONE TO LOCATE  
3 ANYBODY...

4

5 **SUP. MOLINA:** MS. BURKE, I'M NOT SAYING THAT.

6

7 **SUP. BURKE:** OR LOCATE THEM IN THEIR FACILITY, THAT'S FINE.

8

9 **SUP. MOLINA:** I'M NOT SAYING THAT. I'M JUST SAYING, MS.

10 BURKE...

11

12 **SUP. BURKE:** BUT ALL I'M SAYING IS THAT I BELIEVE THAT, IF  
13 YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE HOUSING LOCATORS AND YOU PAY THEM, YOU  
14 SHOULDN'T PROHIBIT THEM FROM LOCATING HOUSING IN CERTAIN AREAS  
15 BY ZIP CODES. NOW, IF SUPERVISOR MOLINA WANTS TO PROHIBIT THEM  
16 FROM LOCATING ANYONE IN A CERTAIN ZIP CODE, IT'S OKAY, BUT I'M  
17 SAYING THAT, AS IT RELATES TO THE SECOND DISTRICT, I SHOULD BE  
18 ABLE TO HAVE THOSE SERVICES AND HAVE THEM LOCATE PEOPLE, TAKE  
19 THEM OFF THE STREETS. THE CHOICE YOU'RE SAYING IS, UNLESS YOU  
20 CAN FIND A PLACE OUTSIDE OF ZIP CODE 13 AND 14, THEY HAVE TO  
21 STAY ON THE STREET.

22

23 **SUP. MOLINA:** THAT IS NOT TRUE, MS. BURKE. THAT IS NOT TRUE.  
24 YOU'RE MISINTERPRETING THIS. THIS IS THE SIMPLICITY...

25



The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1    **SUP. BURKE:** I AM JUST INTERPRETING WHAT WAS SAID.

2

3    **SUP. MOLINA:** THIS IS THE SIMPLICITY OF IT. IT IS A CONTRACT.

4    ONE COMPANY IS GETTING \$4 MILLION, 4.1, I THINK, THE OTHER ONE

5    IS GETTING SOME \$700,000. THEIR RESPONSIBILITY IS TO TAKE

6    THESE CALWORKS FAMILIES. THEY COME FROM ROSITA, THEY COME FROM

7    TORRANCE, THEY COME FROM BALDWIN PARK AND IT IS A RESOURCE FOR

8    D.P.S.S. TO TURN IT OVER TO A HOUSING LOCATOR AND SAY, "PLEASE

9    FIND THEM AFFORDABLE, SUITABLE HOUSING." SO THEY WILL GO OUT

10   AND LOOK FOR THESE FACILITIES, ALL RIGHT? THAT IS THE RESOURCE

11   THAT THEY WILL GET BACK TO THE SOCIAL WORKER AND SAY, "HERE

12   ARE THESE..." WE WILL PAY THEM \$2,000 IN ORDER TO DO THAT. WE

13   WILL PAY \$700 TO THE LANDLORD, TO THE LANDLORD WHO KEEPS THAT

14   FAMILY THERE FOR OVER SIX MONTHS. THAT'S A COOPERATIVE

15   AGREEMENT THAT WE ARE DEVELOPING WITH IT. THIS IS...

16

17   **SUP. BURKE:** AND I THINK THAT'S GOOD.

18

19   **SUP. MOLINA:** I UNDERSTAND. THEN WE WILL PAY ALSO \$700,

20   HOPEFULLY, TO THE FAMILY AS WELL AS AN INCENTIVE TO STAY

21   WITHIN THAT UNIT. WE ARE HOPING THAT, THROUGH THIS PROGRAM, IT

22   IS AN ADDITIONAL RESOURCE. LOCATING THEM IN SKID ROW IS JUST

23   NOT AND WE'VE CONCLUDED FOR A FAMILY. NOW FOR SINGLES, THAT'S

24   FINE, BUT THESE ARE CHILDREN. THERE AREN'T THE RESOURCES AND

25   THE AMENITIES THAT THEY NEED IN ORDER TO STABILIZE THEIR



The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1 FAMILIES AND WE KNOW THAT BY VIRTUE OF SOME OF THE NEGLIGENT  
2 SITUATIONS AND ABUSIVE SITUATIONS THAT ARE THERE. SO WHAT WE  
3 ARE SAYING IS IT DOESN'T PROHIBIT A CALWORKS FAMILY FROM GOING  
4 INTO SKID ROW, THEY CAN DO SO. THE PROBLEM IS THE COUNTY IS  
5 NOT PAYING \$2,000 TO THE LOCATOR TO FILL UP AFFORDABLE HOUSING  
6 UNITS THAT HOPEFULLY ARE GOING TO BE BUILT OUT THERE BUT IT'S  
7 GOING TO GET THEM TO PUT THEM IN A BALDWIN PARK AREA WITH  
8 PARKS AND SCHOOLS AND A NEIGHBORHOOD AREA, RESIDENTIAL AREA.  
9 THOSE ARE THE KINDS OF SUITABLE FAMILY UNITS THAT WE'RE HOPING  
10 THE LOCATORS WILL LOOK FOR. IT'S LIKE, YOU KNOW, WHAT DO THEY  
11 CALL, SHOOTING FISH IN A BARREL. I MEAN, IF YOU PUT THEM ALL  
12 BACK-- I MEAN, IF THEY'RE GOING TO DEVELOP 700 UNITS, THAT'S  
13 AN EASY TWO GRAND TO BUILD OFF OF LOCATING BACK WHERE THEY  
14 ARE. I WOULD RATHER-- AND MOST FAMILIES ARE NOT GOING TO WANT  
15 TO GO THERE ANYWAY, THAT'S PROBABLY THE BOTTOM LINE. I JUST  
16 DIDN'T WANT TO PAY THEM TWO GRAND TO GO BACK INTO SKID ROW.

17

18 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** LET ME ASK BRYCE. DO YOU HAVE A LIST  
19 OF ALL THE ZIP CODES IN THE COUNTY THAT ARE NOT SUITABLE FOR  
20 CHILDREN?

21

22 **BRYCE YOKOMIZO:** SUPERVISOR, I THINK IT COULD BE ARGUED THAT  
23 THERE ARE MULTIPLE ZIP CODES THROUGHOUT OUR COUNTY THAT COULD  
24 BE ARGUABLY UNSUITABLE FOR FAMILIES. IF I CAN OFFER PERHAPS A  
25 COMPROMISE POSITION TO BOTH SUPERVISORS BURKE AND MOLINA, THE



The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1 AMENDMENT BY SUPERVISOR MOLINA SPECIFIES SPECIFIC ZIP CODES TO  
2 BE EXCLUDED. THAT WOULD BE 90013 AND 90014. I THINK SUPERVISOR  
3 BURKE IS MAKING A POINT THAT PART OF THOSE ZIP CODES ARE IN  
4 HER SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT AND WOULD NOT NECESSARILY BE A PART  
5 OF SKID ROW. AND PERHAPS THE AMENDMENT COULD BE CHANGED TO  
6 SPECIFY SPECIFICALLY SUPERVISOR MOLINA'S CONCERN ABOUT  
7 EXCLUDING SKID ROW AS AN AREA WHERE THE CONTRACTORS WOULD BE  
8 ABLE TO PLACE FAMILIES AND THAT WAY WOULD FREE UP AREAS OF ZIP  
9 CODES IN SUPERVISOR BURKE'S AREA.

10

11 **SUP. BURKE:** WELL, THE ONLY PROBLEM IS THAT SKID ROW HAS-- IS  
12 NOT A SMALL, DEFINED AREA. WE'RE TALKING ABOUT HERE THE  
13 FREEWAY, SANTA MONICA FREEWAY. SOME OF THOSE FACILITIES THAT  
14 ARE BEING DISCUSSED FOR CONSTRUCTION ARE NINTH AND WALL. WE  
15 KNOW WHAT THAT IS. PART OF THAT IS, LIKE, IN THE GARMENT  
16 DISTRICT, ALMOST. SO WHAT HAPPENS IF YOU USE THIS FOR A SKID  
17 ROW, YOU KNOW, IT GETS TO BE A QUESTION OF WHERE DOES SKID ROW  
18 BEGIN AND WHERE DOES IT END? I THINK YOU COULD VERY WELL SAY  
19 THAT ANY AREA WHERE IT WOULD BE UNSAFE. THAT THEY WOULD HAVE  
20 DIRECTION NOT TO PLACE A FAMILY IN AN AREA THAT WOULD BE  
21 UNSAFE AND WOULD BE DANGEROUS TO THEM, AND I WOULD AGREE TO  
22 THAT BUT I'M JUST NOT PREPARED TO SAY THAT CERTAIN ZIP CODES  
23 GOING UP TO-- AND I THINK-- I CAN'T GO BY THIS MAP. SEE, THIS  
24 IS ALL NEW. IF THIS HAD BEEN GIVEN TO ME A FEW DAYS BEFORE, I  
25 COULD LOOK AT THE ZIP CODE AND KNOW WHERE IT IS AND WHERE IT



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 IS IN MY DISTRICT. I HAVE BEEN TOLD THAT THE 14 IS IN THE  
2 SECOND DISTRICT, RIGHT? AND 13 IS IN THE DIRECTED DISTRICT OR  
3 IS IT?

4

5 **SUP. MOLINA:** SO IS SKID ROW.

6

7 **SUP. BURKE:** AND ALSO SKID ROW BUT, YOU KNOW, THE NEXT THING  
8 WE'RE GOING TO TALK ABOUT, DON'T PUT ANY CHILD IN COMPTON  
9 BECAUSE THEY HAVE SHOOTINGS. SO, YOU KNOW, I THINK YOU HAVE TO  
10 LOOK AT WHAT IS DANGEROUS. AND THE PHRASE "SKID ROW" HAS WIDE  
11 INTERPRETATIONS. THERE ARE MANY PEOPLE NOW WHO ARE INVOLVED IN  
12 TERMS OF THE REHABILITATION OF NEW DWELLINGS AND NEW CONDOS  
13 AND LOFTS. I KNOW THIS IS A VERY SENSITIVE THING FOR THEM.  
14 THEY DON'T WANT ANY MORE, YOU KNOW, AND THEY'RE CLOSE TO SKID  
15 ROW, SO IT'S A MATTER THAT I THINK WE NEED CRITERIA RATHER  
16 THAN ZIP CODES.

17

18 **SUP. KNABE:** IS THERE A WAY TO CONTINUE THE ITEM FOR A WEEK OR  
19 SOMETHING LIKE THAT SO EVERYBODY CAN WORK THESE PIECES OUT? I  
20 MEAN, I UNDERSTAND SUPERVISOR BURKE'S CONCERN BUT, ALSO, YOU  
21 KNOW, IT'S SORT OF HARD TO UNDERSTAND PAYING SOMEONE THE  
22 LOCATE THEMSELVES IN THEIR OWN FACILITY.

23

24 **SUP. BURKE:** IN A DANGEROUS PLACE.

25





**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1   **SUP. KNABE:** NOT A DANGEROUS PLACE BUT ALSO IN THEIR OWN  
2   FACILITY. IT'S NOT SO MUCH A DANGEROUS PLACE AS YOU'RE ALSO  
3   PAYING SOMEONE TO LOCATE IN THEIR OWN FACILITY.

4

5   **SUP. BURKE:** AND I WOULD SAY THEY WOULD NOT BE PAID TO LOCATE  
6   SOMEONE IN THEIR OWN FACILITY. I'M MORE THAN AGREEABLE TO  
7   THAT. WE COULD PROHIBIT THAT.

8

9   **SUP. KNABE:** I'M JUST SAYING, MAYBE THERE'S A WAY TO, IN A  
10   WEEK, THAT THE STAFFS CAN GET TOGETHER AND GO THROUGH THE ZIP  
11   CODES AND DEFINE THIS ISSUE A LITTLE BIT BETTER SO THAT WE'RE  
12   ALL ON THE SAME PAGE. I MEAN, I THINK...

13

14   **SUP. BURKE:** I'M NOT SURE WE'LL EVER BE ALL ON THE SAME PAGE  
15   BUT I DO THINK THAT WE SHOULD NOT LOCATE...

16

17   **SUP. KNABE:** OKAY. WELL, I TRIED.

18

19   **SUP. BURKE:** ...IN THEIR OWN FACILITY. YOU KNOW, THEY SHOULDN'T  
20   BE PAID \$2,000 TO LOCATE SOMEONE IN THEIR OWN FACILITY.  
21   HOWEVER, THERE ARE MANY NEW FACILITIES THAT ARE BEING BUILT.  
22   THE MAYOR WANTS TO BUILD A FACILITY AND IS PUTTING TOGETHER  
23   FUNDS FOR ONE.

24



The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1    **SUP. MOLINA:** MS. BURKE, THIS DOESN'T PROHIBIT THEM FROM GOING  
2    THERE, WE'RE JUST NOT GOING TO PAY THEM TWO GRAND TO GO THERE.  
3  
4    **SUP. BURKE:** WELL, YOU KNOW, I THINK IT SHOULD BE BASED ON  
5    SAFETY. AND, YOU KNOW, THIS IDEA OF SAYING YOU DON'T WANT--  
6    YOU MIGHT NOT WANT ANYBODY LOCATED IN MANY AREAS OF MY  
7    DISTRICT BUT I CERTAINLY FEEL THAT, YOU KNOW, IF YOU BRING  
8    PEOPLE FROM BALDWIN PARK MAYBE WHO ARE-- PEOPLE WHO ARE  
9    WORKING AND WHO ARE GOOD STRONG FAMILIES AND TO THE DEGREE  
10    THAT YOU HAVE IN SOME OF THESE NEW FACILITIES, NOT JUST PEOPLE  
11    WHO ARE HOMELESS OR PEOPLE WHO ARE DRUG ADDICTS BUT YOU HAVE  
12    FAMILIES THAT ARE THERE, SOME OF THESE FAMILIES WILL BE  
13    POSITIVE AND IT WILL BE A GOOD PLACES TO LIVE SO THAT I THINK  
14    THAT WE'VE GOTTEN CAUGHT UP IN THIS WHOLE THING OF SAYING  
15    WE'RE GOING TO EXCLUDE AND MAKE A CERTAIN AREA OUT OF BOUNDS  
16    FOR EVERYONE AND MANY OF THE PEOPLE THERE ARE PEOPLE WHO ARE  
17    VERY SUBSTANTIAL PEOPLE WHO JUST HAPPEN TO BE DOWN ON THEIR  
18    LUCK. AND THOSE PEOPLE WHO ARE DOWN ON THEIR LUCK, THEY NEED A  
19    PLACE TO LIVE AND WE SHOULD FIND THEM A PLACE TO LIVE. IT  
20    SHOULD BE SAFE AND IT SHOULD BE A PLACE WHERE THEY CAN LIVE.  
21    SO, YOU KNOW, I JUST, PERSONALLY, I WILL OPPOSE THOSE PORTIONS  
22    OF SUPERVISOR MOLINA'S AMENDMENT THAT REFERS TO ANY PART OF  
23    THE SECOND DISTRICT BY A ZIP CODE.  
24



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1    **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** OKAY. WHY DON'T WE VOTE ON THE  
2    AMENDMENT FIRST. OKAY.

3

4    **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** IS THERE ANY OBJECTION TO THAT AMENDMENT?

5

6    **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** YES.

7

8    **SUP. BURKE:** I'M OBJECTING TO THE AMENDMENT.

9

10   **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** I THOUGHT IT WAS YOUR AMENDMENT.

11

12   **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** NO. SHE'S OPPOSING. IT'S SUPERVISOR  
13   MOLINA'S AMENDMENT.

14

15   **SUP. BURKE:** I'M OPPOSING USING MY DISTRICT AS...

16

17   **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** I THOUGHT YOU WERE AMENDING IT TO CONFINE IT  
18   TO THE FIRST DISTRICT?

19

20   **SUP. MOLINA:** BUT YOU SAID IT WAS A COMPROMISE?

21

22   **SUP. BURKE:** OH, I DID BUT SHE OPPOSED THAT.

23

24   **SUP. MOLINA:** I DID NOT.

25



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1    **SUP. BURKE:** OH, OKAY. WELL, THEN JUST CONFINE IT TO THE FIRST  
2    DISTRICT THEN.

3

4    **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** THE FIRST DISTRICT PART OF THOSE ZIP CODES  
5    ARE SKID ROW?

6

7    **SUP. BURKE:** THAT'S FINE. OKAY, I'LL AMEND WITH THAT. I ACCEPT  
8    THAT.

9

10   **SUP. MOLINA:** AS LONG AS WE'RE NOT PAYING FOR OUR OWN  
11   CONTRACTOR, EITHER, TO PLACE THEMSELVES IN THEIR OWN...

12

13   **SUP. BURKE:** IN YOUR DISTRICT.

14

15   **SUP. MOLINA:** NO, IN THEIR OWN FACILITY.

16

17   **SUP. BURKE:** IN THEIR OWN FACILITY AS WELL. IN THE FIRST  
18   DISTRICT OR IN THE FIRST DISTRICT. BUT, IN THE SECOND  
19   DISTRICT, THERE WOULD BE NO PROHIBITION AND ALSO THEY WOULD  
20   NOT PAY FOR THEMSELVES.

21

22   **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** SO WE HAVE A MOTION AND A SECOND. CALL  
23   THE ROLL.

24

25   **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** SUPERVISOR MOLINA?



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2     **SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR:** AYE.

3

4     **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** SUPERVISOR BURKE?

5

6     **SUP. BURKE:** AYE.

7

8     **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY?

9

10    **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** AYE.

11

12    **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** SUPERVISOR KNABE?

13

14    **SUP. KNABE:** AYE.

15

16    **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH?

17

18    **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** AYE. SO ORDERED. ITEM A-3, DR. CLAVREUL.

19

20    **DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL:** GOOD AFTERNOON, BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.

21    DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL. I WOULD LIKE TO KEEP THAT ITEM, A-3

22    UNTIL, LIKE I'VE ASK MANY TIMES BEFORE, UNTIL WE HAVE AN

23    FINANCE AUDIT OF NAVIGANT AND THAT C.M.S., WE HAVE PASSED

24    C.M.S. AND SO AS PART OF THE RECOMMENDATION, THE SCOPE OF WORK

25    WITH NAVIGANT WAS EVERY APPLICATION TO J.C.A.H.O. NONE OF



The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1 THOSE ARE THE CURE. AND I'M STILL WAITING FOR THE ACTUAL  
2 NUMBER OF PEDIATRICIANS AT KING/DREW AND IT'S NOT 41. I AM  
3 POSITIVE AND I THINK WE SHOULD HAVE THE RIGHT TO HAVE THE  
4 NAMES OF THE PEDIATRICIANS WHO ARE TRULY WORKING THERE.

5

6 **SUP. BURKE:** I'LL ASK FOR THE NAMES OF THOSE 41 FOR YOU.

7

8 **DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL:** I MEAN, YOU KNOW, IT'S VERY SAD TO SEE  
9 CONSTANT MISREPRESENTATION OF WHAT'S GOING ON. THERE IS NOT 41  
10 PEDIATRICIANS AT KING/DREW MEDICAL CENTER. ABSOLUTELY NOT. AND  
11 TO TRY TO REMOVE A-3 IS NOT GOING TO SOLVE THE PROBLEM. I  
12 THINK, UNTIL WE HAVE THE FINAL REVIEW OF WHERE THAT'S TRULY  
13 BEEN DONE BY NAVIGANT, THAT ITEM SHOULD NOT BE REMOVED. \$24  
14 MILLION, ABOUT, WENT TO NAVIGANT. WE DID NOT GET OUR MONEY  
15 WORTH, AND IT WOULD BE NICE IF THE PUBLIC WILL ACTUALLY KNOW  
16 WHAT HAVE BEEN PERFORMED FROM THE SCOPE OF WORK. AND, YOU  
17 KNOW, I WILL AND ASK THIS QUESTION OVER AND OVER AGAIN, AND I  
18 WILL NOT GIVE UP UNTIL I HAVE THE FACTS. AND, FOR YOUR  
19 INFORMATION, I DO NOT WATCH YOUR BOARD AT NIGHT. I HAVE BETTER  
20 THINGS TO DO. I TAPE IT SO I CAN REVIEW ALL HOW STUPID THE  
21 STATEMENTS THAT ARE MADE HERE SO I CAN MAKE SURE I HEARD IT  
22 RIGHT, I PLAY IT OVER AND OVER AGAIN AND...

23

24 **SUP. KNABE:** YOU HAVE BETTER THINGS TO DO SO THAN TO WATCH?

25





The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1 **DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL:** YES, ESPECIALLY AT NIGHT BUT I LIKE TO  
2 REVIEW THE D.V.D. AND MARK THEM FOR, "OH, THAT WAS REALLY A  
3 STUPID SAYING," AND I JUST KEEP IT FOR THE RECORD, I HAVE FIVE  
4 YEARS OF NOT LISTENING TO THE PUBLIC, NOT ANSWERING QUESTIONS  
5 AND I'M SURE WHEN DR. CHERNOF GIVES US HIS SO-CALLED BUDGET OF  
6 D.H.S., IT'S NOT GOING TO BE AN ACCURATE BUDGET BECAUSE HE  
7 DON'T KNOW EVEN THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN 41 AND 14 SO, THAT'S,  
8 YOU KNOW-- THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION.

9

10 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THANK YOU. OKAY. ITEM NUMBER 13.  
11 DEANNA KITAMURA, BENJAMIN BEACH, ANDREW LYNCH, HELEN GARRETT.  
12 JUST GIVE YOUR NAME FOR THE RECORD BEFORE YOU SPEAK.

13

14 **DEANNA KITAMURA:** GOOD AFTERNOON. I AM DEANNA KITAMURA, AN  
15 ATTORNEY WITH WESTERN CENTER ON LAW AND POVERTY. ON APRIL 3RD,  
16 MY ORGANIZATION AND THE LEGAL AID FOUNDATION OF LOS ANGELES  
17 SUBMITTED A LETTER OUTLINING THE FLAWS OF THE COUNTY'S CURRENT  
18 MARINA POLICY. WE ARE INTIMATELY FAMILIAR WITH THE MELLOW ACT.  
19 WE REPRESENTED THE PLAINTIFFS IN THE MELLOW ACT CASE AGAINST  
20 THE CITY AND CONTINUE TO MONITOR COMPLIANCE WITH OUR  
21 SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT IN THAT CASE. I WOULD LIKE TO TOUCH UPON  
22 SOME PROBLEMS WE HAVE WITH THE DRAFT MARINA POLICY. THE MELLOW  
23 ACT HAS TWO COMPONENTS. ONE IS AN INCLUSIONARY OBLIGATION AND  
24 THE SECOND IS A REPLACEMENT OBLIGATION. IN THE MIDST OF A  
25 HOUSING CRISIS, THE DRAFT POLICY IS A STEP BACKWARDS FROM THE



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 CURRENT POLICY. THE POLICY REDUCES THE TOTAL NUMBER OF UNITS  
2 TO WHICH INCLUSIONARY CALCULATION APPLIES. CURRENTLY, YOUR  
3 POLICY REQUIRES 10% BONDS AT 60% AREA MEDIAN INCOME. THE DRAFT  
4 PROPOSAL REQUIRES ONLY 5% AT 50% AREA MEDIAN INCOME. AND, IN  
5 OUR SETTLEMENT, JUST SO THAT YOU KNOW, THE CITY AGREED TO 20%  
6 AT LOW AND 10% AT VERY LOW. THE SECOND POINT IN WHICH THE  
7 POLICY IS FLAWED IS THAT THE CALCULATION OF INCLUSIONARY  
8 SUBTRACTS OUT WHAT CURRENTLY EXISTS AND IS BASED ON A PRE-  
9 DENSITY BONUS. IN TERMS OF THE REPLACEMENT REQUIREMENT, THE  
10 MELLOW ACT CONTAINS A STRICT REPLACEMENT REQUIREMENT WHERE LOW  
11 AND MODERATE INCOME UNITS ARE BEING CONVERTED. WE HAVE  
12 CONCERNS REGARDING THE POLICY'S FAILURE TO REQUIRE REPLACEMENT  
13 OF UNITS RATHER THAN BEDROOMS AND THE POLICY'S EXEMPTION OF  
14 CERTAIN RESIDENTS SUCH AS SUBLETTERS, STUDENTS, AND RESIDENT  
15 MANAGERS. AS FOR THE UNITS BEING REPLACED, THE PROPOSAL WOULD  
16 ALLOW LOW INCOME UNITS TO BE REPLACED WITH MODERATE INCOME  
17 UNITS. OUR READING OF THE MELLOW ACT IS THAT THE REPLACEMENTS  
18 MUST BE LIKE-FOR-LIKE. THE DRAFT POLICY ALSO CONTAINS  
19 PROVISIONS THAT AFFECT BOTH REPLACEMENT AND INCLUSIONARY  
20 UNITS. THE LOCATION OF BOTH ARE BASED ON WHAT IS FEASIBLE.  
21 FEASIBLE IS ALSO AN ISSUE ON WHETHER INCLUSIONARY UNITS ARE  
22 REQUIRED AT ALL. SO A KEY QUESTION IS, HOW DO YOU DETERMINE  
23 FEASIBILITY? AS WE EXPLAINED IN OUR APRIL 3RD LETTER, THE  
24 METHODOLOGY AND THE THRESHOLD ARE CRUCIAL TO A FEASIBILITY  
25 DETERMINATION, YET THE POLICY IS SILENT ON THESE TWO POINTS.



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 INSTEAD, THE FEASIBILITY PORTION OF THE POLICY FOCUSED ON AN  
2 ALLOWABLE ADJUSTMENT BUT PROVIDES NO GROUNDS FOR SELECTING  
3 THAT ADJUSTMENT. WE ALSO HAVE CONCERNS REGARDING THE  
4 PROVISIONS ADDRESSING THE LOCATION OF THE AFFORDABLE UNITS,  
5 THE LIMITED DURATION OF THE AFFORDABILITY COVENANTS, THE  
6 PROVISIONS FOR ALLOWING SUBSTANTIAL REHABILITATION INSTEAD OF  
7 CREATING NET NEW UNITS AND THE PROVISION ALLOWING THE  
8 AFFORDABLE UNITS TO BE RENTAL, EVEN WHEN ALL THE MARKET RATE  
9 UNITS ARE OWNERSHIP. THIS IS AN INADEQUATE DRAFT POLICY AND IT  
10 WOULD BE PREMATURE TO FINALIZE IT AT THIS POINT, SO THEREFORE  
11 WE URGE YOU TO VOTE "NO". THANK YOU.

12

13 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THANK YOU. YES, MA'AM.

14

15 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** DO YOU HAVE A COPY OF YOUR TESTIMONY?

16

17 **DEANNA KITAMURA:** IT'S ACTUALLY JUST HANDWRITTEN SCRATCHES. WE  
18 WILL...

19

20 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** ALL RIGHT. WE'LL GET IT TRANSCRIBED. OKAY.  
21 THANKS.

22

23 **HELEN GARRETT:** MY NAME IS HELEN GARRETT AND I DO LIVE IN THE  
24 MARINA. MR. KNABE, IT'S WONDERFUL TO LIVE IN THE MARINA AS A  
25 LOW INCOME PERSON BECAUSE I WAS DARN NEAR HOMELESS. IT TOOK ME



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 2-1/2 YEARS TO FIND A PLACE THAT I COULD AFFORD TO LIVE AND I  
2 WAS MONTHS AWAY FROM LIVING IN MY CAR AND BEING ONE OF THOSE  
3 HOMELESS PEOPLE THAT YOU'RE NOW HAVING TO DEAL WITH EN MASSE.  
4 THE MARINA IS A GOOD PLACE FOR PEOPLE TO LIVE BECAUSE THE  
5 SURROUNDING NEIGHBORHOOD WANTS LOW INCOME TENANTS IN THE  
6 MARINA. I DON'T KNOW IF YOU'RE LISTENING TO THE TENANTS BUT I  
7 TALK TO THEM ALL THE TIME AND I TELL THEM MY STORY ABOUT HOW I  
8 WAS ALMOST HOMELESS AND I TELL THEM ABOUT HOW GRATEFUL I AM TO  
9 LIVE IN THE GOLDRICH AND KEST BUILDING THEY SAY, "GO, GIRL, GO  
10 OUT AND GET US SOME MORE!" NOW, IF WE HAVE TO HAVE INCREASED  
11 DENSITY IN THE MARINA, LET'S HAVE IT SO THAT IT FOLLOWS THE  
12 MELLOW ACT AND LET'S HAVE IT SO THAT WE HAVE LOTS OF LOW  
13 INCOME HOUSING INTERMIXED WITH THE EXORBITANTLY EXPENSIVE  
14 HOUSING THAT CURRENTLY IS IN THE MARINA. THE TENANTS DON'T  
15 MIND THAT I'M A LOW INCOME PERSON. NOT ONLY THAT BUT, IF YOU  
16 ACCEPT THIS POLICY AS IT IS, YOU'RE MISSING THE BOAT. HERE'S  
17 AN OPPORTUNITY TO HAVE THE DEVELOPER COMMUNITY STEP IN AND  
18 PROVIDE HOUSING AND IF YOU LET THEM GET BY WITH ONLY 5% VERY  
19 LOW INCOME HOUSING, YOU'VE BASICALLY SAID, YOU KNOW, YOU POOR  
20 FOLKS, FORGET YOU. WE NEED MORE THAN 5%. WE NEED MORE THAN  
21 10%. WE NEED 20% AND, IF YOU CAN'T STEP UP TO THE MARK NOW,  
22 ALL OF YOU GUYS, IF ALL OF YOU CAN'T STEP UP TO THE MARK IN  
23 THIS OPPORTUNITY WHERE THEY'RE BUILDING LIKE FURY AND SAY,  
24 "WE'RE GOING TO PROVIDE THAT HOUSING," THEN YOU HAVE NOT DONE  
25 YOUR JOB. PLEASE DON'T ACCEPT THIS POLICY AS IT'S WRITTEN.



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 IT'S NOT FAIR, IT'S NOT RIGHT, IT DOESN'T SERVE THE PUBLIC,  
2 AND, IF I WAS COMING UP BEFORE YOU LOOKING FOR HOUSING NOW  
3 UNDER THAT POLICY, I WOULDN'T HAVE IT. IT'S 10% LOW INCOME IN  
4 THE BUILDING THAT I'M IN AND I REALLY COULD USE THE VERY LOW  
5 INCOME, I REALLY ACTUALLY FALL IN THAT CATEGORY. I'M  
6 STRUGGLING TO SURVIVE ON \$1,098 BEFORE THEY DEDUCT MY HEALTH  
7 PART B. WE HAVE TO HAVE THIS HOUSING FOR HUGE NUMBERS OF  
8 PEOPLE. I'M A SECRETARY WHO SPENT ALL OF HER LIFE RAISING HER  
9 CHILDREN WITHOUT CHILD SUPPORT, AND I RAISED THEM WITHOUT  
10 CHILD SUPPORT BECAUSE, AT THAT TIME, THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY  
11 WOULDN'T HELP ME BECAUSE I WASN'T ON WELFARE. SO PLEASE NOW  
12 TAKE THIS OPPORTUNITY AND HELP PEOPLE LIKE ME. THANK YOU.

13

14 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THANK YOU.

15

16 **SUP. KNABE:** WELL, I THINK THAT'S WHAT WE'RE TRYING TO DO HERE.  
17 THERE IS NO POLICY IN PLACE AND THAT'S WHY WE'RE PROCEEDING...

18

19 **HELEN GARRETT:** BUT THE POLICY THAT'S ON HERE...

20

21 **SUP. KNABE:** WELL, IT'S GOING TO COME UNDER PUBLIC PURVIEW AND  
22 IT SAYS HERE, YOU KNOW, THIS IS THE ENVIRONMENTAL PORTION, TO  
23 HAVE COMMENTS AND PROPOSED REVISIONS COME BACK WITHIN 90 DAYS.  
24 RIGHT NOW THERE IS NO POLICY IN PLACE AND WE'RE TRYING TO



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 ESTABLISH A POLICY VERSUS IN LIEU FEES TO HELP WITH THE  
2 SITUATION THAT YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT SO...

3

4 **HELEN GARRETT:** THE IN LIEU FEE PORTION IS FINE.

5

6 **SUP. KNABE:** IT'S NOT. IT'S NOT, BECAUSE...

7

8 **HELEN GARRETT:** WELL, I MEAN THAT WE'RE NOT GOING TO HAVE IN  
9 LIEU FEES, THAT'S FINE AS FAR AS I CAN SEE BECAUSE AN IN LIEU  
10 FEE JUST SIMPLY SAYS DROP THE ISSUE, PAY A LITTLE BIT OF MONEY  
11 AND YOU'RE HOME FREE.

12

13 **SUP. KNABE:** EXACTLY.

14

15 **HELEN GARRETT:** AND WHERE WE'RE THRILLED THAT THAT'S IN THERE  
16 BUT THAT'S NOT ENOUGH. THAT'S LIKE, YOU KNOW, DROPPING A  
17 PEANUT. WE NEED A LOT OF AFFORDABLE AND VERY LOW INCOME AND  
18 LOW INCOME HOUSING. WE'RE NOT INTERESTED IN MODERATE INCOME  
19 FOR PEOPLE WHO EARN \$88,000 A YEAR. MODERATE INCOME PEOPLE CAN  
20 GO AHEAD AND FIND HOUSING SOMEPLACE ELSE. IT'S PEOPLE LIKE ME  
21 WHO EARN \$1,098 WHO CAN'T GO SOMEWHERE ELSE, AND ALL THIS  
22 HOUSING IS BEING BUILT THERE AND THESE DEVELOPERS CAN AFFORD  
23 IT. IT'S A LIE IF THEY SAY THEY CAN'T. AND YOU HAVE THIS  
24 CHANCE NOW TO PUT IN A POLICY...

25





**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1   **SUP. KNABE:** THAT'S WHY WE'RE DOING THE POLICY. WE HAVE THE  
2   CHANCE AND THAT'S WHY WE'RE TRYING TO DO IT AND DO IT THE  
3   RIGHT WAY.

4

5   **HELEN GARRETT:** I'M ASKING YOU TO PUT IN A POLICY THAT HAS-- I  
6   MEAN, IF WE'RE NOT GOING TO GET 20% IN EVERY BUILDING, THEN,  
7   PLEASE, 5% VERY LOW INCOME, THAT'S SILLY. NOBODY'S GOING TO  
8   PUT 5% VERY LOW INCOME. THEY'LL OPT FOR MODERATE INCOME AND WE  
9   WON'T HAVE LOW AND WE WON'T HAVE VERY LOW.

10

11   **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** YES, SIR.

12

13   **ANDREW LYNCH:** HELLO. MY NAME IS ANDREW LYNCH, I'M A COMMUNITY  
14   ORGANIZER WITH PEOPLE ORGANIZED FOR WEST SIDE RENEWAL OR  
15   POWER. I'M HERE TODAY REPRESENTING OVER 2,000 COMMUNITY  
16   LEADERS IN OUR ORGANIZATION AND WE STRONGLY OPPOSE THE  
17   COUNTY'S PROPOSED NEW MELLOW ACT POLICY. THE NEW POLICY WE  
18   BELIEVE IS A SIGNIFICANT STEP BACKYARDS FROM THE CURRENT  
19   POLICY WHICH ITSELF DOES NOT ADEQUATELY ADDRESS THE NEED FOR  
20   AFFORDABLE HOUSING IN LOS ANGELES' COASTAL ZONE. THE COUNTY  
21   NEEDS TO MAKE A SERIOUS COMMITMENT TO CREATING MORE AFFORDABLE  
22   HOUSING. THE COASTAL ZONE HAS BECOME A PLACE WHERE LOW AND  
23   VERY LOW INCOME PEOPLE STRUGGLE TO FIND HOUSING. THE AREA HAS  
24   BECOME HIGHLY GENTRIFIED AND IT REQUIRES A STRONG MELLOW  
25   POLICY TO BEGIN TO ADDRESS THIS PROBLEM. OUR COMMUNITY LEADERS



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 HAVE FOUGHT HARD TO ENSURE THAT NEW DEVELOPMENTS IN THE  
2 COASTAL ZONE INCLUDE A SIGNIFICANT AMOUNT OF AFFORDABLE  
3 HOUSING AND IT'S OUR HOPE THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS WILL  
4 SUPPORT US IN OUR FIGHT BY CREATING A SIGNIFICANTLY STRONGER  
5 POLICY THAT WILL ADDRESS GENTRIFICATION IN THE COASTAL ZONE BY  
6 FORCING DEVELOPERS TO INCLUDE MORE LOW AND VERY LOW INCOME  
7 UNITS IN THEIR PROJECTS. THANK YOU.

8

9 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** OKAY. MOTION BY KNABE. SECOND. ANY  
10 OBJECTION?

11

12 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** WHOA, WHOA, WHOA, WHOA, WHOA.

13

14 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** I WAS JUST GOING TO SAY FOR THE RECORD,  
15 SUPERVISOR MOLINA VOTES "NO" ON THIS ITEM.

16

17 **SUP. KNABE:** AND MY COMMENT WOULD BE, I MEAN, TRYING TO ADDRESS  
18 THESE ISSUES, WE DON'T HAVE AN AFFORDABLE HOUSING POLICY IN  
19 THE MARINA AND THIS MOVES FORWARD WITH THE ENVIRONMENTAL  
20 DOCUMENTATION ALSO THE COMMENTS AND PROPOSED REVISIONS FROM  
21 THE VARIOUS PARTIES THAT HAVE BEEN PROVIDING INPUT IN FRONT OF  
22 THE REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION AND EVERYTHING ELSE. SO IT'S  
23 IMPORTANT THAT WE GET SOMETHING IN PLACE AND THIS IS JUST  
24 ASKING TO MOVE FORWARD WITH THAT PROCESS AND COME BACK WITHIN  
25 90 DAYS.



The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1

2 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** I HAVE A-- YOU'RE GOING TO BE THE ATTORNEY  
3 SPEAKING ON THIS? HAVE YOU HEARD THE CRITICISMS FROM THE-- IS  
4 IT THE LEGAL AID FOUNDATION? IS THAT-- PARDON? WESTERN CENTER,  
5 I'M SORRY. WESTERN CENTER. HAVE YOU HEARD THOSE CRITICISMS  
6 BEFORE?

7

8 **RICHARD WEISS:** YES.

9

10 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** HAVE YOU RESPONDED TO THEM TO US? I'D LIKE  
11 TO KNOW HOW MUCH VALIDITY THERE IS IN WHAT THEY SAY BEFORE I  
12 VOTE ON IT BECAUSE WE VOTED ON A PREVIOUS POLICY THAT ENDED UP  
13 BEING A COLOSSAL DISASTER, AS WE KNOW. WE'RE GOING TO BE IN  
14 EXECUTIVE SESSION TALKING ABOUT ONE COLLATERAL DAMAGE OF THAT  
15 TODAY. AND I'M NOT ABOUT TO GO INTO-- I THOUGHT THIS WAS KIND  
16 OF VETTED AND THAT THERE WASN'T THIS KIND OF LEGAL ISSUE  
17 RAISED BUT, NOW THAT THE WESTERN CENTER HAS RAISED THE LEGAL  
18 ISSUE, I'D LIKE TO AT LEAST HAVE A WRITTEN ANALYSIS OF THEIR  
19 CRITICISMS OF THE MELLOW ACT AND ANY OTHER THINGS THAT MIGHT  
20 COME UP, BECAUSE IF WE'VE GOT A LEGAL PROBLEM, THEN WE OUGHT  
21 TO KNOW IT BEFORE WE VOTE ON IT AND NOT HAVE ANOTHER MARINA  
22 LESSEE, YOU KNOW, DOT, DOT, DOT. SO CAN WE GET SOMETHING LIKE  
23 THAT IN A WEEK OR TWO? NEXT WEEK?

24



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1   **RICHARD WEISS:** WE CAN DO ONE AS QUICKLY AS POSSIBLE, YES, WE  
2   CAN PROVIDE YOUR BOARD WITH A WRITTEN RESPONSE. THE MELLOW ACT  
3   DOES NOT CONTAIN QUANTIFIABLE DIRECT REQUIREMENTS. THERE IS  
4   INTERPRETATION. THE REVISED POLICY IS AN ATTEMPT TO ENLARGE  
5   AND BROADEN IT. WE ARE AWARE OF THE CONCERNS BY SOME OF THE  
6   HOUSING ADVOCATES. WE DON'T AGREE WITH ALL OF THEM.  
7   QUANTIFIABLE GOALS THAT YOUR BOARD SET HAVE TO BE REASONABLE,  
8   BUT THERE'S NOTHING IN THE MELLOW ACT THAT SAYS IT HAS TO BE A  
9   PERCENTAGE AS OPPOSED TO ANOTHER ONE. BUT, YES, WE CAN  
10   RESPOND.

11

12   **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** WELL, I'D LIKE TO HEAR-- I'D LIKE TO SEE IN  
13   A WRITTEN FORM A RESPONSE AND THEN I'D LIKE TO HEAR THEIR  
14   RESPONSE TO THAT. MAYBE THIS IS A GRAY AREA OF THE LAW, MAYBE  
15   IT'S NOT. I THINK ONCE BITTEN, TWICE SHY AND WE'VE BEEN BITTEN  
16   BIG TIME ONCE ON THIS ISSUE. SO I WOULD MOVE THAT WE PUT THIS  
17   OVER...

18

19   **SUP. KNABE:** WELL, I MEAN, FORTUNATELY WE DIDN'T-- WE MAY HAVE  
20   BEEN BITTEN BUT WE DIDN'T HAVE A POLICY AND THAT'S BEEN THE  
21   PROBLEM. BUT, I MEAN, I DON'T HAVE A PROBLEM.

22

23   **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** NO, WE DID HAVE A POLICY, IT JUST WAS  
24   ILLEGAL. JUST A MINOR PROBLEM AND I WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT THE  
25   NEXT POLICY DOESN'T HAVE LEGAL FLAWS EITHER. IF IT DOESN'T...



The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1

2 **SUP. KNABE:** I DON'T HAVE A PROBLEM WITH IT.

3

4 **SUP. BURKE:** WAS YOUR STATEMENT THAT IT WAS ILLEGAL-- I DIDN'T  
5 REALLY GATHER THAT SHE WAS SAYING IT WAS ILLEGAL.

6

7 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** NO. I SAID THAT THE PREVIOUS POLICY THAT WE  
8 HAD I WAS REFERRING TO WAS NOT LEGAL, NOT THIS. I SAID, IF  
9 THIS IS ILLEGAL OR IF THIS HAS LEGAL FLAWS, I WANT TO KNOW  
10 ABOUT IT. I THINK WE ALL WANT TO KNOW ABOUT IT.

11

12 **RICHARD WEISS:** AS I UNDERSTAND IT, THE MOTION TODAY IS NOT  
13 ASKING YOUR BOARD TO MAKE A POLICY DECISION ON THE POLICY. IT  
14 IS TO GET THE POLICY IN PROPOSED FINAL FORM TO PREPARE AN  
15 ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT SO THAT YOUR BOARD CAN ACT ON IT.

16

17 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** BUT IT'S THAT POLICY. I MEAN, IT'S NOT SOME  
18 BLANK SLATE WE'RE GOING TO BE APPROVING, IT IS A POLICY THAT  
19 WE ARE, IN DRAFT FORM, APPROVING AND, IF WE KNEW, FOR EXAMPLE,  
20 JUST HYPOTHETICALLY, IF WE KNEW THAT IT HAD TO BE ONE-FOR-ONE  
21 REPLACEMENT ON LOW INCOME UNITS AND THIS DOESN'T DO THAT, THEN  
22 WE WOULD WANT TO MODIFY THAT DRAFT BEFORE WE WENT FORWARD,  
23 WOULDN'T WE? YOU'D ADVISE US TO DO THAT IF THAT WAS THE LAW,  
24 I'M NOT SAYING IT IS. BUT THAT WAS HER CRITICISM AND, IF SHE'S  
25 RIGHT, WE SHOULD CHANGE THAT. WE WOULDN'T GO DO SOMETHING THAT



The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1 WE KNOW TO BE LEGALLY FLAWED ONLY BECAUSE WE CAN CORRECT IT  
2 LATER. WE WANT TO DO IT RIGHT THE FIRST TIME.

3

4 **SUP. KNABE:** WELL, THAT'S WHY WE'RE DOING 90 DAYS. THAT'S WHY  
5 WE ASKED FOR 90 DAYS.

6

7 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** NO, BUT I'M JUST SAYING THAT, TODAY, WE'RE  
8 BEING ASKED TO APPROVE SOMETHING, YOU KNOW, A CORPUS WHICH HAS  
9 SOMETHING ATTACHED TO IT AND IT'S NOT-- WE'RE NOT JUST  
10 APPROVING A POLICY, A SHELL OF A POLICY, WE'RE APPROVING A  
11 DRAFT THAT HAS SOMETHING IN IT. YES, WE ARE. I MEAN, I'VE GOT--  
12 - I SPENT HALF THE NIGHT LAST NIGHT READING IT SO DON'T--  
13 UNLESS I WAS READING THE WRONG THING, IT WOULD BE REALLY  
14 DISAPPOINTING, I'D WRING MY OWN NECK. WE OUGHT TO KNOW-- PUT  
15 THE HORSE BEFORE THE CART AND OTHERWISE I'M JUST-- ONE WEEK,  
16 I'D LIKE TO-- I'D LIKE TO KNOW WHERE WE-- THAT WE'RE STANDING  
17 ON FIRM GROUND. I'M NOT TALKING-- THE POLICY ISSUES, WE'LL  
18 DEBATE THE POLICY ISSUES SOME OTHER TIME BUT I WANT TO MAKE  
19 SURE THAT THERE IS NOTHING THAT IS LEGALLY FLAW IN WHAT WE ARE  
20 EMBARKING UPON NOW, BECAUSE THESE THINGS TEND TO TAKE ON A  
21 LIFE OF THEIR OWN ONCE WE APPROVE THEM.

22

23 **SUP. KNABE:** I DON'T HAVE A PROBLEM FOR ONE WEEK.

24

25 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** THAT'S ALL I'M ASKING.





**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** ONE WEEK CONTINUANCE BY KNABE.

3 SECONDED WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. ANY PUBLIC COMMENT?

4

5 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** MR. CHAIRMAN, ONE LAST THING. IF WE CAN GET

6 THE EXECUTIVE OFFICE TO TRANSCRIBE, I KNOW WE CAN GET IT OFF

7 THE COMPUTER BUT IT WOULD BE HELPFUL IF WE COULD GET A

8 TRANSCRIPTION OF THE LAWYER FROM THE WESTERN CENTER OF LAW AND

9 POVERTY, HER COMMENTS AND THEN WE CAN GET RICK'S COUNTY

10 COUNSEL RESPONSE SIDE BY SIDE, IF WE CAN GET IT, YOU KNOW, BY

11 THE END OF THE WEEK OR BY FIRST THING MONDAY MORNING SO WE

12 HAVE AT LEAST 24 HOURS TO LOOK AT IT, IT WOULD BE NICE.

13

14 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** BEFORE WE DO PUBLIC COMMENT,

15 SUPERVISOR KNABE, YOU HAVE ADJOURNMENTS?

16

17 **SUP. KNABE:** YES, I DO. FIRST OF ALL, MR. MAYOR, MEMBERS OF THE

18 BOARD, I MOVE TODAY THAT WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF PASTOR JOE

19 CHENEY, JR., A FOUNDER OF THE LONG BEACH ANTIOCH MISSIONARY

20 BAPTIST CHURCH IN THE LONG BEACH MINISTERIAL ALLIANCE WHO

21 PASSED AWAY JULY 16TH AT THE AGE OF 82. HE CAME TO LONG BEACH

22 IN 1953 FROM BATON ROUGE AND STARTED THE FOUNDATION FOR THE

23 ANTIOCH MISSIONARY BAPTIST CHURCH IN 1961. WITH HIS WIFE,

24 MAXINE, THEY BEGAN TO BUILD A LIFE OF COMMUNITY SERVICE. HE

25 WAS VERY ACTIVE IN MANY ORGANIZATIONS IN THE LONG BEACH AREA



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 AND WORKED VERY CLOSELY WITH THE LONG BEACH POLICE AND FIRE  
2 DEPARTMENTS, THE CIVIC LIGHT OPERA, LONG BEACH TRANSIT. HE WAS  
3 JUST KNOWN AS A VERY QUIET, CONFIDENT, AND CHARISMATIC MAN.  
4 PASTOR CHENEY TOUCHED MANY LIVES AND WILL BE DEEPLY MISSED BY  
5 HIS FAMILY, FRIENDS AND CONGREGATION. HE IS SURVIVED BY HIS  
6 WIFE OF 50 YEARS, MAXINE, HIS CHILDREN, DON, JOHNNY, WAYNE,  
7 JOANNE AND REGINA, NINE GRANDCHILDREN AND FIVE GREAT-  
8 GRANDCHILDREN. HE JUST-- THESE WORDS ARE WORDS BUT AN  
9 INCREDIBLE HUMAN BEING AND WILL BE SORELY MISSED IN THE CITY  
10 OF LONG BEACH AND THE SURROUNDING AREA. ALSO THAT WE ADJOURN  
11 IN MEMORY OF GIL HERNANDEZ, WHO IS A PROFESSOR AT U.C.L.A.  
12 DEPARTMENT OF SPANISH AND PORTUGUESE AND DIRECTOR EMERITUS OF  
13 THE UNIVERSITY CHICANO STUDIES RESEARCH, WHO PASSED AWAY ON  
14 JULY 16TH. HE WAS AN IMPASSIONATE, COMMITTED TEACHER AND  
15 SCHOLAR AT UCLA WITH THE KEENEST INTEREST IN EDUCATING AND  
16 IMPROVING THE LIVES OF STUDENTS. HE WILL BE MISSED BY HIS WIFE  
17 AND FOUR SONS AND COUNTLESS INDIVIDUALS WHO HE INFLUENCED  
18 DURING THE COURSE OF HIS LIFETIME. ALSO THAT WE ADJOURN IN  
19 MEMORY OF RAQUEL MIRICH, WHO PASSED AWAY PEACEFULLY WITH HER  
20 FAMILY BY HER SIDE IN HER HOME IN RANCHO PALOS VERDES. SHE WAS  
21 BORN AND SPENT HER CHILDHOOD HERE IN THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES  
22 AND, DURING THE GREAT DEPRESSION, MOVED IN WITH HER  
23 GRANDMOTHER DUE TO HER MOTHER'S EARLY DEATH AND A LIFE-  
24 THREATENING ILLNESS OF HER FATHER. SHE BROUGHT HER TO THE POOR  
25 COMMUNITY OF SAN PEDRO, WHERE SHE WORKED FOR MANY YEARS AT THE



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 BEACON STREET POST OFFICE. SHE LIVED IN THE RANCHO, PALES  
2 VERDES THE LAST SEVERAL YEARS OF HER LIFE. SHE IS SURVIVED BY  
3 HER THREE SONS, STEVEN, JEFF AND L.A. COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT  
4 JUDGE MIRICH AND HER FOUR GRANDCHILDREN, KRISTI, STEPHI, P.J.  
5 AND JEFFREY. ALSO THAT WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF ARSENIO "R.C."  
6 VALVERDE, WHO PASSED AWAY ON JULY 11. HE MARRIED HIS CHILDHOOD  
7 SWEETHEART, JOSEPHINE, WHO HE SHARED 71 YEARS OF MARRIAGE  
8 WITH. HE SERVED IN THE UNITED STATES NAVY DURING WORLD WAR II.  
9 HE LIVED IN THE CITY OF WHITTIER SINCE 1964 AND WORKED AS A  
10 WELDER AND FITTER. AFTER RETIRING, HIS HOBBY BECAME SPENDING  
11 TIME WITH HIS FAMILY AND WAS A PERMANENT FIXTURE AND THE REAL  
12 CEO OF VALVERDE CONSTRUCTION. HE IS SURVIVED BY HIS WIFE,  
13 JOSEPHINE, SON, JOE, DAUGHTER-IN-LAW, ROSE, HIS BROTHER,  
14 LEWIS, FIVE GRANDCHILDREN AND FOUR GREAT- GRANDCHILDREN. ALSO  
15 THAT WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF KURT WIECHERT FROM GERMANY, WHO  
16 PASSED AWAY AT THE AGE OF 80. HE MOVED FROM NEW YORK TO  
17 LAKEWOOD IN 1950 JUST AS THE GREAT CITY OF LAKEWOOD WAS TAKING  
18 ITS ROOT. HE WAS A BRONZE MEDAL RECIPIENT AND, WITH THE HELP  
19 OF THE G.I. BILL, BOUGHT A NEW HOME IN LAKEWOOD. HE WAS A  
20 FOUNDING MEMBER OF ST. TIMOTHY'S LUTHERAN CHURCH IN LAKEWOOD  
21 AND, OVER THE YEARS, HE HELPED BUILD THE PARISH HALL, TAUGHT  
22 SUNDAY SCHOOL, BUILDS PROPS FOR PLAYS AND SERVED AS AN USHER.  
23 HE AND HIS SON WERE ALSO VERY ACTIVE IN THE CHURCH SPORTS  
24 PROGRAM, PARTICULARLY BASEBALL. HE WAS PRECEDED IN DEATH BY



The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1 HIS WIFE, NANCY. HE'S SURVIVED BY HIS FOUR SONS, WILLIAM,  
2 KURT, GEORGE AND KARL. THOSE ARE MY ADJOURNMENTS.

3

4 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** SECONDED. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO  
5 ORDERED. I WOULD ALSO LIKE TO MOVE THAT WE ADJOURN IN THE  
6 MEMORY OF BUD FURILLO, WHO WAS ONE OF THE GREAT SPORTS WRITERS  
7 IN OUR COUNTY. HE WAS A NATIVE OF OHIO AND GRADUATED FROM BELL  
8 HIGH SCHOOL IN 1943. ALL MEMBERS. IN 1947, HE BEGAN A  
9 DISTINGUISHED NEWSPAPER CAREER, BEING SPORTS EDITOR OF THE  
10 HERALD EXAMINER FROM 1964 THROUGH '74. HE BUILT A CAREER IN  
11 SPORTS RADIO. HE IS SURVIVED BY HIS THREE SONS, ANDY, FRANK,  
12 AND MICHAEL, THREE DAUGHTERS, GAIL, JILL, AND JACKIE AND HIS  
13 SISTER, ROBERTA. AND ONE OF THOSE GOOD ROLE MODELS THAT WE  
14 GREW UP WITH. JENA Warburton, who was the wife of Dr. Stanley  
15 Warburton, who was the former Chancellor of the Los Angeles  
16 County Community College, Community District when I was a  
17 Trustee of those colleges. She was born in 1917 and graduate  
18 of the Los Angeles High School and Pomona College. She was  
19 remembered for her service to the Rotary, the Girl Scouts and  
20 the Boy Scouts. Floyd Hamilton, he was directly involved in  
21 the Oaks World Impact Christian Camp serving on the Board as a  
22 Director. He served on the World Impact Los Angeles Board  
23 overseeing remodeling and reconstruction of many of their fine  
24 staff homes. Born Makoto Iwamatsu in Kobe, Japan. In 1933, he  
25 was a friend of my wife and I and active in the community,



The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1 OUTSTANDING ACTOR, WHICH IS IRONIC IN OUR GOVERNMENT RULES, HE  
2 WAS BORN IN JAPAN, HIS PARENTS CAME HERE IN 1933 AND HE WENT  
3 BACK, HE STAYED IN JAPAN WITH HIS PARENTS, WITH HIS  
4 GRANDPARENTS. WHAT'S INTERESTING IS THAT, DURING THE  
5 EVACUATION WITH WORLD WAR II WHEN THE JAPANESE AMERICANS ON  
6 THE WEST COAST WERE INTERNED, HIS PARENTS, WHO WERE JAPANESE  
7 CITIZENS, WERE EMPLOYED BY THE U.S. OFFICE OF WAR INFORMATION  
8 AND GRANTED RESIDENCY WITH NO INTERNMENT, WHICH IS A STUPID  
9 POLICY WHEN PRESIDENT ROOSEVELT INTERRED THE JAPANESE ON THE  
10 WEST COAST BUT NOT ON THE EAST COAST AND YET HERE HIS PARENTS  
11 WORKED FOR THE WAR OFFICE DURING THAT WAR. HE WAS INVOLVED  
12 WITH THE CO- FOUNING OF THE EAST/WEST PLAYERS, THE NATION'S  
13 FIRST ASIAN-AMERICAN THEATRE COMPANY, ACTIVELY INVOLVED IN THE  
14 COMMUNITY AND ACTIVELY A POSITIVE ROLE MODEL. HE'S SURVIVED BY  
15 HIS WIFE AND TWO DAUGHTERS AND HE PASSED AWAY AT THE AGE OF  
16 72.

17

18 **SUP. KNABE:** I'D LIKE TO JOIN IN THAT AS WELL.

19

20 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** HE-- FREDERICK HENRY WAGNER. HE MOVED  
21 TO LOS ANGELES COUNTY IN 1965. HE WORKED IN THE EDUCATION  
22 OUTREACH PROGRAM FOR THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY MUSEUM OF NATURAL  
23 HISTORY, WHERE HE BUILT UP THE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT AND  
24 OUTREACH TO OUR SCHOOLS FROM 1982 THROUGH 1992. LARRY WILEY,  
25 WHO WAS A MEMBER OF THE ANTELOPE VALLEY SEARCH AND RESCUE



The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1 TEAM. AND ROBERT GARVIN OF THE ANTELOPE VALLEY. HE WAS QUITE  
2 ACTIVE. SECONDED BY KNABE. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.  
3 SUPERVISOR MOLINA, ANY ADJOURNMENTS? SUPERVISOR MOLINA?

4

5 **SUP. MOLINA:** MR. CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS, I WANT TO ASK THAT WE  
6 ADJOURN IN THE MEMORY OF FATHER FRANCISCO BORONAT FROM ST.  
7 LUCY'S CHURCH IN CITY TERRACE. HE PASSED AWAY AT THE AGE OF  
8 82. FATHER BORONAT PROVIDED THE INVOCATION FOR ONE OF OUR  
9 RECENT BOARD MEETINGS. HE WAS A REALLY POWERFUL PERSON IN OUR  
10 COMMUNITY. EVEN AS A PRIEST AND I THINK THAT EVERY SO OFTEN  
11 PROBABLY CREATED A LITTLE BIT OF A PROBLEM FOR THE ARCHDIOCESE  
12 BECAUSE HE WAS REALLY SOMEONE WHO UNDERSTOOD THE COMMUNITY, HE  
13 FOUGHT FOR ALL THE ISSUES IN THE COMMUNITY AS AN ACTIVIST AND  
14 AN ADVOCATE FOR THEM. HE'S USED A REAL COMMON SENSE APPROACH,  
15 AND HE'S GOING TO BE LONG REMEMBERED NOT ONLY IN THE CITY  
16 TERRACE AREA BUT IN ALL OF AREAS THAT HE SERVED AND SO WE WANT  
17 TO EXTEND OUR DEEPEST CONDOLENCES TO ALL OF US WHO KNEW HIM  
18 AND LOVED HIM. THAT'S FATHER FRANCISCO BARONAT.

19

20 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** SECONDED. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO  
21 ORDERED. I ALSO HAVE A MOTION, THE CONTINUING HEAT WAVE HAS  
22 PUT A STRAIN ON THE POWER SYSTEMS THROUGHOUT OUR COUNTY. THE  
23 I.S.D. HAS DEVELOPED A VERY EFFECTIVE LIST OF ENERGY  
24 CONSERVATION TIPS, WHICH WOULD REDUCE ENERGY USAGE DURING THIS  
25 HEAT WAVE. I'D LIKE TO MOVE THAT THE BOARD MAKE THIS MOTION





The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1 BECAUSE OF THE CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE HEAT WAVE, THAT WE DIRECT  
2 I.S.D. TO SEND AN EMERGENCY EMAIL TO ALL COUNTY EMPLOYEES  
3 REMINDING THEM TO USE ALL ENERGY TIPS DEVELOPED BY I.S.D. AS  
4 WELL AS THOSE DEVELOPED BY INDIVIDUAL COUNTY DEPARTMENTS AND  
5 THOSE WHO ARE LISTENING AT HOME, SUCH AS TURNING OFF YOUR  
6 COMMUTER WHEN NOT USING IT AND OTHER TYPES OF CHARGERS THAT  
7 YOU MAY HAVE PLUGGED IN AND NOT USING AT THE TIME, BUT ALL  
8 KINDS OF LITTLE HINTS SO THAT WE CAN CONSERVE AS MUCH ENERGY  
9 AS POSSIBLE. SECONDED BY BURKE, WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.  
10 SUPERVISOR BURKE?

11

12 **SUP. BURKE:** I MOVE THAT WHEN WE ADJOURN TODAY, WE ADJOURN IN  
13 MEMORY OF CHUN HUN KIM, A LONG-TIME SECOND DISTRICT RESIDENT  
14 WHO PASSED AWAY ON JULY 15TH AT THE AGE OF 91. SHE'S A LOVING  
15 MOTHER OF L.A. COUNTY COMMISSIONER ON AGING APPOINTEE GENE  
16 KIM. SHE LEAVES TO CHERISH HER MEMORY HER THREE SONS, GENE  
17 KIM, CHIN-HANG KIM, CHIN-HO KIM, HER THREE DAUGHTERS, YOUNG-OK  
18 KIM, YOUNG-SOOK KIM, YOUNG-RAK KIM, 10 GRANDCHILDREN AND 15  
19 GREAT- GRANDCHILDREN. AND GUILLERMO E. HERNANDEZ, WE ADJOURN  
20 IN THE MEMORY OF THIS U.C.L.A. PROFESSOR OF SPANISH, DIRECTOR  
21 OF EMERITUS OF CHICANO STUDIES RESEARCH CENTER AND LEADING  
22 EXPERT ON CORRIDOS, MEXICAN BALLADS AND CHICANO LITERATURE WHO  
23 DIED JULY 16TH AT THE AGE OF 66 IN MEXICO CITY. MR. HERNANDEZ  
24 WAS IN MEXICO CITY ON A FIELD TRIP WITH 26 STUDENTS WHEN HE  
25 DIED OF A HEART ATTACK IN HIS HOTEL ROOM.



The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1

2 **SUP. KNABE:** I'D LIKE TO JOIN.

3

4 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** ALL.

5

6 **SUP. BURKE:** HE'S SURVIVED BY HIS WIFE, YOLANDA ZEPEDA, AND HIS  
7 CHILDREN, ARTURO, LUCIANO, GUILLERMO AND GABRIEL, AS WELL AS  
8 HIS GRANDCHILDREN, KIARA, NIKOLAS, AND KAMILLE. HE'S ALSO  
9 SURVIVED BY TWO SISTERS, FRIEDA AND NORA, AND TWO BROTHERS,  
10 ARTURO AND HECTOR.

11

12 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** SECONDED. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO  
13 ORDERED.

14

15 **SUP. BURKE:** EDITH LUCILLE WILSON, LONG-TIME RESIDENT OF THE  
16 SECOND DISTRICT WHO PASSED AWAY AT THE AGE OF 90 ON JULY 6TH.  
17 SHE LEAVES TO CHERISH HER MEMORY HER TWO SONS, WILLIE AND  
18 DAVID WILSON, AND ONE DAUGHTER, PATRICIA WILSON. AND DR.  
19 MILDRED TENNYSON MCNAIR. DR. MCNAIR WAS A RESIDENT OF THE  
20 SECOND DISTRICT FOR OVER 35 YEARS. SHE PASSED AWAY ON JULY  
21 14TH. SHE IS SURVIVED BY HER MOTHER, FERA ROBINSON, AND HER  
22 SON, ODIS MEDLEY.

23

24 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** SECONDED. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO  
25 ORDERED. SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY.



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

**SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** I'D LIKE TO ADDED TO THE KIM ADJOURNING  
MOTION. I'D LIKE TO ASK THAT WE ADJOURN IN THE MEMORY OF  
BERNICE ROSMARIN, A LONG-TIME RESIDENT OF OUR DISTRICT WHO  
PASSED AWAY RECENTLY. WITH HER HUSBAND, STEVE, SHE OPERATED  
THE TRAVEL EXCHANGE TRAVEL AGENCY IN WEST LOS ANGELES FOR MANY  
YEARS. SHE IS SURVIVED BY HER HUSBAND, STEVE, OF 54 YEARS. ONE  
OF MY TWO APPOINTEES TO THE VETERANS ADVISORY COMMISSION.  
SHE'S ALSO SURVIVED BY TWO DAUGHTERS, JUDY NEWLUN AND SUSIE  
SMITH AND THEIR FAMILIES, AS WELL AS A BROTHER, PAUL  
NOCKINSON. THAT'S IT.

12

**SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** SECONDED. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO  
ORDERED. OKAY. PUBLIC COMMENT, WE HAVE ANTONIO RAMIREZ.  
ANTONIO RAMIREZ.

16

**ANTONIO RAMIREZ:** GOOD AFTERNOON. MY NAME IS ANTONIO RAMIREZ  
AND I'M HERE TO ASK SOME QUESTIONS TO THESE MEMBERS. I AM  
INTERESTED TO KNOW IF THIS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS ARE IN CHARGE  
OF THE L.A.C./U.S.C. HOSPITAL. AND, IF IT IS, I'D LIKE TO SEE  
IF ALSO THIS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS ARE IN CHARGE TO SEE THE  
QUALITY OF THE SERVICES THEY PROVIDE TO A SICK PERSON. ALSO, I  
WOULD LIKE TO ASK THIS BOARD IF THEY HAVE THE CAPACITY TO SEE  
WHY THE DOCTORS ARE IN COLLUSION BETWEEN EACH OTHER. ALSO, I'D  
LIKE TO KNOW IF A SICK PERSON INFORMED TO EACH INDIVIDUAL



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 DOCTOR ABOUT THE PROBLEM THAT WAS CAUSED BY THE HOSPITAL, WHY  
2 THEY DON'T TAKE RESPONSIBILITIES AND WHY THEY DON'T TAKE  
3 IMMEDIATELY AN ACTION. IF THEY DON'T TAKE ANY  
4 RESPONSIBILITIES, IT'S HOW IT REFLECTS THE QUALITY OF THE  
5 SERVICES OF THE HOSPITAL. I'VE BEEN INFORMED FROM TWO  
6 DIFFERENT PEOPLE THAT-- ONE IS THAT MR. KNABE'S DEPUTY, SHE  
7 TOLD ME THAT THIS BOARD HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH THE HOSPITAL  
8 BUT, AT THE SAME TIME, IN THIS OFFICE, I WAS TOLD BY SOMEONE  
9 ELSE THAT YOU GUYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR FUNDING THE HOSPITAL.  
10 SO I AM IN LIMBO BECAUSE, IF THE HOSPITAL CAUSED A PROBLEM TO  
11 A PERSON, THEY SHOULD TAKE IMMEDIATELY A RESPONSIBILITY AND  
12 TELL THIS PERSON WHAT WOULD BE THE PROBLEM, HOW TO SOLVE THE  
13 PROBLEM INSTEAD OF KEEPING THAT PERSON RUNNING AROUND, RUNNING  
14 AROUND, TAKING TESTS...

15

16 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** WHERE DO YOU RESIDE, SIR?

17

18 **SUP. KNABE:** MR. RAMIREZ LIVES IN MY DISTRICT, HE LIVES IN THE  
19 CITY OF BELLFLOWER. HE CAME TO OUR OFFICE YESTERDAY FOR THE  
20 FIRST TIME AND, OBVIOUSLY, HE'S GOT SOME ISSUES OVER THERE  
21 WHERE HE'S CONCERNED BECAUSE THE DOCTORS, YOU KNOW, COULDN'T  
22 MAKE UP THEIR MIND REALLY WHAT'S WRONG WITH HIM. SO WE'VE  
23 REQUESTED THE DEPARTMENT TO REVIEW HIS CASE. ALSO, BASED ON  
24 HIS VISIT YESTERDAY, THE HOSPITAL IS GOING TO BE CALLING HIM  
25 TO FOLLOW UP ON HIS CONCERNS. BUT WE ALSO-- HE HAS AN



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 APPOINTMENT-- YOU HAVE AN APPOINTMENT TOMORROW WITH A  
2 SPECIALIST AND ANOTHER APPOINTMENT WITH THE OTHER PHYSICIANS  
3 ON FRIDAY. IS THAT CORRECT?

4

5 **ANTONIO RAMIREZ:** THAT'S CORRECT.

6

7 **SUP. KNABE:** AND IF YOU'D LIKE, WE COULD ASK THE DEPARTMENT TO  
8 CONFIRM THOSE APPOINTMENTS FOR YOU AND YOU CAN TALK TO ONE OF  
9 MY PEOPLE. BUT WE HAD A NICE VISIT WITH HIM YESTERDAY IN  
10 TRYING TO ADDRESS IT AND HE'S GOT SOME ISSUES WITH THE  
11 HOSPITAL SO WE'VE ASKED THE DEPARTMENT TO REVIEW THE CASE AND  
12 TO SEE WHERE IT'S AT AND, OBVIOUSLY, HIS DOCTOR'S APPOINTMENT  
13 TOMORROW WITH A SPECIALIST AS WELL AS FRIDAY.

14

15 **ANTONIO RAMIREZ:** THE PROBLEM IS NOT BECAUSE I HAVE THE  
16 APPOINTMENTS, THE PROBLEM IS NOT BECAUSE I SEE THE DOCTOR. THE  
17 PROBLEM IS THAT NONE OF THEM ARE CAPABLE TO TAKE A DECISION.  
18 AND EVEN IF I EXPLAIN TO THEM THE PROBLEM, I MEAN, WHAT'S  
19 GOING ON?

20

21 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** OKAY. WELL, RIGHT NOW, SUPERVISOR  
22 KNABE HAS STATED YOU HAVE THE APPOINTMENT WITH THE PHYSICIAN,  
23 THEY'RE REVIEWING YOUR FILE, SO THE ISSUES THAT YOU BROUGHT TO  
24 HIS ATTENTION ARE BEING WORKED ON AT THIS TIME AND WE HOPE  
25 THE...



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **SUP. KNABE:** I MEAN, I CAN'T DO ANYTHING MORE UNTIL WE HEAR  
3 BACK FROM THE DOCTORS. WE CAN'T DO A DIAGNOSIS.

4

5 **ANTONIO RAMIREZ:** I UNDERSTAND. NO QUESTION ABOUT IT. BUT WHAT  
6 I'M SAYING IS THAT, IF THEY KNOW THE PROBLEM, THEY SHOULD TAKE  
7 RESPONSIBILITY IMMEDIATELY. I MEAN, I DON'T WANT FOR THEM TO  
8 GIVE ME A-- SOMETHING IF I PASS AWAY TOMORROW. IT'S NO SENSE.

9

10 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** WHEN IS YOUR APPOINTMENT? TOMORROW?

11

12 **SUP. KNABE:** TOMORROW AND ONE FRIDAY.

13

14 **ANTONIO RAMIREZ:** I HAVE ONE TOMORROW, I HAVE ONE THURSDAY, I  
15 HAVE ONE FRIDAY AND I HAVE TEST AFTER TEST AFTER TEST AND  
16 NOTHING. I HAVE ALL THE RECORDS RIGHT HERE. I HAVE SOME OF THE  
17 MISTAKES THAT THEY MADE ALSO HERE.

18

19 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** BUT WE KNOW THAT THE EXAM TOMORROW IS  
20 GOING TO HELP AND THE NEXT THREE EXAMS THAT YOU HAVE COULD  
21 HELP RESOLVE THIS ISSUE BY IDENTIFYING WHAT YOU HAVE AND,  
22 UNTIL THEY MAKE THOSE EXAMINATIONS AND SUPERVISOR KNABE IS--  
23 THE HOSPITAL IS REVIEWING YOUR RECORD, SO EVERYTHING IS BEING  
24 DONE AT THIS TIME THAT WE COULD DO.

25





**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1   **ANTONIO RAMIREZ:** I JUST WANT ONE THING. FIX ONE PROBLEM AT A  
2   TIME.

3

4   **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** RIGHT.

5

6   **ANTONIO RAMIREZ:** I WANT LIKE THEY'VE BEEN TRYING TO DO. TRIED  
7   TO JUMP TO A DIFFERENT THING TO FIND ANOTHER THING. I DON'T  
8   WANT THAT. I WANT THEM TO SOLVE ONE PROBLEM AT A TIME.

9

10   **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THANK YOU, SIR.

11

12   **SUP. KNABE:** THANK YOU. THANKS FOR COMING DOWN.

13

14   **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** OKAY, MR. MAYOR, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD,  
15   BEFORE WE GO INTO CLOSED SESSION, IT WOULD BE APPROPRIATE AT  
16   THIS TIME FOR ANY MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC TO COME FORWARD WHO  
17   WISH TO ADDRESS THE BOARD REGARDING CLOSED SESSION ITEM CS-4,  
18   CONFERENCE WITH REAL PROPERTY NEGOTIATORS. IN ACCORDANCE WITH  
19   BROWN ACT REQUIREMENTS, NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT THE BOARD  
20   OF SUPERVISORS WILL CONVENE IN CLOSED SESSION TO DISCUSS ITEMS  
21   CS-1 AND CS-2, CONFERENCES WITH LEGAL COUNSEL REGARDING  
22   EXISTING LITIGATION, ITEM CS-3, CONFERENCE WITH LABOR  
23   NEGOTIATORS DAVID E. JANSSEN AND DESIGNATED STAFF. ITEM CS-4,  
24   CONFERENCE WITH REAL PROPERTY NEGOTIATORS DAVID E. JANSSEN,  
25   STAN WUSNUSKI, AND RICHARD VOLPERT WITH RESPECT TO



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 NEGOTIATIONS FOR A PROPOSED LEASE EXTENSIONS WITHIN THE MARINA  
2 DEL REY SMALL CRAFT HARBOR AND ITEM CS-5, CONSIDERATION OF  
3 DEPARTMENT HEAD PERFORMANCE EVALUATIONS AS INDICATED ON THE  
4 POSTED AGENDA AND SUPPLEMENTAL AGENDA. There is no reportable  
5 action as a result of the Board of Supervisors' closed session  
6 held today.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25



**The Meeting Transcript of  
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

3

4 I, JENNIFER A. HINES, Certified Shorthand Reporter  
5 Number 6029/RPR/CRR qualified in and for the State of  
6 California, do hereby certify:

7 That the transcripts of proceedings recorded by the  
8 Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors July 25, 2006  
9 were thereafter transcribed into typewriting under my  
10 direction and supervision;

11 That the transcript of recorded proceedings as  
12 archived in the office of the reporter and which  
13 have been provided to the Los Angeles County Board of  
14 Supervisors as certified by me.

15 I further certify that I am neither counsel for, nor  
16 related to any party to the said action; nor  
17 in anywise interested in the outcome thereof.

18 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this  
19 26th day of July 2006, for the County records to be used only  
20 for authentication purposes of duly certified transcripts  
21 as on file of the office of the reporter.

22

23 JENNIFER A. HINES

24 CSR No. 6029/RPR/CRR

25